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## Introduction

This guide describes the Rotordynamics Module, an optional add-on package for the COMSOL Multiphysics ${ }^{\circledR}$ simulation software. The module is designed to perform the static and dynamic analysis of a rotor of a rotating machinery mounted with various stationary and moving components.

This chapter introduces you to the capabilities of the Rotordynamics Module and includes a summary of the physics interfaces and where you can find documentation and model examples. The last section provides a brief overview with links to the chapters in this guide.

In this chapter:

- About the Rotordynamics Module
- Overview of the User's Guide


## About the Rotordynamics Module

In this section:

- What Can the Rotordynamics Module Do?
- Where Do I Access the Documentation and Application Libraries?


## What Can the Rotordynamics Module Do?

The Rotordynamics Module, which requires the Structural Mechanics Module, can be used to model the rotor of a rotating machinery mounted with various stationary and rotating components. Using this module, you can obtain the dynamic response of a rotor when subjected to external loads and thus the operational behavior of the rotor for different angular speeds. You can also produce a stability map of the rotor against different angular speeds. Some major application areas are automotive, aerospace, power generation, electrical machines, and home appliances.

There are six physics interfaces in the Rotordynamics Module:

- The Solid Rotor interface for modeling the rotor as a 3D object in a corotating frame.
- The Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interface for modeling the rotor as a 3D object in a space-fixed frame. This interface is limited to modeling rotors that are axially symmetric.
- The Beam Rotor interface for abstract modeling of the rotor as lines in a space-fixed frame.
- The Hydrodynamic Bearing interface for modeling the flow in the lubricant film in a bearing.
- The Solid Rotor with Hydrodynamic Bearing multiphysics interface for combined modeling of rotor and bearing and the interactions between them. The solid mechanics formulation of the rotor is used.
- The Beam Rotor with Hydrodynamic Bearing multiphysics interface for combined modeling of rotor and bearing and the interactions between them. The beam formulation of the rotor is used.

All physics interfaces in the Rotordynamics Module appear on the Model Wizard's
Select Physics page under Structural Mechanics>Rotordynamics.

In the COMSOL Multiphysics Reference Manual:

- Studies and Solvers
- The Physics Interfaces
- For a list of all the core physics interfaces included with a COMSOL Multiphysics software license, see the Physics Interface Guide.


## Where Do I Access the Documentation and Application Libraries?

A number of online resources have more information about COMSOL, including licensing and technical information. The electronic documentation, topic-based (or context-based) help, and the Application Libraries are all accessed through the COMSOL Desktop.

> If you are reading the documentation as a PDF file on your computer, the blue links do not work to open an application or content
> ! referenced in a different guide. However, if you are using the Help system in COMSOL Multiphysics, these links work to open other modules, application examples, and documentation sets.

## THE DOCUMENTATION AND ONLINE HELP

The COMSOL Multiphysics Reference Manual describes the core physics interfaces and functionality included with the COMSOL Multiphysics license. This book also has instructions on how to use COMSOL Multiphysics and how to access the electronic Documentation and Help content.

## Opening Topic-Based Help

The Help window is useful as it is connected to the features in the COMSOL Desktop. To learn more about a node in the Model Builder, or a window on the Desktop, click to highlight a node or window, then press Fl to open the Help window, which then displays information about that feature (or click a node in the Model Builder followed by the Help button ( ? ). This is called topic-based (or context) help.

## Opening the Documentation Window

## THE APPLICATION LIBRARIES WINDOW

Each model or application includes documentation with the theoretical background and step-by-step instructions to create a model or application. The models and applications are available in COMSOL Multiphysics as MPH-files that you can open for further investigation. You can use the step-by-step instructions and the actual models as templates for your own modeling. In most models, SI units are used to describe the relevant properties, parameters, and dimensions, but other unit systems are available.

Once the Application Libraries window is opened, you can search by name or browse under a module folder name. Click to view a summary of the model or application and its properties, including options to open it or its associated PDF document.

Opening the Application Libraries Window
To open the Application Libraries window ( 四) :

## CONTACTING COMSOL BY EMAIL

For general product information, contact COMSOL at info@comsol.com.

## COMSOL ACCESS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT

To receive technical support from COMSOL for the COMSOL products, please contact your local COMSOL representative or send your questions to support@comsol.com. An automatic notification and a case number will be sent to you by email. You can also access technical support, software updates, license information, and other resources by registering for a COMSOL Access account.

COMSOL ONLINE RESOURCES

| COMSOL website | www.comsol.com |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contact COMSOL | www.comsol.com/contact |
| COMSOL Access | www.comsol.com/access |
| Support Center | www.comsol.com/support |
| Product Download | www.comsol.com/product-download |
| Product Updates | www.comsol.com/support/updates |
| COMSOL Blog | www.comsol.com/blogs |
| Discussion Forum | www.comsol.com/forum |
| Events | www.comsol.com/events |
| COMSOL Application Gallery | www.comsol.com/models |
| COMSOL Video Gallery | www.comsol.com/support/knowledgebase |
| Support Knowledge Base |  |

## Overview of the User's Guide

The Rotordynamics Module User's Guide gets you started with modeling rotordynamics applications using COMSOL Multiphysics. The information in this guide is specific to this module. Instructions on how to use COMSOL Multiphysics in general are included in the COMSOL Multiphysics Reference Manual.

> As detailed in the section Where Do I Access the Documentation and T1 Application Libraries?, this information can also be searched from the COMSOL Multiphysics software Help menu.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS AND INDEX

To help you navigate this guide, see the Contents and Index sections.

## MODELING WITH ROTORDYNAMICS MODULE

The Rotordynamics Modeling chapter gives you insight into how to approach modeling various rotordynamics problems. The content covers subjects such as Selecting Physics Interfaces, Study Types, Modeling Bearings, Modeling Foundations, Specifying the Rotor Axis, Gears, Gear Pairs, Forward and Backward Whirl, and Campbell Plot.

## ROTORDYNAMICS THEORY

The Rotordynamics Theory chapter outlines the theory for the various interfaces present in the Rotordynamics Module. The Rotor Axis section describes the considerations in obtaining the rotor axis and how it affects the frame acceleration forces on rotor. This chapter also covers topics such as Frames and Coordinate Systems; Displacement, Velocity, and Acceleration; Change Rotor Speed; Formulation of Equilibrium Equations; Damping; Bearings and Foundations; Rigid Domain; Loads; Multi-Spool Bearing; Liquid Annular Seal; Rotor Coupling; Misalignment; Identification of Whirl; Gear Theory; Gear Pair Theory; and Energy Quantities.

## THE SOLID ROTOR INTERFACE

The Solid Rotor chapter describes The Solid Rotor Interface and all the available feature nodes. Nodes which are unique to the Solid Rotor interface are described in detail. The rest of the feature nodes are described in the Structural Mechanics Module User's Guide.

## THE SOLID ROTOR, FIXED FRAME INTERFACE

The Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame chapter describes The Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame Interface and all the available feature nodes. This interface shares all the nodes with Solid Rotor interface. Thus, the details of all the nodes are given in the Solid Rotor chapter.

## THE BEAM ROTOR INTERFACE

The Beam Rotor chapter describes the Beam Rotor interface, which uses Timoshenko beam theory for modeling the rotor. This chapter also describes the underlying theory for the physics interface and the available feature nodes in The Beam Rotor Interface. The Bearings and Foundation nodes are described in the Solid Rotor chapter. The rest of the feature nodes are described in the Structural Mechanics Module User's Guide.

## THE HYDRODYNAMIC BEARING INTERFACE

The Hydrodynamic Bearing chapter describes the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface and all the available feature nodes. The Hydrodynamic Journal Bearing, Hydrodynamic Thrust Bearing, Floating Ring Bearing and Squeeze Film Damper features and Bearing Types are therein described in detail.

## MULTIPHYSICS INTERFACES AND COUPLINGS

The Multiphysics Interfaces and Couplings chapter describes two multiphysics interfaces found under the Rotordynamics branch when adding a physics interface:

- The Solid Rotor with Hydrodynamic Bearing Interface combines a Solid Rotor interface with a Hydrodynamic Bearing interface. The coupling appears on the boundary level, where the pressure from the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface acts as a mechanical load for the Solid Rotor interface, causing motion and structural deformation of the rotor. The motion and deformation of the Solid Rotor affect the lubricant film thickness, hence altering the pressure of lubricant film.
- The Beam Rotor with Hydrodynamic Bearing Interface combines a Beam Rotor interface with a Hydrodynamic Bearing interface. The coupling appears on the boundary level, where the pressure from the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface acts as a mechanical load for the Beam Rotor interface, causing motion and structural deformation of the rotor. The motion and deformation of the Beam Rotor affect the lubricant film thickness, hence altering the pressure of lubricant film.


## 2

## Rotordynamics Modeling

The goal of this chapter is to give you insight into how to approach the modeling of rotordynamics problems and different types of analyses.

In this chapter:

- Selecting Physics Interfaces
- Study Types
- Modeling Bearings
- Modeling Foundations
- Specifying the Rotor Axis
- Gears
- Gear Pairs
- Forward and Backward Whirl
- Campbell Plot


## Selecting Physics Interfaces

The Rotordynamics Module contains tools for modeling rotors and bearings. For modeling the rotor, there are three physics interfaces: the Solid Rotor interface; the Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interface; and the Beam Rotor interface. The basic physics interfaces in the Rotordynamics Module are:

- Solid Rotor - For modeling the rotor as a 3D object in a corotating frame
- Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame - For modeling the rotor as a 3D object in a space-fixed frame
- Beam Rotor - For the abstract modeling of the rotor as a line object with bending stiffness
- Hydrodynamic Bearing - For modeling hydrodynamic sliding bearings with very small oil film thickness


## Solid Rotor

The Solid Rotor interface offers the most general approach for modeling a rotor based on a continuum description. Solid elements are used to define the rotor assembly, and the rotor is represented by its three-dimensional geometry. Governing equations for this interface are described in a rotating frame. This interface automatically accounts for frame acceleration forces due to rotation of the rotor about its axis; hence the physical rotation is not needed to model the rotor. Also, the effect of spin softening and stress stiffening can be captured automatically using solid elements. The advantage of writing the governing equations in a rotating frame is that nonaxially symmetric rotors can be modeled too.

With the Solid Rotor interface, as opposed to a conventional rotordynamic analysis approach, the rotor vibration cannot be split into axial, bending, and torsional components. The results in this interface are obtained in a corotating frame and hence require a careful interpretation. For example, the whirl frequencies in this interface are relative to the axial rotation of the rotor. Therefore, the speed of the rotor, depending on the forward or backward whirl, is either added or subtracted from the frequency to obtain the corresponding frequency in a space-fixed frame. Furthermore, rotordynamic analysis using solid elements is computationally expensive, particularly in a case where you are interested in predicting the response of the rotor at various
angular speeds. Therefore, use this interface only when you are interested in the detailed deformation and stresses.

## Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame

The Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame uses the same approach as the Solid Rotor interface. However, the governing equations for this interface are described in a space-fixed frame. Acceleration forces due to rotation of the rotor are automatically taken into account. As opposed to Solid Rotor, the results in this interface are obtained in a space-fixed frame. As a result, the interpretation of the results in this interface is more straight forward.

The Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interface assumes that the rotor is axially symmetric. For a nonaxially symmetric rotor like a crankshaft, the governing equations are not valid. Bearings and foundations supporting the rotor, however, can be anisotropic.

## Beam Rotor

The Beam Rotor interface is an abstract model of the actual rotor assembly, where only the span in the axial direction is modeled explicitly on an edge. The cross-sectional information of the rotor is specified in terms of properties such as area and moments of inertia. This approach neglects the cross-sectional deformation of the rotor. Beam elements, based on Timoshenko theory where shear deformations are taken into account, are used to model the rotor assembly. This also makes it possible to accurately model rather thick rotors using beam elements. In this interface, the vibration response can be split into axial, bending, and torsional components. As it is customary in a rotordynamics study to analyze the response of the rotor at different angular speeds, it is very efficient, in terms of computational cost, to use beam elements to model the rotor system without losing its basic characteristics.

## Hydrodynamic Bearing

The Hydrodynamic Bearing interface can be used for modeling the sliding bearings where oil between the journal/collar and bushing acts as a lubricant. Using this interface, you can obtain the pressure distribution in the oil film by solving the Reynolds equation. This interface provides the models for the Journal Bearing, Thrust Bearing, Floating Ring Bearing and Squeeze Film Damper. For the journal bearing, built-in models for plain, elliptic, split-halves, multilobe, and tilted-pad bearings are available. There is also an option to specify a user defined initial oil film thickness in
the bearing for a type of bearing that is not included in the feature. For a thrust bearing available models are tapered, tilted pad and user defined.

The Hydrodynamic Bearing interface can be used either independently to study bearing characteristics; or together with the Solid Rotor or Beam Rotor interfaces to study the response of the complete rotor assembly through a multiphysics coupling.

## Study Types

In this section, you will find information about when and how to apply the study types that are available for rotordynamics problems:

- Stationary Study
- Eigenfrequency Study
- Frequency Domain Study
- Time Domain Study
- Transient with FFT Study


## Stationary or Dynamic Analysis

When using the Solid Rotor interface in Rotordynamics Module, you must adopt a view where the concepts of what is static and what is dynamic deviate somewhat from the common conceptions.

In a general structural mechanics setting, different forms of dynamic analysis are used when inertial forces cannot be ignored. As the Solid Rotor interface is formulated in a corotating frame, some of the inertial effects are already taken into account as static loads. Thus, a rotor that spins under conditions that are stationary when viewed by a corotating observer does not require a dynamic analysis.

On the other hand, a load that is fixed in the spatial frame, like gravity, will have a harmonic variation when seen from the corotating perspective, and it provides a dynamic excitation.

As opposed to the Solid Rotor interface, the Beam Rotor and Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interfaces are still formulated in a space-fixed frame, and hence the sense of static and dynamic loads does not change for these interfaces. However, effect of the rotor spin is already taken into account in the Beam Rotor interface through gyroscopic moments.

## Stationary Study

A rotordynamics problem can be considered stationary if the following two criteria are fulfilled:

- Solid Rotor: Loads in the corotating frame do not change their magnitude and direction significantly, for example, in an inertial load due to mass eccentricity. Note that a load that appears stationary in a space-fixed frame has a very strong time dependence in the corotating frame and vice versa.
- Beam Rotor and Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame: Loads in a space-fixed frame do not change their magnitude and direction significantly, for example, gravity load. Note that a load due to mass eccentricity has a very strong time dependence.
- There is no explicit time dependence in the material model of the rotor.

In some cases, there is a variation in the load, even though the solution for each value of the load can be considered to be stationary. A typical case is that the load values are independent, and you just want to compute a number of different load cases. For example, you may want to find the stresses and magnitude of the lateral displacement of the rotor for different eccentric masses.

Note that a stationary problem is solvable only if the structure is sufficiently constrained. There must not be any possible rigid body modes, thus no stress-free deformation states are allowed. If the model is underconstrained, you may encounter problems like singular or ill-conditioned system matrices.

## Eigenfrequency Study

An eigenfrequency study solves for the natural frequencies and the corresponding mode shapes. It is also possible to compute the eigenfrequencies for a problem that is not fully constrained. This sometimes is referred to as free-free modes. In practice, the natural frequencies of the rigid body modes are not computed as exactly zero, but can appear as small numbers, which can even be negative or complex. If the rigid-body modes are present in the model, then it is important to use a nonzero value in the Search for eigenfrequencies around text field in the settings for the Eigenfrequency study
step. The value should reflect the order of the magnitude of the first important nonzero eigenfrequency.

In the COMSOL Multiphysics Reference Manual:

- Eigenvalue Solver
- Studies and Solvers
- Derived Values, Evaluation Groups, and Tables

In the Structural Mechanics Module User's Guide:

- Eigenfrequency Analysis

In rotordynamics, an eigenfrequency analysis is the most commonly used study type. It is used to determine the critical speed of the rotor. This type of analysis can be performed in the following scenarios:

- To determine the stable operating ranges and critical speeds of the rotor. In this case, an eigenfrequency analysis is repeated multiple times by performing a sweep over the rotor's angular speed to determine the resonance conditions of the rotor. An angular speed at which the rotor experiences a resonance is called a critical speed. A very important result of such an analysis is a plot of the variation of the natural frequency of the rotor versus the angular speed of the rotor, called a Campbell diagram.
- To check if the assembly operates safely for a given operational speed. Sometimes, the operational angular velocity of a rotor is predetermined. In this case, the main interest lies in studying the behavior of the rotor at the given operational speed. You can then perform the eigenfrequency analysis at a given spin speed to check that none of the eigenfrequencies is close to it.


## PRESTRESSED ANALYSIS

In a loaded structure the frequencies may be shifted due to stress stiffening. A rotor modeled with a Solid Rotor or Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interface is always prestressed due to the frame acceleration forces. Therefore, it is extremely important to perform a prestressed analysis in these interfaces to get the correct natural frequencies.

Another scenario where a prestressed analysis is important is in modeling a nonlinear bearing behavior. Both fluid film bearing and roller bearings have a nonlinear force displacement relationship. Thus, a prestressed analysis can be used to determine the natural frequencies of a rotor-bearing system about the static equilibrium position of
the rotor. Roller bearings are also preloaded sometimes. A prestressed analysis also helps in using the linearized coefficients for a roller bearing about its preloaded state.

## Frequency Domain Study

If all loads are time harmonic when seen from a) the corotating frame for a Solid Rotor and b) the stationary frame for a Beam Rotor or Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame, then a frequency domain study is an efficient method for computing the response of the rotor. In this study type, all quantities are represented by complex amplitudes, where the relation between the real and imaginary parts determines the phase.

Frequency domain study is an important analysis to determine the vibration amplitude amplification factor at the resonance which in turn helps in determining the separation margin between the operating speed and critical speed of the rotor.

In a rotordynamic analysis, the only meaningful analysis frequency in a frequency domain study is the one that corresponds to the angular speed of the rotor.

In the COMSOL Multiphysics Reference Manual:

- Frequency Domain
- Studies and Solvers

In the Structural Mechanics Module User's Guide:

- Frequency-Domain Analysis


## PRESTRESSED ANALYSIS

The shift in the natural frequency of the prestressed structure can also have significant effect on its frequency response. This is particularly important when the loading frequency is close to one of the natural frequencies of a structure.

Like the prestressed eigenfrequency analysis, prestressed frequency domain analysis is useful to get the response of a rotor-bearing system with a nonlinear bearing behavior for a harmonic loading.

## Time Domain Study

You will need to use a time-dependent study when analyzing truly transient events, or when loads or supports vary with the angle of rotation.

There are two general solvers for time-dependent problems in COMSOL
Multiphysics:

- The Generalized alpha method, which is recommended for structural dynamics problems.
- The $B D F$ method, which is recommended for first-order problems.

The Generalized alpha method is the default solver in the Rotordynamics Module due to the transient nature of this class of problems.

In the COMSOL Multiphysics Reference Manual:

- Time-Dependent Solver
- Studies and Solvers

In the Structural Mechanics Module User's Guide:

- Time-Domain Analysis


## Transient with FFT Study

In many cases, you can obtain a good overview of the response of the rotor by plotting the entire frequency spectrum of the time response at different angular speeds. Such a plot is called a waterfall diagram. The Transient with FFT study helps generate such data by performing the parametric sweep over the rotor angular speed. This study consists of a Time Dependent study step followed by a Time to Frequency FFT study step.

This type of study is computationally very expensive with a Solid Rotor interface, so this combination should only be used when the local deformation of the rotor plays a significant role in determining the overall dynamics of the rotor assembly.

In the COMSOL Multiphysics Reference Manual:

- Time-Dependent Solver
- Time to Frequency FFT
- Studies and Solvers


## Modeling Bearings

Bearings are an integral part of a rotor assembly. In the rotordynamics interfaces, the rotor can only be supported by bearings. The response of the rotor system is sensitive to the bearing model that is used. Therefore, it is important to model the bearings accurately. Following are the commonly used bearings to restrict the motion of the rotor in different directions:

- Journal Bearing
- Thrust Bearing
- Multi-Spool Bearing


## Journal Bearing

Journal bearings restrict the translational motion of the journal in the lateral direction. In addition, they also restrict the rotation of the journal about both the lateral axes due to the finite length of the journal. The modeling of journal bearings can be done at different levels of detail, as described below.

## NO CLEARANCE BEARING

This type of bearing completely restricts the translational and rotational motion of the journal in the lateral direction. You can use this approximation when the bearings are very stiff, so that the motion of the journal in the bearing is small and does not significantly affect the overall response of the rotor.

## PLAIN HYDRODYNAMIC BEARING

This bearing model works as a spring and damper system on the journal of the rotor. The dynamic stiffness and damping coefficients are obtained by Ocvirk's theory, which uses a short bearing approximation (See Ref. 1). Use this model when the bearing's dynamic stiffness and damping coefficients are unknown and you want to evaluate them as functions of the journal motion in the bearing. This method is a good approximation for modeling the effect of an oil wedge in plain hydrodynamic bearings.

## BEARING STIFFNESS AND DAMPING COEFFICIENT

In a journal bearing model, the bearing operates as a spring and damper system on the journal of the rotor. The only difference from the plain hydrodynamic bearing is that in this case, the dynamic stiffness and damping coefficients are known a priori through
experiments or computer simulations. Both functional and tabular forms of these parameters are supported. For the tabular data, you need to use an interpolation function.

## BEARING FORCES AND MOMENTS

In many cases, it is easier to evaluate the reaction of the bearing on the journal because reaction forces and moments due to bearing can be applied directly on the journal. Usually, forces and moments in the bearing are functions of the journal motion. Force and moment data obtained through experiments or simulation can also be used through interpolation functions in this model.

## MULTIPHYSICS COUPLING

When it is necessary is to capture effects of oil whirl and whip, a multiphysics coupling can be used to model the bearing. These phenomena are connected with the hydrodynamic instability of the bearing. In this case, the Solid Rotor or Beam Rotor interfaces can be coupled with the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface.

## Thrust Bearing

Thrust bearings are primarily used to restrict the axial motion of the rotor. However, due to the finite cross-sectional area of the collar of these bearings, they also restrict the rotation about the lateral axes. Thrust bearings can be modeled at different levels of detail, as described below.

## NO CLEARANCE BEARING

This model completely constrains the axial motion of the rotor and the rotation about both lateral axes. Use this model when the effect of the bearing on the dynamics of the rotor assembly is not significant.

## BEARING STIFFNESS AND DAMPING COEFFICIENTS

In this case, the thrust bearing is modeled as a spring-damper system with one translational stiffness and damping coefficient along the axis of the rotor, and two rotational stiffnesses and corresponding damping coefficients about the lateral directions. These values are known either by performing experiments or computer simulations. Tabular data of these parameters as a function of the collar motion can be entered as interpolation functions.

## BEARING FORCES AND MOMENTS

You can also directly describe bearing forces and moments as functions of the motion of the collar. If tabular data is available for these relations, you can use interpolation functions for entering the data.

## MULTIPHYSICS COUPLING

When it is necessary is to capture nonlinear effects in the bearing, a multiphysics coupling can be used. For this type of modeling, both the Solid Rotor and the Beam Rotor interfaces can be coupled with the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface.

## Multi-Spool Bearing

Multi-Spool Bearings restrict the relative lateral motion between the two coaxial rotors running at same or different speeds. The model for these bearings is based on equivalent stiffness and damping coefficients for the relative lateral and relative tilt motion between the rotors. There is also an option to make the connections between the two rotors rigid. For a rigid connection, both the rotors move and/or tilt together without any relative motion. To make either the lateral displacement or tilting free between the rotors, you can use a zero value in the corresponding stiffness and damping fields.

## Modeling Foundations

Bearing foundations are the structural components on which bearings rest. The foundations can be modeled as:

- Fixed Foundation
- Moving Foundation
- Flexible Foundation
- Squeeze Film Damper
- Attachment


## Fixed Foundation

Use this type of foundation if the component on which the bearings rest is very rigid or their motion does not significantly affect the rotor response.

## Moving Foundation

Sometimes, ground vibration is present in the area where rotating machinery is installed. If you have the ground vibration data, you can use this type of foundation to study the effect of the ground vibration on the response of the rotor assembly. A displacement solution from another study can also be used to specify the foundation motion.

## Flexible Foundation

Use this type of foundation when an equivalent stiffness of the foundation is known. A flexible foundation can alter the critical speed of the rotor and can thus be a critical element in the rotor assembly. The effect of the foundation on the critical speed of the rotor can be captured by this model. The Fixed and Moving foundation models described above do not affect the critical speed of the rotor.

## Squeeze Film Damper

Sometimes, to increase the overall damping in the rotating machinery, bearings are extended with squeeze film dampers. These components provide damping by squeezing of the lubricant film. You can use this option to approximately model the
effect of squeeze film dampers on the overall dynamics of the rotor. In this model, the damper is represented by its equivalent damping coefficients obtained by analytically solving the Reynolds equations for a short length approximation. This model also affects the critical speed of the rotor assembly.

## Attachment

The response of a rotor is strongly dependent on the resting foundation structure. Sometimes an equivalent stiffness of the foundation structure is not enough to capture its behavior accurately. A detailed modeling of the structure is needed in such a case. You can model the foundation structure by using any of the basic structural mechanics interfaces such as Solids, Shells, or Beams. To connect it with the rotor, create attachments in these interfaces. These attachments are then available in the list of the foundation in the bearing features. You can choose any of these attachments to connect the rotor with the foundation structure.

## Specifying the Rotor Axis

In the Beam Rotor interface, the modeled line geometry itself is considered to be the axis of the rotor. Therefore, there is no need to explicitly specify the axis of the rotor in this interface. Because of this assumption, you cannot model a nonstraight rotor in the Beam Rotor interface. Therefore, a geometry such as a crankshaft of an internal combustion engine is not straightforward to model in the Beam Rotor interface. A Disk node with an offset can be used to model the inertial effects and account for the eccentricity of the crankpin and balance masses from the rotor axis, assuming that both are rigid. A straight line can then be used to model the crankshaft.

In the Solid Rotor interface, the axis of the rotor cannot be determined automatically. You must specify the initial axis of the rotor through the Rotor Axis node. There are two methods you can use to specify the rotor axis:

- Support Locations
- Edge


## Support Locations

When support locations are specified, a line joining these locations is considered to be the initial axis of rotation. This option can be useful for specifying the axis of the crankshaft-type rotor, where material points are absent at certain locations along the axis. For overhung rotors, where there is only one bearing support, you can choose two points near the support location to determine the rotor axis. However, an axis specified using an edge may be a better choice in this case.

## Edge

In this method, a selected edge is considered to be the initial axis of the rotor. This method fails if there is no material point of the rotor along this line. A typical example is a crankshaft. In such a case, use support locations to prescribe the axis instead.

## Gears

Gears are the mechanical components to transmit power from one shaft to another. They are also used to increase or decrease the output speed (transmitted torque) of the shafts. In general, on a single rotor, bending and torsional motion of the rotor are uncoupled. However, when gears are used to connect the rotors, bending and torsional motions of the rotor get coupled due to the gear meshing, thus significantly changing the response of the rotor. Three types of gears - spur, helical, and bevel are provided in the Solid Rotor interface to support the modeling of systems of several rotors connected through gears.

A gear is defined as a rigid body. A finite stiffness for the gear mesh (or gear tooth) can be specified in the Gear Pair node while connecting to other gears. Similar to a rigid domain, the gears are a material model, which is mutually exclusive to all other material models. The only material property needed is the mass density.

Gears automatically get mounted on an adjacent flexible shaft. To attach a gear to a rigid shaft, the shaft itself can be selected in the Gear node.

The axis of the gear is the same as that of the rotor on which it is mounted.

Q For details about the theory of gears, see Gear Theory.

## Gear Pairs

The gear pair node connects two spur gears, helical gears, or bevel gears in such a way that at the contact point, they have no relative motion along the line of action. The remaining displacements and rotations of both the gears are independent of each other.

In the case of a line contact model, one additional constraint is added to restrict the relative rotation about a line joining the two gear centers. When friction is included for a gear pair, frictional forces in the plane perpendicular to the line of action are added on both of the gears.

The Gear Pair node is a global feature and takes two gears as input, thus providing the connection between the selected gears.

In the Rotordynamics Module, the rotor does not physically rotate. However, the mesh stiffness, which is periodic within a mesh cycle or within a full revolution of the gear, should account for the physical rotation of the gear. Therefore, the magnitude of the rotation of the gears is obtained by time integrating the respective angular velocities. This rotation is then added in the rotation that is due to torsional deformation of the shafts to specify the periodicity of the gear mesh stiffness.
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## Forward and Backward Whirl

For a symmetric rotor that is not rotating, all lateral vibration modes will appear in pairs with the same natural frequency. For the rotating system, the double natural frequencies will be split into two separate values, corresponding to forward and backward whirl. When vibrating at one of the natural frequencies, the corresponding mode shape will travel in an orbit due to the rotation of the rotor.

In a mode with forward whirl, the natural vibration mode travels in the same direction as the rotation of the rotor. For backward whirl, the situation is the opposite.

The orbit of the rotor actually consists of the summation of two rotating vectors: one is a forward circular motion and the other is a backward circular motion. When the forward amplitude is larger than the backward amplitude, the overall motion is forward. When the forward amplitude is smaller than the backward amplitude, the overall motion is backward. When both amplitudes are equal, the motion degenerates to a straight line.

When you have solved for the eigenfrequencies, you can plot a shape and directivity index variable, named <interface>.isd (for example, rotbm.isd). This variable has the range

$$
-1 \leq i_{\mathrm{sd}} \leq 1
$$

A classification of the whirl can now be done using the following criteria:

- $i_{\text {sd }}=-1$ : Backward circular planar motion
- $-1<i_{\text {sd }}<0$ : Backward elliptical planar motion
- $i_{\text {sd }}=0$ : Straight line motion
- $0<i_{\text {sd }}<1$ : Forward elliptical planar motion
- $i_{\text {sd }}=1$ : Forward circular planar motion

At points where the mode shape has a node (the lateral displacement is zero), the index cannot be determined, thus the value may be arbitrary.

Usually, the entire rotor has either forward or backward whirl. However, it is also possible that the complete rotor can have mixed whirling. In this case, the rotor can undergo forward and backward whirl simultaneously at different sections. Mixed whirling sometimes occurs in long, flexible rotors supported by fluid film bearings.

## Campbell Plot

For an eigenfrequency analysis, a Campbell Plot is generated by default by each of the rotor interfaces, namely, the Solid Rotor, the Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame, and Beam Rotor interfaces.

Since the equations in the Beam Rotor and Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interfaces are formulated in a fixed reference frame, the Campbell Plot shows the eigenfrequencies in the fixed reference frame.

The equations in the Solid Rotor interface are however formulated in a corotating reference frame. Therefore, the frequencies computed by this interface can be considered as frequencies of the vibration observed from the corotating frame. The frequencies in the fixed frame and the corotating frame are related to each other by a very simple relation. For a forward whirl mode, an eigenfrequency in the corotating frame can be converted to an eigenfrequency in the fixed frame by adding the angular speed of the rotor. Similarly, an eigenfrequency in a backward whirl mode can be converted to fixed frame by subtracting the angular speed of the rotor.

For details about the identification of the whirl modes, see Identification of Whirl in the theory section.

Refer to the Forward and Backward Whirl section for the different variables provided in the interface to identify the whirl.

In the Solid Rotor interface, a Campbell Plot is generated in both corotating and fixed frame by default.

When you have solved for the eigenfrequencies, you can also evaluate forward and backward natural frequency variables, named <interface>. omegaf and <interface>. omegab (for example, rotbm.omegaf) in the fixed frame.

## Limitations of the Campbell Plot

If there are multiple rotors present in the model, or if the rotor is very long, whirl modes can be mixed. In such rotor systems it is difficult to tell if the whirl mode is forward or backward. Therefore, the transformation of the eigenfrequencies from the corotating to the fixed frame as explained above fails. The Campbell plot may not show a correct result in this case. If you encounter such a case it, is better to plot only
<interface>.omega in the Campbell plot. This variable should be interpreted in the respective frame the interfaces are modeled.

A Campbell plot only makes sense if there is a parametric sweep over the rotor angular speed. For a single rotor angular speed there is only one point on the abscissa. If your interest is only to look at the natural frequencies of the rotor for a given angular speed, you can ignore the default Campbell Plot or delete it from the model.

## Determination of the Critical Speed

The critical speed of the rotor can be obtained by looking at the intersection of the Campbell plot with $\omega=\Omega$ curve in the fixed frame. If the plot is generated in the corotating frame, the intersection of the backward whirl eigenfrequency curve with $\omega=2 \Omega$ curve is the backward whirl mode critical speed. Similarly, the intersection of the forward whirl eigenfrequency curve with the abscissa is the forward whirl mode critical speed. Generally, in the corotating frame, the backward whirl eigenfrequency curves increase with the rotor speed whereas the forward whirl mode curves decrease.

## Comparing Beam Rotor and Solid Rotor

A comparison of Campbell plots must be done from a same frame of reference. Therefore, a Campbell plot obtained from the Beam Rotor interface should be compared with a Campbell plot in the fixed frame from the Solid Rotor interface. Because frame acceleration forces are modeled explicitly in the Solid Rotor interface, stress stiffening effects due to centrifugal forces are important to obtain the correct natural frequency. This can be done by setting up a stationary analysis to obtain the prestress in the structure caused by centrifugal forces, followed by an eigenfrequency analysis with the prestressed state as a linearization point. This effect is very important for cases where an object with a large moment of inertia on the rotor is tilted significantly in the mode shape.

## References

1. W. J. Chen, and E.J. Gunter, Introduction to Dynamics of Rotor-Bearing Systems, Trafford Publishing, 2007.
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## Rotordynamics Theory

This chapter introduces you to the theory that is specific for the features in the Rotordynamics Module.

In this chapter:

- Solid Rotor Theory
- Frames and Coordinate Systems
- Displacement, Velocity, and Acceleration
- Rotor Axis
- Change Rotor Speed
- Formulation of Equilibrium Equations
- Damping
- Rigid Domain
- Fixed Axial Rotation
- Loads
- Bearings and Foundations
- Multi-Spool Bearing
- Liquid Annular Seal
- Rotor Coupling
- Misalignment
- Identification of Whirl
- Added Mass
- Energy Quantities
- Gear Theory
- Gear Pair Theory


## Solid Rotor Theory

In the following, the theory for the Solid Rotor interface is described. To a large extent, this section also applies to the Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame and the Beam Rotor interfaces. Theory that is specific to the Beam Rotor interface and the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface is described in the corresponding chapters.

- Beam Rotor Theory
- Hydrodynamic Bearing Theory


## Frames and Coordinate Systems

## Fixed and Corotating Frames

Consider a space-fixed frame $O$ and a body-fixed rotating frame $O^{*}$ as shown in Figure 3-1.


Figure 3-1: Canonical basis in different frames.
The origins of both frames coincide for all times $t$. The canonical basis vector triads for both frames are $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{3}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{*}, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{*}, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{\boldsymbol{}}\right\}$, respectively. Any vector $\mathbf{v}$ in a basis can be expressed as

$$
\mathbf{v}=\left(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) \mathbf{e}_{i}=v_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i} .
$$

Therefore, basis vector triads in frame $O^{*}$ can be expressed in terms of basis vectors in $O$ as

$$
\mathbf{e}_{i}^{*}=\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}^{*} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right) \mathbf{e}_{j}=R_{i j} \mathbf{e}_{j}
$$

where the transformation matrix components are given by $R_{i j}=\mathbf{e}_{i}{ }^{*} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}$. A component of a vector $\mathbf{v}$ as observed from the frame $O^{*}$ can be written in terms of the components observed in $O$ as

$$
v_{i}^{*}=\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i}^{*}=\mathbf{v} \cdot\left(R_{i j} \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)=R_{i j} v_{j}
$$

Two frames will be considered: one is spatially fixed with its origin at $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and the other one is the body-fixed rotating frame with its origin at $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}}$. Points expressed in the body-fixed coordinates are distinguished from those represented in spatial coordinates by an asterisk (*) symbol. This means that if $\mathbf{x}$ is a point in the spatial coordinate system, then $\mathbf{x}^{*}$ is the same point in the body-fixed coordinate system. Therefore, we can directly conclude that $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{*}=\mathbf{0}$. However, $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{*}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}}$ need not be zero. The relation between a space-fixed coordinate $\mathbf{x}$ and body-fixed coordinate $\mathbf{x}^{*}$ can be expressed as

$$
\mathbf{x}=R^{T}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}-\mathbf{x}_{s}^{*}\right)=R^{T} \mathbf{x}^{*}+\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}}
$$

The inverse relation is

$$
\mathbf{x}^{*}=R\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)=R \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}
$$

From the above relations, it is clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}}=-R^{T} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{*} \\
& \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}=-R \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the Structural Mechanics User's Guide, see also

- Tensor Notation
- Material and Spatial Coordinates
- Coordinate Systems


## Displacement, Velocity, and Acceleration

This section defines the displacement, velocity, and acceleration of a point located on a rotor in a suitable frame of reference. In general, two different frames can be distinguished, namely the space-fixed and the corotating frames. The rotor deformation can be observed in either of these frames. Depending on the frame of references used in a particular study, terms in the equations of motion will change. In the Rotordynamics Module, the rotor is not physically rotated. Rather, the effect of the rotation is accounted for with frame acceleration forces in the momentum balance equation. The different kinematic quantities are described in the following sections for the two possible reference frames.

## Corotating Frame of Reference

Let $\mathbf{X}$ be the material position of a particle on the rotor and $\mathbf{u}_{r}$ be the displacement of that point due to the deformation in the corotating frame. In the following, a subscript ' $r$ ' is used to denote quantities observed in the corotating frame. Let $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}$ be the base point on the axis of the rotor in the space-fixed frame, which defines the origin of the corotating frame. Then, the position of the material point $\mathbf{X}$ in the corotating frame with respect to the origin is given by

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{bp}}
$$

By using the frame transformation rule, the position vector of the particle at point $\mathbf{X}$ after the deformation (denoted $\chi$ ), can be expressed in the space-fixed frame by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(\mathbf{X}, t)=\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}+R\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)=\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}+R\left(\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}\right) \tag{3-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $R$ is the rotation matrix that maps the components in the rotated directions to those in the fixed directions and is defined as

$$
R=\cos \theta \mathbf{I}+\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}(\theta \times \mathbf{I})+\frac{(1-\cos \theta)}{\theta^{2}}(\theta \otimes \theta)
$$

with $\theta$ being the magnitude of the rotation vector $\theta$. $\mathbf{I}$ is the identity matrix, and $\otimes$ denotes a dyadic product.

The total displacement of a point on the rotor including the effect of rotation in the fixed frame can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}=\chi(\mathbf{X}, t)-\mathbf{X}=R \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}+(R-\mathbf{I})\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}\right) \tag{3-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression for the displacement also accounts for the rotation. If the rotor is not flexible, then the motion of the rotor can be represented as:

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{rigid}}=\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}+R\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}\right)
$$

Therefore, displacement caused only by deformation can be obtained by subtracting the rigid motion from the total displacement

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{d}}=\chi(\mathbf{X}, t)-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{rigid}}=R \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}
$$

Taking the time derivative of Equation 3-1, the velocity at a point on the rotor in the fixed frame is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \chi(\mathbf{X}, t)}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial R}{\partial t}\left(\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}\right)+R\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial t}\right)=  \tag{3-3}\\
& R\left[\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}\right)+\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial t}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathrm{r}}=R^{T} \dot{R}$ is a skew-symmetric tensor corresponding to the angular velocity. Similarly, the acceleration of a point on the rotor in the fixed frame is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \chi(\mathbf{X}, t)}{\partial t^{2}}=R\left[\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial t}+\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}\right)+2 \tilde{\Omega}_{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial t^{2}}\right]=R \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{r}} \tag{3-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The effective acceleration $\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{r}}$ is accounting for the effect of the rotation of the rotor observed in the body-fixed frame and is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial t} \times\left(\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}\right)+\Omega_{\mathrm{r}} \times \Omega_{\mathrm{r}} \times\left(\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}\right)+ \\
& 2 \Omega_{\mathrm{r}} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial t^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term in the equation above corresponds to the Euler acceleration, the second to the centrifugal acceleration, and the third to the Coriolis acceleration. The last term corresponds to the acceleration without the effect of the rotation. Note that the
acceleration also includes the spin softening effect, because centrifugal and Coriolis acceleration terms also contain the effect of deformation.

The relation between the angular velocity vector $\Omega_{\mathrm{r}}$ corresponding to the skew-symmetric tensor $\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathrm{r}}$ with the rotation vector can be obtained by considering

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathbf{a}=\Omega_{\mathrm{r}} \times \mathbf{a}=R^{T}\left(\frac{d R}{d t}\right) \mathbf{a}= \\
{\left[\left(\frac{d \theta}{d t}\right) \frac{\theta}{\theta}+\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}\left(\frac{d \theta}{d t}-\frac{d \theta}{d t} \frac{\theta}{\theta}\right)-\left(\frac{1-\cos \theta}{\theta^{2}}\right)\left(\theta \times \frac{\mathrm{d} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right)\right] \times \mathbf{a}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\mathbf{a}$ is arbitrary,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mathrm{r}}=\left(\frac{d \theta}{d t}\right) \frac{\theta}{\theta}+\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}\left(\frac{d \theta}{d t}-\frac{d \theta}{d t} \frac{\theta}{\theta}\right)-\left(\frac{1-\cos \theta}{\theta^{2}}\right)\left(\theta \times \frac{\mathrm{d} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right) \tag{3-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the relation

$$
\theta \times\left(\theta \times \frac{\mathrm{d} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right)=-\theta^{2}\left(\frac{d \theta}{d t}-\frac{d \theta}{d t} \frac{\theta}{\theta}\right)
$$

Equation 3-5 can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mathrm{r}}=\left[\mathbf{I}-\left(\frac{1-\cos \theta}{\theta^{2}}\right) \Theta+\left(\frac{\theta-\sin \theta}{\theta^{3}}\right) \Theta^{2}\right] \frac{d \theta}{d t}=T^{T} \frac{d \theta}{d t} \tag{3-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\Theta$ is the skew-symmetric tensor corresponding to the rotation vector $\theta$. If the rotation is about a fixed axis $\mathbf{e}$, then the rotation vector can be written as

$$
\theta=\theta \mathbf{e}
$$

and

$$
\Omega_{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{d \theta}{d t} \mathbf{e}
$$

## Space-Fixed Frame of Reference

Consider a rotor as observed in a space-fixed frame. Let the initial position of a material point be denoted by $\mathbf{X}$. Since the rotor rotates about its axis, the position of the material point $\mathbf{X}$ changes in time, even if the rotor is not undergoing any deformation. The current position $\mathbf{x}_{R}$ of the material point $\mathbf{X}$ without deformation is thus given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}(t)=\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}+R(t)\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}\right) \tag{3-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}$ is a point located on the axis of rotation, $R(t)$ is the rotation matrix due to the axial rotation. When also including the deformation, the current position $\mathbf{x}$ of the material point $\mathbf{X}$ is given by

$$
\mathbf{x}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}(t), t\right)=\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}(t)+\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}(t), t\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}(t), t\right)$ is the displacement of the point at $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}(t)$ as observed in the space-fixed frame. The velocity of this point can be expressed as

$$
\mathbf{v}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}(t), t\right)=\frac{d \mathbf{x}}{d t}=\frac{d \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}}{d t}+\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \mathbf{u} d \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}} d t}
$$

where

$$
\frac{d \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}}{d t}=\frac{d R}{d t}\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}\right)=W\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}\right)=W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}
$$

Here, $W$ is a skew-symmetric tensor corresponding to the angular velocity vector $\Omega$, defined as

$$
W=\frac{d R}{d t} R^{T}
$$

The components of the skew-symmetric tensor $W$ in terms of components of angular velocity vector are given as

$$
[W]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\Omega_{z} & \Omega_{y} \\
\Omega_{z} & 0 & -\Omega_{x} \\
-\Omega_{y} & \Omega_{x} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus, the tensor $W$ operating on a vector has the same effect as taking the cross product of $\Omega$ with the same vector.

The velocity vector can therefore also we expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}(t), t\right)=\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}} W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}+W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}+F_{\mathrm{R}} W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}} \tag{3-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
F_{\mathrm{R}}=I+\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}}=I+\nabla_{\mathrm{R}} \mathbf{u}
$$

Similarly, the acceleration is obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{a}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}(t), t\right)=\frac{d \mathbf{v}}{d t}=\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^{2}}+2\left(\frac{\partial \partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} \frac{\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}}{}\right) W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}+\frac{d W}{d t} \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}+\frac{\partial u d}{\partial x_{R}} \frac{d W}{d t} \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}+  \tag{3-9}\\
& \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}} W W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}+\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}} W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}+W W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term on the right-hand side in the above expression is the acceleration without the effect of rotation, and second term is the Coriolis acceleration. The third and the fourth terms are the Euler acceleration. The last three terms on the right-hand side are the centrifugal acceleration. The acceleration can also be expressed with the deformation gradient as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{a}=\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^{2}}+2 \frac{\partial F_{\mathrm{R}}}{\partial t} W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}+F_{\mathrm{R}} \frac{\partial W}{\partial t} \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}+F_{\mathrm{R}} W^{2} \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}+\left(\frac{\partial F_{\mathrm{R}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}} W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}} \tag{3-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Rotor Axis

This section aims to define the rotor axis in the Solid Rotor interface. There are two methods to specify the rotor axis:

- The first method is to specify two points, usually the locations of end supports, on the rotor. The line joining these points then defines the rotor axis.
- The second method is to specify an edge on the rotor corresponding to the physical axis of the rotor. If there is only one support, you can either specify the two points close to the support or use the second method to specify the edge representing the rotor axis.

The following sections describe the two methods to determine the rotor axis.

## Support Locations

Let $\mathbf{X}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{2}$ be the position vectors of the points corresponding to the support locations. Then the rotor axis direction is

$$
\mathbf{e}_{1}=\frac{\mathbf{X}_{2}-\mathbf{X}_{1}}{\left\|\mathbf{X}_{2}-\mathbf{X}_{1}\right\|}
$$

For a material point $\mathbf{X}$ on the rotor, the corresponding material point on the rotor axis is

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{ax}}=\mathbf{X}_{1}+\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{1}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right\} \mathbf{e}_{1}
$$

## Edge

If the edge corresponding to the axis is specified, then $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{ax}}$ are the material coordinates $\mathbf{X}$ on the edge. The axis direction is given by

$$
\mathbf{e}_{1}=\frac{\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathbf{X}}{\mathrm{~d} \zeta_{1}}}{\left\|\frac{\mathrm{~d} \mathbf{X}}{\mathrm{~d} \zeta_{1}}\right\|}
$$

where $\zeta_{1}$ is the local coordinate along the edge representing the axis.

## Change Rotor Speed

The speed of the rotor is usually specified in the interface settings. If the analysis consists of a single rotor or multiple rotors rotating at the same speed, you do not need the Change Rotor Speed node. However, there are also cases where the rotors in the system rotate at different speeds. Use this feature to selectively specify the speed of each rotor in a multirotor system.

## Geared Rotor System

Geared rotor system have multiple rotors with pair of gears connecting them together. The speed of the shafts that are connected through the gears have a specific ratio called the gear ratio, which is the number of teeth per gear. The Change Rotor Speed feature can be used to specify the angular speeds of the respective rotors consistent with the gear ratio.

Multi-Spool Rotor System
A multi-spool rotor system has coaxial rotors with an intershaft bearing connecting them together. Both the shafts in such an arrangement have independent speed of rotation. The Change Rotor Speed feature can be used to specify the speed of one of the shafts whereas other shaft inherits the speed specified at the interface.

## Formulation of Equilibrium Equations

In this section:

- Transformation of Some Quantities
- Equation Implementation


## Transformation of Some Quantities

## COROTATING FRAME

The deformation gradient in the fixed frame is obtained by taking the derivative of Equation 3-1 with respect to $\mathbf{X}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \chi(\mathbf{X}, t)}{\partial \mathbf{X}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{X}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}+R\left(\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}\right)\right)=R \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{X}}\left(\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)=R F_{\mathrm{r}} \tag{3-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{\mathrm{r}}$ is the deformation gradient in the rotating frame.
Using this expression for the deformation gradient, the relation between the Green-Lagrange strain in the fixed and corotating frames is

$$
E=\frac{1}{2}\left(F^{T} F-I\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{T} R^{T} R F_{\mathrm{r}}-I\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{r}}^{T} F_{\mathrm{r}}-I\right)=E_{\mathrm{r}}
$$

A traction vector transforms between different frames like a vector. Therefore, the relation between the traction vector in the fixed and corotating frames is

$$
\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{n})=R \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}}, t, \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{n}=R \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{r}}$. Using Cauchy's relation, $\mathbf{t}=\sigma \mathbf{n}$, the Cauchy stress tensor transforms as

$$
\sigma=R \sigma_{\mathrm{r}} R^{T}
$$

The first Piola-Kirchhoff tensor in the fixed frame is related to the same tensor in the corotating frame by

$$
P=J \sigma F^{-T}=J\left(R \sigma_{\mathrm{r}} R^{T}\right)\left(R F_{\mathrm{r}}\right)^{-T}=J R \sigma_{\mathrm{r}} F_{\mathrm{r}}^{-T}=R P_{\mathrm{r}}
$$

## SPACE-FIXED FRAME

Deformation gradient in space-fixed frame can be written as

$$
F=\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial X}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}}{\partial \mathbf{X}}=F_{\mathrm{R}} R
$$

$F_{\mathrm{R}}$ is the deformation gradient using rotated coordinates $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}$ as reference. Thus Green-Lagrange strain can be expressed as

$$
E=\frac{1}{2}\left(F^{T} F-I\right)=R^{T} \frac{1}{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{R}}^{T} F_{\mathrm{R}}-I\right) R=R^{T} E_{\mathrm{R}} R
$$

We know that area transformation between spatial and material frame are related as

$$
\mathbf{n} d A=\operatorname{det}(F) F^{-T} \mathbf{N} d A_{0}
$$

If we use this transformation for the case where the rotor only rigidly rotates without any deformation, then

$$
\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{R}} d A_{\mathrm{R}}=\operatorname{det}(R) R^{-T} \mathbf{N} d A_{0}=R \mathbf{N} d A_{0}
$$

Thus, $\mathrm{d} A_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{d} A_{0}$ and $\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{R} \mathbf{N}$.
The relation between Cauchy stress and second Piola-Kirchhoff stress is

$$
S=\operatorname{det}(F) F^{-1} \sigma F^{-T}=\operatorname{det}\left(F_{\mathrm{R}}\right) R^{T} F_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1} \sigma F_{\mathrm{R}}^{-T} R
$$

Using the rigidly rotated state as a reference, the second Piola-Kirchhoff stress is

$$
S_{\mathrm{R}}=\operatorname{det}\left(F_{\mathrm{R}}\right) F_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1} \sigma F_{\mathrm{R}}^{-T}
$$

Therefore, relation between second Piola-Kirchhoff stress using initial configuration and rotated configuration as reference is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=R^{T} S_{\mathrm{R}} R \tag{3-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the similar steps, the relation between first Piola-Kirchhoff stress using initial and rotated configuration as reference is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=P_{\mathrm{R}} R \tag{3-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Equation Implementation

The equilibrium equations for rotordynamics are based on Newton's second law. It is usually written using a spatial formulation in terms of the Cauchy stress tensor $\sigma$ :

$$
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^{2}}=\nabla_{x} \cdot \sigma+\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{V}}
$$

Here, $\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{V}}$ is a body force per unit deformed volume, and $\rho$ is the current mass density. For the material frame formulation used in COMSOL Multiphysics, it is more appropriate to use a Lagrangian version of the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} \chi(\mathbf{X}, t)}{\partial t^{2}}=\nabla_{X} \cdot P+\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{V}} \tag{3-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the first Piola-Kirchhoff tensor, $P$, is used. The term $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{V}}$ is the body force with the components in the current configuration but given with respect to the undeformed volume, and $\rho_{0}$ is initial mass density. Also note that the gradient operators are not the same. In the first case, the gradient is taken with respect to spatial coordinates, and in the second case it is taken with respect to material coordinates.

## COROTATING FRAME

Considering that Equation 3-14 is valid in an inertial frame, the fixed-to-corotating frame transformation and Equation 3-4 are used to write Equation 3-14 in the corotating frame as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0} \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{r}}=\nabla_{X} \cdot P_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{rV}}=\nabla_{X} \cdot\left(F_{\mathrm{r}} S_{\mathrm{r}}\right)+\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{rV}} \tag{3-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

All the variables in Equation 3-15 are interpreted in the corotating frame. Here, $S_{\mathrm{r}}$ is the second Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor, and $F_{\mathrm{r}}$ is the deformation gradient tensor. The COMSOL Multiphysics implementation of the equations in the Solid Rotor interface is, however, not based on the equation of motion directly but rather on the principle of virtual work.

The principle of virtual work states that the sum of the internal virtual work and the external virtual work are equal. The internal virtual work is the work done by the current stress state on a kinematically admissible variation in strains. The external virtual work is the work done by all forces (acting on domains, boundaries, edges, or points) when multiplied with the variation in displacements corresponding to the variation in strains. The virtual displacements $\delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}$ are in the finite element formulation
represented by the test () operator in COMSOL Multiphysics. For a transient case, the virtual work $\delta W$ is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta W=\int_{V}\left(-\delta \varepsilon: \sigma+\delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{r} V}-\rho_{0} \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{r}}\right) d V+  \tag{3-16}\\
& \int_{S}\left(\delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{r} S}\right) d S+\int_{L}\left(\delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{r} L}\right) d L+\sum_{P} \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{r} P}
\end{align*}
$$

As the equation is formulated in the material frame, all of the integrals are taken over the undeformed geometry.

The Solid Rotor interface supports stationary, time-dependent, and eigenfrequency analyses. For stationary analyses, the acceleration term is reduced to

$$
\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{r}}=\Omega_{\mathrm{r}} \times\left\{\Omega_{\mathrm{r}} \times\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}\right)\right\}
$$

For eigenfrequency analyses, the acceleration term is

$$
\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{r}}=(j \omega)^{2} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}+2(j \omega) \Omega_{\mathrm{r}} \times \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}
$$

## SPACE-FIXED FRAME

The momentum balance equation (Equation 3-14) can be transformed by incorporating the quantities obtained by using rotated coordinates as a reference (see Equation 3-9 and Equation 3-13). The momentum balance then becomes

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{0}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^{2}}+2 \frac{\partial F_{\mathrm{R}}}{\partial t} W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}+F_{\mathrm{R}} \frac{\partial W}{\partial t} \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}+F_{\mathrm{R}} W^{2} \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}+\left(\frac{\partial F_{\mathrm{R}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}} W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}\right]= \\
\nabla_{X} \cdot\left(P_{\mathrm{R}} R\right)+\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{V}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Using Equation 3-7 to transfer the derivative with respect to the material coordinate $\mathbf{X}$ to the derivative with respect to the rotating coordinate $\mathbf{x}_{R}$, the momentum balance equation changes to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{0}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^{2}}+2 \frac{\partial F_{\mathrm{R}}}{\partial t} W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}+F_{\mathrm{R}} \frac{\partial W}{\partial t} \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}+F_{\mathrm{R}} W^{2} \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}+\left(\frac{\partial F_{\mathrm{R}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}} W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}\right]= \\
\nabla_{\mathrm{x}_{R}} \cdot P_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{V}}
\end{gathered}
$$

For the transient case, virtual work can be obtained by multiplying the momentum balance equation by $\delta \mathbf{u}$ and integrating the resulting expression over the material volume. Since many of the quantities are expressed in terms of rotated coordinates $\mathbf{x}_{R}$ rather than the material coordinate $\mathbf{X}$, we can change the integral over the material volume $V$ to an integral over the rotating volume $V_{\mathrm{R}}$. The resulting expression is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\delta W=\int_{V_{R}}\left(-\delta F_{R}: P_{R}+\delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{V}-\rho_{R} \delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{a}\right) d V_{R}+ \\
\int_{S_{R}}\left(\delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{S}\right) d S_{R}+\int_{L_{R}}\left(\delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{L}\right) d L_{R}+\sum_{P_{R}} \delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{P}
\end{array}
$$

Note that the integrals are performed over the rotating volume. Hence, they will change in time even if the quantities inside the integral are no function of time. If the rotor is axisymmetric, the rotating volume does not change in time. In that case, the rotating volume can be treated as a stationary volume similar to the material volume.

The acceleration for the transient study as a function of material coordinates is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{a}=\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^{2}}+\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbf{u}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t} \times \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)+\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t} \times R \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}+2\left(\nabla_{X} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}\right)\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)+ \\
\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbf{u}\right)\left\{\Omega \times\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\right\}+\left\{\nabla_{X}\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbf{u}\right)\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\right\}\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)+\Omega \times\left(\Omega \times R \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The first term on the right-hand side of the above expression is the acceleration without the effect of rotation. The second and third term combined are due to the Euler acceleration. The fourth term is the Coriolis acceleration. The last three terms combined are the centrifugal acceleration.

For a stationary analysis the acceleration reduces to

$$
\mathbf{a}=\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbf{u}\right)\left\{\Omega \times\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\right\}+\left\{\nabla_{X}\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbf{u}\right)\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\right\}\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)+\Omega \times\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)
$$

In an eigenfrequency or frequency-domain study, the acceleration takes the following form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{a}=(i \omega)^{2} \mathbf{u}+2(i \omega)\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbf{u}\right)\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)+ \\
\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbf{u}\right)\left\{\Omega \times\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\right\}+\left\{\nabla_{X}\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbf{u}\right)\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\right\}\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)+\Omega \times\left(\Omega \times R \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Damping

For dynamic problems, damping in the structure is an important property. In this section, the theory for Rayleigh damping is described. For other types of damping, see the Structural Mechanics Module User's Guide.

## Rayleigh Damping: Corotating Frame

Rayleigh damping is described by two coefficients: the mass damping coefficient $\alpha_{d M}$ and the stiffness damping coefficient $\beta_{\mathrm{dK}}$. Rayleigh damping gives the following contribution to the virtual work:

$$
\delta W=\int_{V}\left[-\beta_{\mathrm{dK}} \delta\left(\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\right): \frac{\partial P_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial t}-\alpha_{\mathrm{dM}} \rho \delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t}\right] d V
$$

Since a rigid body rotation will not cause any structural damping, contributions due to a rigid motion can be ignored in the corotating frame formulation. The resulting expression is

$$
\delta W=\int_{V}\left[-\beta_{\mathrm{dK}} \delta\left(\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\right): \frac{\partial P_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial t}-\alpha_{\mathrm{dM}} \rho \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial t}\right] d V
$$

where the equality $\delta \mathbf{u}=R \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}$ is used.
For the stationary case, there is no damping contribution.
In a frequency-domain analysis, the contribution to the virtual work is

$$
\delta W=(i \omega) \int_{V}\left[-\beta_{\mathrm{dK}} \delta\left(\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\right): P_{\mathrm{r}}-\alpha_{\mathrm{dM}} \rho \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\right] d V
$$

Since Rayleigh damping is added directly to the virtual work equation, it does not affect the constitutive relation. As a consequence, the stresses and strains for a linear elastic material will still be in phase. This stands in contrast to other damping models.

## Rayleigh Damping: Space-Fixed Frame

Rayleigh damping in fixed frame gives the following contribution to the virtual work:

$$
\delta W=\int_{V}\left[-\beta_{\mathrm{dK}} \delta(\nabla \mathbf{u}): \frac{\partial P}{\partial t}-\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{dM}} \rho \delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right)\right] d V
$$

Again ignoring the effect of rigid motion on the damping, the weak contribution, after simplification, can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta W= & \int_{V_{\mathrm{R}}}\left[-\beta_{\mathrm{dK}} \delta\left(\nabla_{\mathrm{R}} \mathbf{u}\right): \frac{\partial P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\partial t}-\beta_{\mathrm{dK}} \delta\left(\nabla_{\mathrm{R}} \mathbf{u}\right): P_{\mathrm{R}} W\right. \\
& \left.-\alpha_{\mathrm{dM}} \rho_{\mathrm{R}} \delta \mathbf{u} \cdot\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}+\nabla_{\mathrm{R}} \mathbf{u} W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\right] d V_{\mathrm{R}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the stationary case, the damping contribution reduces to

$$
\delta W=\int_{V_{\mathrm{R}}}\left[-\beta_{\mathrm{dK}} \delta\left(\nabla_{\mathrm{R}} \mathbf{u}\right): P_{\mathrm{R}} W-\alpha_{\mathrm{dM}} \rho_{\mathrm{R}} \delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathrm{R}} \mathbf{u} W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}\right] d V_{\mathrm{R}}
$$

In frequency-domain, the damping contribution can be written as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta W=\int_{V_{\mathrm{R}}}\left[-\beta_{\mathrm{dK}} \delta\left(\nabla_{\mathrm{R}} \mathbf{u}\right):\left(i \omega P_{\mathrm{R}}\right)-\beta_{\mathrm{dK}} \delta\left(\nabla_{\mathrm{R}} \mathbf{u}\right): P_{\mathrm{R}} W\right. \\
\left.-\alpha_{\mathrm{dM}} \rho_{\mathrm{R}} \delta \mathbf{u} \cdot\left(i \omega \mathbf{u}+\nabla_{\mathrm{R}} \mathbf{u} W \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\right] d V_{\mathrm{R}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Viscous Damping: Space-Fixed Frame

The viscous damping for a Newtonian-type fluid is specified by two material constants $\mu_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{s}}$, known as bulk viscosity and shear viscosity, respectively. The constitutive law is defined by separating the strains into two parts namely, volumetric strain and deviatoric strain (traceless) components. The volumetric strain rate multiplied by the bulk viscosity gives a pressure, and the deviatoric strain rate multiplied by a shear viscosity gives deviatoric stress. Total stress is then the sum of pressure and deviatoric stress:

$$
\sigma=-p I+\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}=\mu_{\mathrm{b}} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}}{\partial t} I+\mu_{\mathrm{s}} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{d}}}{\partial t}
$$

The strain rate is defined as

$$
\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbf{v}+\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbf{v}\right)^{T}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(L+L^{T}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}}{\partial t}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t}\right) \\
\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{d}}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t}-\frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}}{\partial t} I
\end{gathered}
$$

Using the velocity expression from Equation 3-8, it can be shown that

$$
\begin{gathered}
L=\nabla_{x} \mathbf{v}=\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} F^{-1}=L_{\mathrm{R}}+F_{\mathrm{R}} W F_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1} \\
\nabla_{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}=\operatorname{Tr}(L)=\frac{1}{J} \frac{d J}{d t}=\frac{1}{J_{\mathrm{R}}} \frac{d J_{\mathrm{R}}}{d t}
\end{gathered}
$$

After substituting these expressions, the total stress can be written as

$$
\sigma=\left(\mu_{\mathrm{b}}-\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{s}}}{3}\right) \frac{1}{J_{\mathrm{R}}} \frac{d J_{\mathrm{R}}}{d t} I+\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{s}}}{2}\left(2 D_{\mathrm{R}}+F_{\mathrm{R}} W F_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1}-F_{\mathrm{R}}^{-T} W F_{\mathrm{R}}^{T}\right)
$$

The second Piola-Kirchhoff tensor then becomes

$$
S=J F^{-1} \sigma F^{-T}=J_{\mathrm{R}} R^{T} F_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1} \sigma F_{\mathrm{R}}^{-T} R=R^{T} S_{\mathrm{R}} R
$$

Thus,

$$
S_{\mathrm{R}}=\left(\mu_{\mathrm{b}}-\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{s}}}{3}\right) \frac{d J_{\mathrm{R}}}{d t} C_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1}+\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{s}}}{2} J_{\mathrm{R}}\left(2 F_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1} D_{\mathrm{R}} F_{\mathrm{R}}^{-T}+W C_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1}-C_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1} W\right)
$$

Consider,

$$
2 F_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1} D_{\mathrm{R}}{F_{\mathrm{R}}^{-T}}^{-T} F_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial F_{\mathrm{R}}}{\partial t} F_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1}+{F_{\mathrm{R}}^{-T}}_{-\partial F_{\mathrm{R}}^{T}}^{\partial t}\right) F_{\mathrm{R}}^{-T}=C_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1} \frac{\partial C_{\mathrm{R}}}{\partial t} C_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1}
$$

Therefore,

$$
S_{\mathrm{R}}=\left(\mu_{\mathrm{b}}-\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{s}}}{3}\right) \frac{d J_{\mathrm{R}}}{d t} C_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1}+\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{s}}}{2} J_{\mathrm{R}}\left(2 C_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1} \frac{\partial E_{\mathrm{R}}}{\partial t} C_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1}+W C_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1}-C_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1} W\right)
$$

## Rigid Domain

A Rigid Domain, or a rigid body, is an idealization where the body is assumed to exhibit no deformation. In other words, the distance between any two given points of a rigid body remains constant in time, regardless of any external forces acting on it. An object can be assumed to be rigid if its flexibility is negligible in comparison to other flexibilities in the system, and when there is no need to compute internal stresses.

## Q <br> For details about the theory of a rigid domain, see Rigid Material in the Structural Mechanics Module User's Guide.

The implementation of the rigid domain in the Rotordynamics Module differs from the Structural Mechanics Module in terms of the contribution to the virtual work due to inertial forces.

## Corotating Frame

In the Structural Mechanics Module, the rigid domain is modeled in a space-fixed frame. In the Solid Rotor interface, however, it is modeled in a rotating frame associated with the rotor rotation. Therefore, some frame acceleration terms also contribute to the virtual work. In a frame rotating with angular velocity $\Omega$, this additional contribution to the virtual work is:

$$
\delta W_{k}=-m \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{f}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{m}}-A_{\mathrm{f}} \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{m}}: \delta R=-m \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{f}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{f}} \cdot \delta \vartheta
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{f}}=\Omega \times\left(\Omega \times \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{mp}}\right)+\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t} \times \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{mp}}+2 \Omega \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\partial t} \\
& A_{\mathrm{f}}=\left(\tilde{\Omega}^{2}+\tilde{\Omega}\right) R+2 \tilde{\Omega} \dot{R} \\
& \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{f}}=R I_{\mathrm{m}} R^{T}(\dot{\Omega}+\Omega \times \dot{\vartheta})+(\Omega+\dot{\vartheta}) \times R I_{\mathrm{m}} R^{T}(\Omega+\dot{\vartheta})
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{mp}}=\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\mathrm{m}}=\int_{V} \rho\left[\left(X-X_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \cdot\left(X-X_{\mathrm{m}}\right) I-\left(X-X_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \otimes\left(X-X_{\mathrm{m}}\right)\right] d V \\
& E_{\mathrm{m}}=\int_{V} \rho\left(X-X_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \otimes\left(X-X_{\mathrm{m}}\right) d V \\
& \tilde{\Omega}=\Omega \times I
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\vartheta$ is the rotation vector corresponding to the rotation matrix $R$, which represents the rotation of the rigid body in the rotating frame.

## Space-Fixed Frame

In the Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interface equations are modeled in a space-fixed frame. However, additional acceleration terms due to the spin of the rotor also contribute to the virtual work. The additional contribution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta W_{k}=-m\left(A R-A_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}\right) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}} \\
& -m\left[\left\{\ddot{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathrm{c}}+\left(A R-A_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}\right)\right\} \otimes(R-I)\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}\right)\right]: \delta R_{\mathrm{rig}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=A_{1}+A_{2} \\
& A_{1}=\left(\dot{W}_{\text {rig }}+W_{\text {rig }}^{2}\right) R_{\text {rig }} \\
& A_{2}=2 W_{\text {rig }} R_{\text {rig }} W+R_{\text {rig }} \dot{W}+R_{\text {rig }} W^{2} \\
& m=\int_{V_{0}} \rho_{0} d V_{0} \\
& E=\int_{V_{0}} \rho_{0}\left(X-X_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \otimes\left(X-X_{\mathrm{c}}\right) d V_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above, $R_{\text {rig }}$ is the rotation matrix due to a rigid body motion and $R$ is the rotation matrix corresponding to corotational motion. Similarly, $W_{\text {rig }}$ and $W$ are the angular velocity tensors corresponding to rigid body motion and corotational motion, respectively.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{\mathrm{rig}}=\dot{R}_{\mathrm{rig}} R_{\mathrm{rig}}^{T} \\
& W=\dot{R} R^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Fixed Axial Rotation

Rotors are often subjected to torsional loads. Therefore, the rotor transfers the torsional load while it is rotating about its own axis. The bearings that support the rotor do not constrain the axial rotation of the rotor. Since you analyze the rotor in the corotating frame during the rotordynamic analysis, you need a cross section on the rotor as a reference about which torsional vibration can be studied. The Fixed Axial Rotation node is a default node in the Solid Rotor interface that provides the method to constrain the axial rotation of the rotor at a given cross section. In general, each cross section along the rotor can have an axial rotation that differs from the rigid body rotation due to twist in the rotor. The nonrigid part of the axial rotation is constrained by this feature.

If the deformational displacement is approximated by a rigid body map, then

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{ax}}+\theta_{\mathrm{r}} \times\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{ax}}\right)
$$

Therefore, taking the cross product by $\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{ax}}$ on both sides and integrating over the area, gives

$$
I_{\mathrm{A}} \theta_{\mathrm{r}}=\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{ax}}\right) \times\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{ax}}\right) d A
$$

The following constraint should be applied to fix the axial rotation:

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}=\mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot\left(I_{\mathrm{A}}\right)^{-1} \int_{A}\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{ax}}\right) \times\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{rot}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{ax}}\right) d A=0
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{A}=\int_{A}\left[\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \cdot\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right\} I-\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \otimes\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right] d A \\
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{ax}}=\frac{\int_{A} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}} d A}{\int_{A} d A}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\int_{A} \mathbf{X} d A}{\int_{A} d A}
$$

## Weak Constraint

The constraint described above involves many degrees of freedom. The internal constraint elimination algorithm will have to solve a large system of equations, which may lead to excessive memory usage or inaccuracies. Sometimes due to inaccurate handing of the constraints you may observe convergence issues in the model. If this happens, select the Use weak constraints check box (enabled by Advanced Physics
Options) to use the weak form of the constraint. The Lagrange multiplier, <phys>.<feat>.th1_1m, for this constraint provides the reaction torque on the cross section.

## Loads

In this section:

- Distributed Loads
- Gravity


## Distributed Loads

The direction of the explicitly applied distributed load should be given with reference to a global or local coordinate system in the spatial (fixed) or corotating frame. The magnitude of the load must be with reference to the undeformed reference (or material) area. Since the reference area does not depend on the material or corotating frame, the magnitude of the load remains unaffected with respect to the frame in which it is applied. However, the direction of the load will depend strongly on the frame in which it is applied. The relation between the true force $\mathbf{f}$ acting on the current area $d a$ and the specified distributed load $\mathbf{F}$ acting on the material area $d A$ is $\mathbf{f} d a=\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{f}} d A$. If the load is specified in the corotating frame, then the relation changes to $\mathbf{f} d a=R \mathbf{F} d A$. Therefore, the contribution to the virtual work for a distributed force specified on a surface is

$$
\delta W=\int_{A} \delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{A} d A=\int_{A} \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot R^{T} \mathbf{F}_{A} d A=\int_{A} \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{r} A} d A
$$

In a stationary analysis, irrespective of the choice of the frame, a load is always considered to act in the corotating frame.

When a pressure load, $p$, acts on the rotor, the true force on the surface element acts with the magnitude $p$ over the current area $d a$ in the normal direction $\mathbf{n}$ :

$$
\mathbf{f}=p \mathbf{n} d a
$$

Therefore, the pressure load type specifies the distributed load as

$$
\mathbf{F}=p \mathbf{n} \frac{d a}{d A}
$$

where both normal $\mathbf{n}$ and area element $d a$ are functions of the current displacement field. Another view of how to interpret the load is to express it in terms of the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor $P$ via the following formula:

$$
\mathbf{F}=P \mathbf{n}_{0}
$$

where the normal $\mathbf{n}_{0}$ corresponds to the undeformed surface element. Such a force vector is often referred to as nominal traction.

## ROTATING LOAD IN FREQUENCY DOMAIN

In rotordynamics, we often encounter loads that are rotating with respect to the frame in which the rotor is modeled. For example, an eccentric load observed in a space-fixed frame is rotating with a speed equal to the rotor's angular speed. Similarly, a gravitational load will appear to be rotating with a speed equal to the rotor's angular speed and opposite to the rotational direction when observed in a corotating frame. In frequency-domain analyses, such loads require special treatment to correctly model their rotating behavior.

Consider a load of magnitude $F_{0}$ rotating about the rotor axis with angular speed $\Omega$ with an initial phase angle $\phi$. The local components of this load as a function of time are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}=0 \\
& F_{2}=F_{0} \cos (\Omega t+\phi) \\
& F_{3}=F_{0} \sin (\Omega t+\phi)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the vector form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{F}=F_{0} \cos (\Omega t+\phi) \mathbf{e}_{20}+F_{0} \sin (\Omega t+\phi) \mathbf{e}_{30} \\
& =\frac{F_{0}}{2}\left[(\cos \phi+i \sin \phi)\left(\mathbf{e}_{20}-i \mathbf{e}_{30}\right) e^{i \Omega t}+(\cos \phi-i \sin \phi)\left(\mathbf{e}_{20}+i \mathbf{e}_{30}\right) e^{-i \Omega t}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

With

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{20}=F_{0} \cos \phi \\
& F_{30}=F_{0} \sin \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

a load rotating in positive $\Omega$ direction can in frequency-domain be represented as

$$
\mathbf{F}=\left(\frac{F_{20}+i F_{30}}{2}\right) \mathbf{e}_{20}-\left(\frac{F_{30}-i F_{20}}{2}\right) \mathbf{e}_{30}
$$

Similarly, a load rotating in negative $\Omega$ direction is

$$
\mathbf{F}=\left(\frac{F_{20}-i F_{30}}{2}\right) \mathbf{e}_{20}+\left(\frac{F_{30}+i F_{20}}{2}\right) \mathbf{e}_{30}
$$

## Gravity

You can add the Gravity node to create the load caused by gravity. This gives load contribution from all the nodes in the physics interface that have density or mass, such as Linear Elastic Material, Added Mass, or Point Mass.

In the following, the mass density $\rho$ should be considered to be generalized. It can represent mass per unit volume, mass per unit area, mass per unit length, or mass itself, depending on the dimensionality of the object giving the contribution. The gravity acts in a fixed spatial direction $\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{g}}$. The intensity in the corotating frame is:

$$
\mathbf{g}=\rho g R^{T} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{g}}
$$

where $g$ is the acceleration of gravity. The action of gravity can also be presented as the linearly accelerated frame of reference. Thus, it can be accounted for as a contribution into the effective acceleration via the frame acceleration term given by:

$$
\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{f}}=-\mathbf{g}
$$

Due to the presence of the transformation matrix $R$, which is time dependent, gravity in the Solid Rotor interface is only meaningful in a dynamic analysis. However, for a stationary analysis, the component of gravity that acts along the axis of the rotor is still considered, since it is independent of the rotation. For an eigenfrequency analysis, gravity has no effect. Since a gravity load in a corotating frame is a rotating load, it can be applied as described in Rotating Load in Frequency Domain for frequency-domain analyses.

## Bearings and Foundations

In this section:

- Journal Bearing
- Thrust Bearing
- Radial Roller Bearing
- Active Magnetic Bearing
- Fixed Foundation
- Moving Foundation
- Flexible Foundation
- Squeeze Film Damper


## Journal Bearing

The purpose of journal bearings is to support the rotor against its lateral movement. Due to the finite length of the bearings, as a side effect, they also offer a resistance to the bending of the rotor about the two lateral directions. If the bearings are very short when compared to the overall length of the rotor, the bending resistance of the bearings can be neglected. Modeling of the journal bearings in COMSOL Multiphysics can be done in two ways: get the equivalent stiffness and damping constants or consider the equivalent resistive forces and moments offered by the bearing. There is also a special category where the journal bearing is assumed to offer infinite resistance to the lateral and bending motion of the journal. This is called a no-clearance bearing.

For the purpose of implementation, the motion of the journal in the Solid Rotor interface is represented by a displacement at the center of the journal and a rotation around it. To obtain these quantities from the displacement field of the journal, it is assumed that the displacement of the journal in the rotating frame can be approximated by a rigid map:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{~J}}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}+\theta_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}} \times\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \tag{3-17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The displacement at the center of the journal $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}$ can be obtained by:

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}=\frac{\int_{A} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}} d A}{\int_{A} d A}
$$

and the rotation of the journal can be obtained by first taking the cross product by $\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}$ on both sides of Equation 3-17and integrating over the area:

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}=\left(I_{\mathrm{A}}\right)^{-1} \int_{A}\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \times\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}\right) d A
$$

with

$$
I_{A}=\int_{A}\left[\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \cdot\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right\} I-\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \otimes\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right] d A
$$

In the expressions above, $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is the coordinate of the center of the journal given by

$$
\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\int_{A} \mathbf{X} d A}{\int_{A} d A}
$$

The displacement of the journal, which affects and in turn is affected by the bearing operation, is the displacement excluding the effect of the axial rotation of the rotor. In a spatial (fixed) frame this is, for the Solid Rotor interface, given by

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{J}}=R \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{~J}}
$$

In the Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interface the above transformation using a rotation matrix is not required.

The relative displacement of the journal axis with respect to the foundation in the spatial frame is given by

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{J}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{fd}}=R \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{~J}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{fd}}
$$

Here, $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{fd}}$ is the displacement field of the foundation in the spatial frame.

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{fd}}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}+\theta_{\mathrm{f}} \times\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)
$$

In the cases where deformation of the journal is significant, the displacement field on the journal surface should be considered instead of a linearized displacement of the journal axis:

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{d}}=R \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{fd}}
$$

for a Solid Rotor interface, and

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{fd}}
$$

for a Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interface.
The lateral displacement components at the center of the journal, with respect to the foundation in the bearing lateral directions, are

$$
u_{\mathrm{d} 2}=\left(R \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}^{\mathrm{b}}
$$

and

$$
u_{\mathrm{d} 3}=\left(R \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{3}^{\mathrm{b}}
$$

The rotation components of the journal relative to the foundation about the bearing lateral axes are

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{d} 2}=\left(R \theta_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}-\theta_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}^{\mathrm{b}}
$$

and

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{d} 3}=\left(R \theta_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}-\theta_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{3}^{\mathrm{b}}
$$

in which $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{f}}$ are the displacement and rotation vectors, respectively, of the bearing foundation at the center of the bearing. The above definition of local displacement and rotation components are valid for the Solid Rotor interface. In a Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interface the additional transformation with a rotation matrix to convert the rotating frame displacement to a fixed frame displacement is not required.

For the Solid Rotor and Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interfaces, the following methods are provided to model journal bearings:

- No Clearance
- Plain Hydrodynamic
- Total Spring and Damping Constant
- Total Force and Moment
- Force Per Unit Area


## NO CLEARANCE

In this method, it is assumed that there is no clearance between the journal and the bushing of the bearing. Therefore, the relative motion between the journal and foundation is constrained to zero in the lateral direction. The following constraints are applied:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{d}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}^{\mathrm{b}}=0 \\
& \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{d}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{3}^{\mathrm{b}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## PLAIN HYDRODYNAMIC

This method models a fluid-lubricated plain journal bearing. Linearized bearing dynamic coefficients to model the effect of the fluid film on the journal motion are obtained in Ref. l. These are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{22}=K_{0} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}\left\{16 \varepsilon_{0}^{2}+\pi^{2}\left(2-\varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)\right\}}{\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)^{2} Q} \\
& K_{23}=K_{0} \frac{\pi\left\{\pi^{2}\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}-16 \varepsilon_{0}^{4}\right\}}{4\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)^{5 / 2} Q} \\
& K_{32}=-K_{0} \frac{\pi\left\{\pi^{2}\left(1+2 \varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)+32 \varepsilon_{0}^{2}\left(1+\varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)\right\}}{4\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)^{5 / 2} Q} \\
& K_{33}=K_{0} \frac{\varepsilon_{0}\left\{\pi^{2}\left(1+2 \varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)+32 \varepsilon_{0}^{2}\left(1+\varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)\right\}}{\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)^{3} Q}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{22}=C_{0} \frac{\pi\left\{\pi^{2}\left(1+2 \varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)-16 \varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right\}}{2\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2} Q} \\
& C_{23}=C_{32}=-C_{0} \frac{2 \varepsilon_{0}\left\{\pi^{2}\left(1+2 \varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)-16 \varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right\}}{\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)^{2} Q} \\
& C_{33}=C_{0} \frac{\pi\left\{48 \varepsilon_{0}^{2}+\pi^{2}\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}\right\}}{2\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)^{5 / 2} Q}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{0}=\mu \Omega R(L / C)^{3}, C_{0}=\mu R(L / C)^{3}$ and $Q=16 \varepsilon_{0}^{2}+\pi^{2}\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}^{2}\right)$.
Here, $\mu$ is the dynamic viscosity of the lubricant, $\Omega$ is the angular speed of the rotor, $R$ is the radius of the journal, C is the clearance between the journal and bushing when both are concentric, $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the relative eccentricity and is given by $\varepsilon_{0}=e / C$, and $e$ is the eccentricity of the journal defined as

$$
e=\sqrt{u_{\mathrm{d} 2}^{2}+u_{\mathrm{d} 3}^{2}}
$$

The attitude angle, which represents the direction in which the journal center moves relative to the bushing center with respect to the local $y$ direction, is defined as

$$
\psi=\operatorname{atan}\left(\frac{u_{\mathrm{d} 3}}{u_{\mathrm{d} 2}}\right)
$$

For a dynamic analysis, the contribution to the virtual work from the bearing in this case is

$$
\delta W=\int_{A}-\frac{1}{A}\left\{\left[\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
K_{22} & K_{23} \\
K_{32} & K_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{\mathrm{d} 2} \\
u_{\mathrm{d} 3}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C_{22} & C_{23} \\
C_{32} & C_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u_{\mathrm{d} 2}}{\partial \bar{t}} \\
\frac{\partial u_{\mathrm{d} 3}}{\partial t}
\end{array}\right]\right) \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
\delta u_{\mathrm{d} 2} \\
\delta u_{\mathrm{d} 3}
\end{array}\right]\right\} d A\right.
$$

In a stationary case, the contribution due to damping is dropped in the expression above. For a frequency-domain analysis, the contribution to the virtual work is

$$
\delta W=\int_{A}-\frac{1}{A}\left\{\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
K_{22} & K_{23} \\
K_{32} & K_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{\mathrm{d} 2} \\
u_{\mathrm{d} 3}
\end{array}\right]+j \omega\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C_{22} & C_{23} \\
C_{32} & C_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{\mathrm{d} 2} \\
u_{\mathrm{d} 3}
\end{array}\right]\right) \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
\delta u_{\mathrm{d} 2} \\
\delta u_{\mathrm{d} 3}
\end{array}\right]\right\} d A
$$

## TOTAL SPRING AND DAMPING CONSTANT

In this case, spring and damping constants are needed explicitly to model the bearing. There is also an option to include the translational-rotational coupling both for the spring constant and the damping constant. In the general case, the following inputs are needed: $k_{u}, k_{\theta}, k_{u \theta}$, and $k_{\theta u}$ for stiffness and $c_{u}, c_{\theta}, c_{u \theta}$, and $c_{\theta u}$ for damping. These inputs are all 2 -by- 2 matrices. The contribution to the virtual work is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta W=-\frac{1}{A} \int_{A}\left(\left(k_{u} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}+k_{u \theta} \theta_{\mathrm{b}}+c_{u} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}}{\partial t}+c_{u \theta}{ }_{\partial \theta_{\mathrm{b}}}^{\partial t}\right) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}+\right. \\
& \left.\left(k_{\theta} \theta_{\mathrm{b}}+k_{\theta u} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}+c_{\theta} \frac{\partial \theta_{\mathrm{b}}}{\partial t}+c_{\theta u} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}}{\partial t}\right) \cdot \delta \theta_{\mathrm{b}}\right) d A
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{\mathrm{d} 2} \\
u_{\mathrm{d} 3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{\mathrm{d} 2} \\
\theta_{\mathrm{d} 3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

In a frequency-domain analysis, the contribution to the virtual work is modified to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta W=-\frac{1}{A} \int_{A}\left(\left(k_{u} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}+k_{u \theta} \theta_{\mathrm{b}}+j \omega c_{u} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}+j \omega c_{u \theta} \theta_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}+\right. \\
& \left.\left(k_{\theta} \theta_{\mathrm{b}}+k_{\theta u} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}+j \omega c_{\theta} \theta_{\mathrm{b}}+j \omega c_{\theta u} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \cdot \delta \theta_{\mathrm{b}}\right) d A
\end{aligned}
$$

In a stationary analysis, the terms corresponding to damping are dropped.
Default values for the inputs $k_{\theta}$ and $c_{\theta}$ are provided assuming that $k_{u}$ and $c_{u}$ are constants and that the displacement of the journal varies linearly along the axis. The default values for these inputs are

$$
k_{\theta}=\frac{E_{11}}{A}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
k_{u, 33} & -k_{u, 32} \\
-k_{u, 23} & k_{u, 22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
c_{\theta}=\frac{E_{11}}{A}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
c_{u, 33} & -c_{u, 32} \\
-c_{u, 23} & c_{u, 22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
E_{i j}=\int_{A}\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{c}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i}\right\}\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{c}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right\} d A
$$

## total force and moment

A bearing can also be modeled by directly specifying the total force and moment it applies on the journal. These forces and moments are generally functions of the journal displacement and rotation and their time derivatives. Total force $\mathbf{F}(2$-by- 1 ) and total moment $\mathbf{M}(2$-by- 1$)$ are the inputs. The contribution to the virtual work in this case is

$$
\delta W=-\frac{1}{A} \int_{A}\left(\mathbf{F} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}+\mathbf{M} \cdot \delta \theta_{\mathrm{b}}\right) d A
$$

## FORCE PER UNIT AREA

Instead of specifying the total force and moment on the journal, a distributed force on the journal surface can also be specified. The force per unit area, $\mathbf{F}_{A}(2$-by- 1$)$, is the input. In such a case, the contribution to the virtual work is written as

$$
\delta W=-\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{F}_{A} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{d}}\right) d A
$$

## Thrust Bearing

The purpose of thrust bearings is to restrict the axial motion of the rotor. Due to the finite area of the thrust bearings, as a side effect, they also offer a resistance to the bending of the rotor about the two lateral directions. Just as for journal bearings, modeling of thrust bearings can be done in two ways - either by specifying the equivalent stiffness and damping constants or by specifying the equivalent resistive forces and moments. There is also a special category where the thrust bearing is
assumed to offer infinite resistance to the axial and bending motion of the collar. This is called a no-clearance thrust bearing.

For the purpose of implementation, the motion of the collar in the Solid Rotor interface is represented by the displacement at the center of the collar and a rotation around it. To obtain these quantities from the displacement field of the collar, it is assumed that the relative displacement of the collar with respect to the foundation can be written in terms of the displacement and rotation at the center of the collar in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{C}}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}+\theta_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}} \times\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \tag{3-18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The displacement at the center of the collar $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}$ can be obtained by:

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}=\frac{\int_{A} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}} d A}{\int_{A} d A}
$$

and the rotation of the collar can be obtained by first taking the cross product by $\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{c}$ on both sides of Equation 3-18 and then integrating over the area:

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}=\left(I_{\mathrm{A}}\right)^{-1} \int_{A}\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \times\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}\right) d A
$$

Here

$$
I_{A}=\int_{A}\left[\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \cdot\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right\} I-\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \otimes\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right] d A
$$

In these expressions, $\mathbf{X}_{c}$ is the coordinate of the center of the journal given by

$$
\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\int_{A} \mathbf{X} d A}{\int_{A} d A}
$$

The displacement of the collar in the spatial (fixed) frame for the Solid Rotor interface is given by

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{C}}=R \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{C}}
$$

For a Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interface the transformation with the rotation matrix is not required.

Further, since the thrust bearing only supports the axial motion of the collar, the displacement component in the axial direction is given as

$$
u_{1}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{C}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{C}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}
$$

Here, the fact that axial direction is independent of the rotation is used. The relative axial displacement of the collar with respect to the foundation is then given by

$$
u_{\mathrm{d} 1}=\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{C}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}=u_{1}-u_{1, \mathrm{f}}
$$

The components of the collar relative to the foundation in local bearing directions are obtained differently for the Solid Rotor and Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interfaces. In a Solid Rotor interface, an additional transformation of the collar displacement with respect to rotation matrix is needed to convert it into the space-fixed frame. For the Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interface this transformation is not required. Details for the Solid Rotor interface are provided below.

The components of the collar rotation relative to the foundation about the bearing lateral axes are

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{d} 2}=\left(R \theta_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}-\theta_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}^{\mathrm{b}}
$$

and

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{d} 3}=\left(R \theta_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{c}}-\theta_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{3}^{\mathrm{b}}
$$

in which $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{f}}$ are the displacement and rotation vectors, respectively, of the bearing foundation at the center of the bearing.

If the deformational displacement of the collar in the axial direction is important, then instead of the linearized displacement, the full displacement field of the collar is used:

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{d}}=R \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{fd}}
$$

Here, $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{fd}}$ is the displacement field of the foundation in the spatial frame.

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{fd}}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}+\theta_{\mathrm{f}} \times\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)
$$

For the Solid Rotor interface, the following methods are provided to model thrust bearings:

- No Clearance
- Total Spring and Damping Constant
- Total Force and Moment
- Force Per Unit Area


## NO CLEARANCE

In this method, it is assumed that there is no clearance between the collar and the foundation, and therefore the relative axial motion between the collar and foundation is constrained to zero. The following constraint is applied:

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{d}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}^{\mathrm{b}}=0
$$

## TOTAL SPRING AND DAMPING CONSTANT

In this case, spring and damping constants are needed explicitly to model the bearing. There is also an option to include the translational-rotational coupling for both the spring constant and the damping constant. In a general case, the following inputs are needed: $k_{u}$ (1-by- 1 ), $k_{\theta}$ (2-by- 2 ), $k_{u \theta}$ (1-by- 2 ), and $k_{\theta u}\left(2\right.$-by- 1 ) for stiffness; and $c_{u}$ (1-by-1), $c_{\theta}$ (2-by-2), $c_{u \theta}$ (1-by-2), and $c_{\theta u}$ (2-by-1) for damping. The contribution to the virtual work is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta W=-\frac{1}{A} \int_{A}\left(\left(k_{u} u_{\mathrm{d} 1}+k_{u \theta} \theta_{\mathrm{b}}+c_{u} \frac{\partial u_{\mathrm{dl}}}{\partial t}+c_{u \theta} \frac{\partial \theta_{\mathrm{b}}}{\partial t}\right) \cdot \delta u_{\mathrm{d} 1}+\right. \\
& \left.\left(k_{\theta} \theta_{\mathrm{b}}+k_{\theta u} u_{\mathrm{d} 1}+c_{\theta} \frac{\partial \theta_{\mathrm{b}}}{\partial t}+c_{\theta u} \frac{\partial u_{\mathrm{d} 1}}{\partial t}\right) \cdot \delta \theta_{\mathrm{b}}\right) d A
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{b}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{\mathrm{d} 2} \\
\theta_{\mathrm{d} 3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

In a frequency-domain analysis, the contribution to the virtual work is modified to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta W=-\frac{1}{A} \int_{A}\left(\left(k_{u} u_{\mathrm{d} 1}+k_{u \theta} \theta_{\mathrm{b}}+j \omega c_{u} u_{\mathrm{d} 1}+j \omega c_{u \theta} \theta_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \cdot \delta u_{\mathrm{d} 1}+\right. \\
& \left.\left(k_{\theta} \theta_{\mathrm{b}}+k_{\theta u} u_{\mathrm{d} 1}+j \omega c_{\theta} \theta_{\mathrm{b}}+j \omega c_{\theta u} u_{\mathrm{d} 1}\right) \cdot \delta \theta_{\mathrm{b}}\right) d A
\end{aligned}
$$

In a stationary analysis, the terms corresponding to damping are dropped.
Default values for the inputs $k_{\theta}$ and $c_{\theta}$ are provided assuming that $k_{u}$ and $c_{u}$ are constants and that the displacement of the collar varies linearly in the plane of the collar. The default values for these inputs are

$$
k_{\theta}=\frac{k_{u}}{A}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
E_{33} & -E_{32} \\
-E_{23} & E_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
c_{\theta}=\frac{c_{u}}{A}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
E_{33} & -E_{32} \\
-E_{23} & E_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
E_{i j}=\int_{A}\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{c}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i}\right\}\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{c}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right\} d A
$$

## TOTAL FORCE AND MOMENT

A bearing can also be modeled by directly specifying the total axial force and the bending moment it applies on the collar. These forces and moments are generally functions of the collar displacement and rotation and their time derivatives. Total force $F_{\text {ax }}$ (1-by-1) and total moment $\mathbf{M}(2$-by- 1$)$ are the inputs. The contribution to the virtual work is

$$
\delta W=-\frac{1}{A} \int_{A}\left(F_{\mathrm{ax}} \cdot \delta u_{\mathrm{dl}}+\mathbf{M} \cdot \delta \theta_{\mathrm{b}}\right) d A
$$

## force per unit area

Instead of specifying the total force and moment on the journal, a distributed force on the journal surface can be specified. Force per unit area, $F_{\mathrm{ax}, A}(1-\mathrm{by}-1)$, is the input. In such a case, the contribution to the virtual work is written as

$$
\delta W=-\int_{A} F_{\mathrm{ax}, A}\left(\delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{d}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}^{\mathrm{b}}\right) d A
$$

## Radial Roller Bearing

In this type of bearing, relative rotation between the two components is allowed by inserting rolling elements between them. These bearings typically consist of the inner race, rollers (ball or cylindrical rollers), and the outer race. The inner race is interference fitted on the shaft whereas the outer race is fixed to the casing. A cage is used to keep the rollers well separated.

During the operation, rollers are in contact with the inner and outer race surfaces and roll in between whenever there is a relative motion between these surfaces. Inner and outer surfaces can, in general, be curved in both the directions perpendicular to the normal. Therefore, modeling of roller bearings requires a detailed analysis of how the contact interaction takes places between the inner race and roller, and the roller and outer race. Contact between the rolling element and the races can be of two types, namely, a point contact such as contact between ball and races or a line contact such as contact between the cylindrical roller and races.

## FORCE IN POINT CONTACT

Consider two solids having different radii of curvature in two tangential directions which are in point contact when no load is applied. Under the action of the $\operatorname{load} F$, the contact area becomes elliptical. As a convention, let us assign the positive sign for the curvature of a convex surface and negative for a concave surface. The contact profile between the two solids A and B can be expressed in terms of the curvature sum, $R$, and curvature difference, $R_{\mathrm{d}}$, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{R}=\frac{1}{R_{1}}+\frac{1}{R_{2}} \\
& R_{\mathrm{d}}=R\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Indices 1 and 2 represent the local tangent directions. $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are the effective radius of curvatures of the contacting surfaces in the local direction given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{R_{1}}=\frac{1}{r_{\mathrm{A} 1}}+\frac{1}{r_{\mathrm{B} 1}} \\
& \frac{1}{R_{2}}=\frac{1}{r_{\mathrm{A} 2}}+\frac{1}{r_{\mathrm{B} 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The point of contact under the action of the load expands to an ellipse with semi-major axis, $a_{\mathrm{e}}$, and semi-minor axis, $b_{\mathrm{e}}$. The ellipticity parameter is defined as:

$$
k_{\mathrm{e}}=\frac{a_{\mathrm{e}}}{b_{\mathrm{e}}}
$$

This ellipticity parameter can be defined in terms of the curvature difference, $R_{\mathrm{d}}$, and elliptic integrals of first and second kind as follows:

$$
k_{\mathrm{e}}=\left[\frac{2 \xi-\zeta\left(1+R_{\mathrm{d}}\right)}{\zeta\left(1-R_{\mathrm{d}}\right)}\right]
$$

where $\xi$ and $\zeta$ are the elliptic integrals of first and second kind, respectively, defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left[1-\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}}\right)(\sin \phi)^{2}\right]^{-1 / 2} d \phi \\
& \zeta=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left[1-\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}}\right)(\sin \phi)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} d \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

An approximate formula for the ellipticity and elliptical integrals of first and second kind can be given as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{\mathrm{e}}=1.0339\left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{0.6360} \\
& \xi=1.0003+0.5968\left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right) \\
& \zeta=1.5277+0.6023 \log \left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The contact stiffness for point contact then can be evaluated in terms of the elliptic integrals and ellipticity parameters as follows:

$$
K_{\mathrm{c}}=\pi k_{\mathrm{e}} E^{\prime} \sqrt{\frac{R \xi}{4.5 \zeta^{3}}}
$$

with $E^{\prime}$ defined as:

$$
\frac{1}{E^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1-v_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}}{E_{\mathrm{A}}}+\frac{1-v_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}}{E_{\mathrm{B}}}\right)
$$

The contact force for the point contact is

$$
F_{\mathrm{c}}=K_{\mathrm{c}} \delta^{3 / 2}
$$

where $\delta$ is the penetration of the surfaces into each other.
Therefore, an equivalent stiffness corresponding to both inner and outer contacts is:

$$
K_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{tot}}=\frac{1}{\left[\left(\frac{1}{K_{\mathrm{c}}^{\text {in }}}\right)^{2 / 3}+\left(\frac{1}{K_{\mathrm{c}}^{\text {out }}}\right)^{2 / 3}\right]^{3 / 2}}
$$

Determination of the contact stiffness requires the radius of curvatures of the contacting surfaces in the tangential directions. For different point contact bearings these are given below.


Ball and inner race contact:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{\mathrm{A} 1}^{\mathrm{in}}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{b}}}{2} \\
& r_{\mathrm{A} 2}^{\mathrm{in}}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{b}}}{2} \\
& r_{\mathrm{B} 1}^{\mathrm{in}}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{p}}}{2}-\frac{d_{\mathrm{b}}}{2}-\frac{c_{\mathrm{r}}}{4} \\
& r_{\mathrm{B} 2}^{\mathrm{in}}=-r^{\mathrm{in}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Ball and outer race contact:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{\mathrm{A} 1}^{\text {out }}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{b}}}{2} \\
& r_{\mathrm{A} 2}^{\text {out }}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{b}}}{2} \\
& r_{\mathrm{B} 1}^{\text {out }}=-\frac{d_{\mathrm{p}}}{2}-\frac{d_{\mathrm{b}}}{2}-\frac{c_{\mathrm{r}}}{4} \\
& r_{\mathrm{B} 2}^{\text {out }}=-r^{\text {out }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Angular Contact Ball Bearing


Ball and inner race contact:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{\mathrm{A} 1}^{\mathrm{in}}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{b}}}{2} \\
& r_{\mathrm{A} 2}^{\mathrm{in}}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{b}}}{2} \\
& r_{\mathrm{B} 1}^{\mathrm{in}}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{p}}}{2 \cos \phi_{0}}-\frac{d_{\mathrm{b}}}{2}-\frac{c_{\mathrm{r}}}{4} \\
& r_{\mathrm{B} 2}^{\mathrm{in}}=-r^{\mathrm{in}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Ball and outer race contact:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{\mathrm{A} 1}^{\mathrm{out}}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{b}}}{2} \\
& r_{\mathrm{A} 2}^{\mathrm{out}}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{b}}}{2} \\
& r_{\mathrm{B} 1}^{\text {out }}=-\frac{d_{\mathrm{p}}}{2 \cos \phi_{0}}-\frac{d_{\mathrm{b}}}{2}-\frac{c_{\mathrm{r}}}{4} \\
& r_{\mathrm{B} 2}^{\text {out }}=-r^{\text {out }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Self-Aligning Ball Bearing


Ball and inner race contact:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{\mathrm{A} 1}^{\mathrm{in}}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{b}}}{2} \\
& r_{\mathrm{A} 2}^{\mathrm{in}}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{b}}}{2} \\
& r_{\mathrm{B} 1}^{\mathrm{in}}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{p}}}{2 \cos \phi_{0}}-\frac{d_{\mathrm{b}}}{2}-\frac{c_{\mathrm{r}}}{4} \\
& r_{\mathrm{B} 2}^{\mathrm{in}}=-r^{\mathrm{in}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Ball and outer race contact:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{\mathrm{A} 1}^{\mathrm{out}}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{b}}}{2} \\
& r_{\mathrm{A} 2}^{\text {out }}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{b}}}{2} \\
& r_{\mathrm{B} 1}^{\text {out }}=-\frac{d_{\mathrm{p}}}{2 \cos \phi_{0}}-\frac{d_{\mathrm{b}}}{2}-\frac{c_{\mathrm{r}}}{4} \\
& r_{\mathrm{B} 2}^{\text {out }}=-r^{\text {out }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Spherical Roller Bearing

roller and inner race contact:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{\mathrm{A} 1}^{\mathrm{in}}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{r}}}{2} \\
& r_{\mathrm{A} 2}^{\mathrm{in}}=r_{\mathrm{r}} \\
& r_{\mathrm{B} 1}^{\mathrm{in}}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{p}}}{2 \cos \phi_{0}}-\frac{d_{\mathrm{r}}}{2}-\frac{c_{\mathrm{r}}}{4} \\
& r_{\mathrm{B} 2}^{\mathrm{in}}=-r^{\mathrm{in}}
\end{aligned}
$$

roller and outer race contact:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{\mathrm{A} 1}^{\text {out }}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{r}}}{2} \\
& r_{\mathrm{A} 2}^{\text {out }}=r_{\mathrm{r}} \\
& r_{\mathrm{B} 1}^{\text {out }}=-\frac{d_{\mathrm{p}}}{2 \cos \phi_{0}}-\frac{d_{\mathrm{r}}}{2}-\frac{c_{\mathrm{r}}}{4} \\
& r_{\mathrm{B} 2}^{\text {out }}=-r^{\text {out }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## FORCE IN LINE CONTACT

When two cylindrical surfaces with parallel axes come in contact, the contact formed is a line contact. In this case, the force, $F$, changes the contact area into rectangular. The width of the contact area, $b$, can be obtained in terms of the applied load, $F$, equivalent radius of curvature, $R$, and the effective elastic modulus, $E^{\prime}$, as:

$$
b=\left(\frac{8 F R}{\pi E^{\prime} L}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where $L$ is the length of cylindrical surfaces in contact. The normal displacement is given by:

$$
\delta=\frac{2 F}{\pi E^{\prime} L}\left[1+\log \left(\frac{\pi L^{3} E^{\prime}}{2 F R}\right)\right]
$$

The derivative of the normal displacement, $\delta$, with respect to the load, $F$, gives the compliance. The inverse of the compliance is the stiffness of the contacting surfaces.

$$
k=\frac{\pi E^{\prime} L}{2 \log \left(\frac{\pi L^{3} E^{\prime}}{2 F R}\right)}=\frac{1}{\frac{\delta}{F}-\frac{2}{\pi E^{\prime} L}}
$$

However, this method requires iterations during the numerical solution to know the contact forces. Based on laboratory testing, Palmgren provided a simple expression to evaluate the contact forces as a function of indentation as:

$$
F_{\mathrm{c}}=0.71069\left(\frac{E^{\prime}}{2}\right) L_{\mathrm{eff}}^{8 / 9} \delta^{10 / 9}=K_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~B}^{10 / 9}
$$

Therefore, the equivalent stiffness corresponding to the inner and outer contacts is:

$$
K_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{tot}}=\frac{1}{\left[\left(\frac{1}{K_{\mathrm{c}}^{\text {in }}}\right)^{9 / 10}+\left(\frac{1}{K_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{out}}}\right)^{9 / 10}\right]^{10 / 9}}
$$

CONTACT DEFORMATION IN POINT CONTACT ROLLER BEARINGS


Figure 3-2: Front view of roller bearing.

Figure 3-2 shows the front view of a typical roller bearing. Due to the relative motion of the inner race and outer race, some of the rollers get loaded, whereas others get unloaded. This relative motion determines the contact indentation at different rollers. From Figure 3-3 the initial distance between the inner and outer race curvature centers is:

$$
A_{0}=\left|r_{\text {out }}\right|+\left|r_{\text {in }}\right|-d_{\mathrm{r}}-\frac{c_{\mathrm{r}}}{2}
$$

along the line

$$
\mathbf{e}_{j 0}^{i}=\left( \pm \sin \phi_{0}\right) \mathbf{e}_{1}+\cos \phi_{0} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} j}^{i}
$$

Plus sign is for the second row and minus sign is for the first row of the rollers. In case of a single row bearing $\phi_{0}$ becomes zero. $\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} j}^{i}$ is the radial vector corresponding to the roller $i$ in row $j$ and is defined as:

$$
\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} j}^{i}=\cos \beta_{j}^{i} \mathbf{e}_{2}+\sin \beta_{j}^{i} \mathbf{e}_{3}
$$



Figure 3-3: Side view of the roller bearing.

Let the relative center displacement between the inner and outer race be $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}$, and the relative tilt about local $y$ and $z$ directions be $\theta_{2}$ and $\theta_{3}$ respectively. Then the relative displacement between the inner and outer races at roller $i$ in row $j$ is:

$$
\mathbf{u}_{j}^{i}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}+\left(\theta_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}+\theta_{3} \mathbf{e}_{3}\right) \times \mathbf{r}_{j}^{i}
$$

with

$$
\mathbf{r}_{j}^{i}= \pm \frac{b}{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}+\frac{d_{p}}{2} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} j}^{i}
$$

for a double row bearing with plus sign for second row and minus sign for the first row, and

$$
\mathbf{r}_{j}^{i}=\frac{d_{p}}{2} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} j}^{i}
$$

for single row bearing. Here, $b$ is the axial distance between the roller centers and $d_{\mathrm{p}}$ is the pitch diameter of the bearing. The loaded distance vector between the inner and outer race curvature centers is:

$$
\mathbf{A}_{j}^{i}=A_{0} \mathbf{e}_{j 0}^{i}+\mathbf{u}_{j}^{i}
$$

The magnitude of the loaded distance vector is the loaded distance between the curvature centers of the races. The distance between the race surfaces along the common normal in the loaded state then can be calculated as:

$$
d_{j}^{i}=\left|r_{\text {out }}\right|+\left|r_{\text {in }}\right|-\left|\mathbf{A}_{j}^{i}\right|
$$

Then the indentation at roller $i$ in row $j$ is:

$$
\delta_{j}^{i}=d_{\mathrm{r}}-d_{j}^{i}
$$

The total contact force on the inner race is then given by:

$$
\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{c}}=-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{2}{K_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{tot}}\left(\delta_{j}^{i}\right)}^{3 / 2} \frac{\mathbf{A}_{j}^{i}}{\left|\mathbf{A}_{j}^{i}\right|}
$$

Only positive values of the contact forces on each roller are taken into account, and negative values are replaced by zero since such rollers are unloaded. For a single row
bearing, summation over $j$ is dropped. The contact force on the outer race acts in opposite direction to that on inner race.

The net moment on the rotor from the bearing then can be obtained by

$$
\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{c}}=-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{2} K_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{tot}}\left(\delta_{j}^{i}\right)^{3 / 2} \mathbf{r}_{j}^{i} \times \frac{\mathbf{A}_{j}^{i}}{\left|\mathbf{A}_{j}^{i}\right|}
$$

## CONTACT DEFORMATION IN TAPERED ROLLER BEARING

In the tapered roller bearing the contact force directions at inner and outer contacts are different. In addition, there is also a contact between the bearing flange and roller. Therefore, it is not possible to directly get the equivalent stiffness of the inner and outer contacts. This requires the determination of the contact forces at inner and outer contacts individually. Geometric details of the tapered roller bearing are shown in the Figure 3-4.


Figure 3-4: Tapered roller bearing geometry

There are three locations at which the roller comes into contact with the inner and outer races, namely radial contact with the outer race, radial contact with the inner race, and axial contact with the inner race. Radial contacts are line contacts, whereas the axial contact between the roller and the inner race is a point contact. In order to determine the contact forces in all these cases, contact deformation in the roller needs to be determined due to the relative motion of the inner and outer races. This can be described conveniently by using a local coordinate system $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$ in which the $\zeta$ direction is along the axis of the roller, $\xi$ is perpendicular to the $\zeta$ axis and is in the plane formed by bearing's radial and axial directions. In this coordinate system, the relative in-plane motion ( $\xi-\zeta$ plane) and tilt about $\eta$ axis can cause roller deformation.


Figure 3-5: Position vectors of the various points in local coordinate system.
Determination of the roller deformation can be done by first looking at the initial position vectors of the various points which are likely to come into contact, and then determine their current position vector due to the motion of the races. A projection of the difference between the current and initial position vectors gives an estimate to the roller deformation. Using the geometric details given in the Figure 3-4, the position vectors of the various points are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{0 \mathrm{ai}}=-\frac{D_{\mathrm{a}}}{2} \mathbf{e}_{\xi}-\frac{D_{\mathrm{a}}}{2} \tan \beta \mathbf{e}_{\zeta} \\
& \pi_{0 \mathrm{i}}=-\left(\frac{D_{\mathrm{a}}}{2}+\Delta_{\mathrm{i}} \cos \beta\right) \mathbf{e}_{\xi}-\left(\frac{D_{\mathrm{a}}}{2} \tan \beta+\Delta_{\mathrm{i}} \sin \beta\right) \mathbf{e}_{\zeta} \\
& \pi_{0 \mathrm{ae}}=\frac{D_{\mathrm{a}}}{2} \mathbf{e}_{\xi}-\frac{D_{\mathrm{a}}}{2} \tan \beta \mathbf{e}_{\zeta} \\
& \pi_{\mathrm{ae}}=\left(\frac{D_{\mathrm{a}}}{2}+\Delta_{\mathrm{e}} \cos \beta\right) \mathbf{e}_{\xi}-\left(\frac{D_{\mathrm{a}}}{2} \tan \beta+\Delta_{\mathrm{e}} \sin \beta\right) \mathbf{e}_{\zeta} \\
& \pi_{0 \mathrm{cs}}=-\zeta_{\mathrm{cs}} \mathbf{e}_{\zeta} \\
& \pi_{0 \mathrm{~s}}=-R_{\mathrm{s}} \sin \mu_{0} \mathbf{e}_{\xi}+\left(-\zeta_{\mathrm{cs}}+R_{\mathrm{s}} \cos \mu_{0}\right) \mathbf{e}_{\zeta} \\
& \pi_{0 \mathrm{f}}=-\left(R_{\mathrm{s}}+\Delta_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \sin \mu_{0} \mathbf{e}_{\xi}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\zeta_{\mathrm{cs}}$ is given by

$$
\zeta_{\mathrm{cs}}=R_{\mathrm{s}} \cos \lambda-\frac{L_{\mathrm{r}}}{2} \cos \beta+\frac{D_{\mathrm{a}}}{2} \tan \beta
$$

Introduce the displacement $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and rotation vector $\theta_{\mathrm{i}}$ at the reference point on the inner race, the displacement $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and rotation vector $\theta_{\mathrm{e}}$ at the reference point on the outer race, and the displacement $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}$ and rotation vector $\theta_{\mathrm{r}}$ at the reference point on the rollers. Then, the displaced position $\pi$ of the arbitrary point located at $\pi_{0}$ from the reference point will be:

$$
\pi=\pi_{0}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{ref}}+\theta_{\mathrm{ref}} \times \pi_{0}
$$

where $\mathbf{u}_{\text {ref }}$ and $\theta_{\text {ref }}$ are pair $\left(\mathbf{u}_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)$ for a point on the inner race, $\left(\mathbf{u}_{e}, \theta_{e}\right)$ for a point on the outer race and $\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}, \theta_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ for a point on the roller.

The compression $\delta$ at the contact between roller and raceway is found by taking the projection of the current relative position vectors of the nominal contact points on their outside normal vector and removing the initial gap:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\mathrm{i}}=-\left[\left(\pi_{\mathrm{i}}-\pi_{\mathrm{ai}}\right)-\left(\pi_{0 \mathrm{i}}-\pi_{0 \mathrm{ai}}\right)\right] \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{e}}=-\left[\left(\pi_{\mathrm{e}}-\pi_{\mathrm{ae}}\right)-\left(\pi_{0 \mathrm{e}}-\pi_{0 \mathrm{ae}}\right)\right] \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{e}} \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{f}}=-\left[\left(\pi_{\mathrm{f}}-\pi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)-\left(\pi_{0 f}-\pi_{0 \mathrm{~s}}\right)\right] \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{f}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The normal vectors in the local coordinate system are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{i}}=-\cos \beta \mathbf{e}_{\xi}-\sin \beta \mathbf{e}_{\zeta} \\
& \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{e}}=\cos \beta \mathbf{e}_{\xi}-\sin \beta \mathbf{e}_{\zeta} \\
& \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{f}}=-\sin \mu_{0} \mathbf{e}_{\xi}+\cos \mu_{0} \mathbf{e}_{\zeta}
\end{aligned}
$$

After simplification, the respective indentations are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\mathrm{i}}=-\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{i}}-\Delta_{\mathrm{i}} \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{e}}=-\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{e}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{e}}-\Delta_{\mathrm{e}} \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{f}}=-\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{f}}-\Delta_{\mathrm{f}}-\zeta_{\mathrm{cs}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{i}}-\theta_{\mathrm{r}}\right) \sin \mu_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case, the roller center is chosen as the reference point for both the roller and the races.

The motion of the both the races are determined from the motion of the components they are connected to. For example, the inner race motion can be determined from the rotor and the outer race motion can be determined from the motion of the bearing pedestal. The motion of the roller, however, still remains unknown. It can be determined by considering the load balance of the roller as shown in Figure 3-6.


Figure 3-6: Load balance on the roller
Force balance:

$$
-\left(Q_{\mathrm{i}} \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{i}}+Q_{\mathrm{e}} \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{e}}+Q_{\mathrm{f}} \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)=0
$$

Moment balance:

$$
-\left(Q_{\mathrm{i}} \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{i}} \times \pi_{0 \mathrm{ai}}+Q_{\mathrm{e}} \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{e}} \times \pi_{0 \mathrm{ae}}+Q_{\mathrm{f}} \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{f}} \times \pi_{0 \mathrm{~s}}+T_{\mathrm{e}} \mathbf{e}_{\eta}-T_{\mathrm{i}} \mathbf{e}_{\eta}\right)=0
$$

In the local roller direction, this reduces to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -Q_{\mathrm{e}} \cos \beta+Q_{\mathrm{i}} \cos \beta+Q_{\mathrm{f}} \sin \mu_{0}=0 \\
& Q_{\mathrm{e}} \sin \beta+Q_{\mathrm{i}} \sin \beta-Q_{\mathrm{f}} \cos \mu_{0}=0 \\
& T_{\mathrm{i}}-T_{\mathrm{e}}-Q_{\mathrm{f}} \zeta_{\mathrm{cs}} \sin \mu_{0}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The contact forces, in terms of roller deformation are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{\mathrm{e}}=K_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{e}}\right)^{10 / 9} \\
& Q_{\mathrm{i}}=K_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{10 / 9} \\
& Q_{\mathrm{f}}=K_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{f}}\right)^{3 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The corresponding contact moments from line contact are obtained by integrating the local contact forces over the contact line:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{e}}=\int_{-L_{\mathrm{r}} / 2}^{L_{\mathrm{r}} / 2} \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{e}} \times\left(-d Q_{\mathrm{e}} \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{e}}\right) \\
& \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{i}}=\int_{-L_{\mathrm{r}} / 2} \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{i}} \times\left(-d Q_{\mathrm{i}} \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{i}$ are the position vectors on the outer and inner contact lines, respectively, with respect to the reference point. $d Q_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $d Q_{\mathrm{i}}$ are the infinitesimal forces acting on the infinitesimal length $d x$ on the outer and inner contact lines.

Contact forces and moments then need to be added from each roller to determine the total bearing forces acting on the rotor and bearing pedestal.

## CONTACT DEFORMATION IN CYLINDRICAL ROLLER BEARING

In the cylindrical roller bearing, the forces at inner and outer contact lines are aligned as in the case of the point contact roller bearings. A typical arrangement of a cylindrical
roller bearing is shown in Figure 3-7. The initial gap between the outer race and inner race for roller $i$ in row $j$ is given by:

$$
d_{0}=d_{r}+\frac{c_{r}}{2}
$$

along the line

$$
\mathbf{e}_{j 0}^{i}=\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} j}^{i}
$$

Here, $\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} j}^{i}$ is the radial vector corresponding to the roller $i$ in row $j$ and is defined as:

$$
\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} j}^{i}=\cos \beta_{j}^{i} \mathbf{e}_{2}+\sin \beta_{j}^{i} \mathbf{e}_{3}
$$



Figure 3-7: Geometry of the cylindrical roller bearing.
Let the relative center displacement of the inner race with respect to outer race be $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}$ and the relative tilt about local $y$ and $z$ directions be $\theta_{2}$ and $\theta_{3}$, respectively. Then the relative displacement of the inner with respect to outer races at roller $i$ in row $j$ is:

$$
\mathbf{u}_{j}^{i}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}+\left(\theta_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}+\theta_{3} \mathbf{e}_{3}\right) \times \mathbf{r}_{j}^{i}
$$

with

$$
\mathbf{r}_{j}^{i}= \pm \frac{b}{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}+\frac{d_{p}}{2} \mathbf{e}_{r j}^{i}
$$

for a double row bearing. Plus sign applies to the second row and minus sign to the first row and

$$
\mathbf{r}_{j}^{i}=\frac{d_{p}}{2} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} j}^{i}
$$

for a single row bearing. $b$ is the axial distance between the roller centers and $d_{\mathrm{p}}$ is the pitch diameter of the bearing.

After the loading, the gap vector of the outer race with respect to the inner race is:

$$
\mathbf{d}_{j}^{i}=d_{0} \mathbf{e}_{j 0}^{i}-\mathbf{u}_{j}^{i}
$$

Then the indentation at roller $i$ in row $j$ is:

$$
\delta_{j}^{i}=d_{r}-\left(\mathbf{d}_{j}^{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} j}^{i}\right)=\mathbf{u}_{j}^{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} j}^{i}-\frac{c_{r}}{2}
$$

The total contact force on the inner race is then given by:

$$
\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{c}}=-\sum_{i=1, i=1}^{\mathrm{V}} \sum_{\mathrm{c}}^{4} K_{\mathrm{tot}}^{\mathrm{tot}}\left(\delta_{j}^{i}\right)^{3 / 2} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} j}^{i}
$$

Only positive values of the contact forces on each roller are taken into account, and negative values are replaced by zero since the roller is unloaded. For a single row bearing, summation over $j$ is dropped. Contact forces on the outer race acts in the opposite direction to those at the inner race.

The net moment on the rotor due to bearing then can be obtained by

$$
\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{c}}=-\sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{Lv}} \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} K_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{tot}}\left(\delta_{j}^{i}\right)^{3 / 2} \mathbf{r}_{j}^{i} \times \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} j}^{i}
$$

## BEARING PRELOAD

A bearing preload is usually a sustained axial load that is applied to a bearing to ensure constant contact between the rolling elements and the races. This helps in reducing or eliminating both radial and axial play between the inner and outer races. As a result, the rotational accuracy of the shaft increases with the preload. A proper preload in the bearing can increase the life of the bearing, and also reduce the vibrations and noise
that result from the clearance between the races. For some bearings, like the radial roller bearings, a radial preload is applied instead. Preloading of the bearing is mostly used in high precision and high speed applications such as machine tool spindles, electric motor, automotive differentials, and so on.

## Axial Preload

An axial preload in the single row bearings can be provided to angular contact ball bearings and tapered roller bearings. For these bearings, the axial preload causes the inner and outer races to move towards each other. Thus, the axial preload directly works as a load on the relative displacement of the inner race and the outer race in such a way that it loads the rollers/balls.


Figure 3-8: Axial preload on a single row angular contact ball bearing.
If the contact direction between the rolling elements and races has a positive projection on the bearing axis, then the preload will push the outer race opposite to the bearing axis and the inner races along the bearing axis. The direction will reverse if the contact direction has a negative projection on the bearing axis. Thus, a weak contribution due to a preload can be expressed as

$$
\delta W_{\text {pre }}=F_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot \delta\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)\right) \operatorname{sign}\left(\mathbf{e}_{r} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)
$$

Here, $F_{\mathrm{a}}$ is the axial preload in the bearing, $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ is the bearing axis, $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}$ is the rotor displacement, $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the foundation displacement and $\mathbf{e}_{r}$ is the contact direction between the rolling element and the races. Because races of a bearing are connected to the rotor and the foundation, respectively, the displacement of the inner race is equal to the rotor displacement, and the displacement of the outer race is equal to
foundation displacement. As you can see, for single row bearings, the preload is also transmitted to the rotor on which the bearing is mounted.

An axial preload in double row bearings can be used with an angular contact ball bearing, a self-aligning ball bearing, a spherical roller bearing and a tapered roller bearing. For double row bearings, the situation more complex. In this case, one of the races in the bearing is split and a preload between the split parts is applied in such a way that they push the rolling elements against the nonsplit race. This loads the rollers in the bearing. Because the races are split, they can move relative to one another.


Figure 3-9: Axial preload in a double row angular contact ball bearing.
Let us assume that the outer race is split and that the part corresponding to the first row moves by an axial displacement $u_{\mathrm{a}}$ along the bearing axis, and that the part corresponding to the second row moves by the same axial displacement but in opposite direction. The weak contribution due to the axial preload, in this case, is

$$
\delta W_{\mathrm{pre}}=F_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot \delta\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}+u_{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{e}_{1}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)\right)-F_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot \delta\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}-u_{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{e}_{1}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)\right)=2 F_{\mathrm{a}} \delta u_{\mathrm{a}}
$$

Thus, we see that preload in this case works on the relative axial displacement of the split parts. As a result, a preload in the double row bearings is not transferred to the rotor on which it is mounted. Relative axial displacement between the split parts is determined by the balance of the preload and the roller-race contact reaction forces on each part.

## Radial Preload

A radial preload is applied to deep groove ball bearings and cylindrical roller bearings for both single and double rows. Other single row bearings on which a radial preload can be applied are self-aligning ball bearings and spherical roller bearings. This type of preload refers to an interference fit in the bearing.


Figure 3-10: Radial preload in a single row cylindrical roller bearing.
We assume that radial deformation in the bearing is $u_{\mathrm{r}}$ due to the preload. Then the weak contribution due to radial preload is

$$
\delta W_{\mathrm{pre}}=F_{\mathrm{r}} \delta u_{\mathrm{r}}
$$

The radial deformation due to a preload is obtained by considering the force balance between the radial preload and roller-race contact reaction force. Due to symmetry, a radial preload is canceled out and there is no net load transferred to the rotor on which bearing is mounted.

## CONSISTENCY OF GEOMETRIC PARAMETERS

A roller bearing model requires many geometric parameters as an input. Since, these parameters are specified independently during the modeling, there is a possibility that they are geometrically inconsistent and do not correspond to a physical bearing. A
consistency check is implemented to provide a guideline in choosing certain parameters with respect to how other parameters are defined. Let us first define certain terms that are helpful in geometry checks.

Fill angle: A fill angle $\psi_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the angular space occupied by the rolling elements on a pitch circle.


Figure 3-11: Front view of a ball bearing
Since each rolling element has a diameter $d_{\mathrm{r}}$ and there are $N$ number of them, the arc length occupied on the pitch circle by the rolling elements is $N d_{\mathrm{r}}$. Furthermore, the pitch circle radius is $d_{\mathrm{p}} / 2$. Thus, the angular space occupied by the rollers, that is, the fill angle is

$$
\psi_{\mathrm{f}}=\frac{N d_{\mathrm{r}}}{\left(d_{\mathrm{p}} / 2\right)}=\frac{2 N d_{\mathrm{r}}}{d_{\mathrm{p}}}
$$

Inner race conformity: Inner race conformity, $f_{\text {in }}$, is the ratio of the inner race radius of curvature to the diameter of the rolling element. It is defined by

$$
f_{\mathrm{in}}=\frac{r_{\mathrm{in}}}{d_{\mathrm{r}}}
$$

Outer race conformity: Outer race conformity, $f_{\text {out }}$, is the ratio of the outer race radius of curvature to the diameter of the rolling element. It is defined by

$$
f_{\text {out }}=\frac{r_{\text {out }}}{d_{\mathrm{r}}}
$$

For a physical bearing, the fill angle cannot be larger than $2 \pi$ radians. This gives a constraint on the diameter of the roller in relation to the pitch diameter as

$$
d_{\mathrm{r}} \leq \frac{\pi d_{\mathrm{p}}}{N}
$$

Usually the fill angle is much smaller than $2 \pi$ due to the space occupied by the cage.
For point contact bearings, another constraint comes from the fact that the rolling elements have to fit between the inner race and the grooves of the outer race. As a result, the race radius of curvatures should be larger than the radius of the rolling elements. This means that the race conformity should be larger than 0.5 for both races.

For a tapered roller bearing another geometric constraint is that the flange contact angle, $\mu_{0}$, should be less than the roller cap angle, $\lambda$.

If these conditions are violated for any bearing, an error message is thrown with relevant information to identify the parameter causing the problem.

## Active Magnetic Bearing

An active magnetic bearing is used to control vibrations in a rotor by using a feedback control mechanism. The rotor motion is monitored by a displacement sensor located very close to the location of the bearing. A schematic representation of the active magnetic bearing system is shown in Figure 3-12:


Figure 3-12: Sketch of an active magnetic bearing system.
There is a wide variety of control mechanisms that can be used, but here we focus on a PID controller. The coil current for such a controller is given by

$$
i_{\mathrm{c}}=-\left(K_{\mathrm{p}} u_{\mathrm{s}}+K_{\mathrm{i}} \int u_{\mathrm{s}} d t+K_{\mathrm{d}} \dot{u}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)
$$

where $u_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the displacement measured from the sensor and $K_{\mathrm{p}}, K_{\mathrm{i}}$, and $K_{\mathrm{d}}$ are the proportional, integral, and derivative gain of the controller, respectively.

The air gap forces between the stator and rotor pole pair is given by

$$
F=\frac{B^{2} A_{\mathrm{proj}}}{2 \mu_{0}}
$$

where $B$ is the magnetic flux density, $A_{\text {proj }}$ is the projected pole area, and $\mu_{0}$ is the permeability of free space. Assuming that the magnetic resistance of air is much larger than that of the poles, we can use Ampere's law to write the magnetic flux density in the air gap as

$$
B=\frac{N I \mu_{0}}{h}
$$

where $N$ is the number of turns per pole, $h$ is the air gap, and $I$ is the current of the coil. Using this, the air gap force can be written as

$$
F=\frac{\mu_{0} N^{2} A_{\mathrm{proj}}}{2}\left(\frac{I}{h}\right)^{2}
$$

This shows that magnetic force in the bearing is proportional to the square of the current, which is undesirable for the control purpose. To overcome this difficulty, a pair of opposing electromagnets are used with an equal but opposite bias force. In this case the net force on the rotor will be

$$
F=\frac{\mu_{0} N^{2} A_{\mathrm{proj}}}{2}\left(\frac{I_{1}^{2}}{h_{1}^{2}}-\frac{I_{2}^{2}}{h_{2}^{2}}\right)
$$

where $I_{1}$ and $h_{1}$ are the coil current and air gap in one electromagnetic, and $I_{2}$ and $h_{2}$ are the coil current and air gap in the opposing electromagnet. Now if $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ are composed of a steady bias current $I_{\mathrm{b}}$ and a control current $I_{\mathrm{c}}$, we get

$$
I_{1}=I_{\mathrm{b}}+I_{\mathrm{c}} ; I_{2}=I_{\mathrm{b}}-I_{\mathrm{c}}
$$

Then the air gap force can be written as

$$
F=\frac{\mu_{0} N^{2} A_{\mathrm{proj}}}{h_{0}^{2}} I_{\mathrm{b}} I_{\mathrm{c}}
$$

for $h_{1}=h_{2}=h_{0}$. This expression is now linear with respect $I_{\mathrm{c}}$. In general, the air gap on both sides will not be equal. As the rotor moves by the distance $u_{\mathrm{s}}$, the gaps change so that $h_{1}=h_{0}-u_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $h_{2}=h_{0}+u_{\mathrm{s}}$. As a result, the expression for the air gap force, in general, is

$$
F=F_{c}\left(\frac{\left(I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}}+I_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}}{\left(h_{0}-u_{\mathrm{s}}\right)^{2}}-\frac{\left(I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{n}}-I_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}}{\left(h_{0}+u_{\mathrm{s}}\right)^{2}}\right)
$$

In the above expression, a different bias current is assumed in the two opposite electromagnets. The force constant in the expression is also generalized to $F_{\mathrm{c}}$. In general, the air gap force is a nonlinear function of current and displacement, which is suitable for a time-dependent analysis. For frequency-domain and eigenfrequency analyses, a linearized expression for the air gap force is more suitable. If we assume that $u_{\mathrm{s}} \ll h_{0}$ and $I_{\mathrm{c}} \ll I_{\mathrm{b}}$, then the above expression can be approximated as

$$
F \approx \frac{F_{\mathrm{c}}}{h_{0}^{2}}\left[\left(I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}}^{2}-I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{n}}^{2}\right)+2\left(I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}}+I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{n}}\right) I_{\mathrm{c}}+\frac{2\left(I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}}^{2}+I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{n}}^{2}\right)}{h_{0}} u_{\mathrm{s}}\right]=F_{0}+k_{i} I_{\mathrm{c}}+k_{u} u_{\mathrm{s}}
$$

where $F_{0}$ is the static force produced due to the difference in bias current on positive and negative axes. It is given by

$$
F_{0}=\frac{F_{\mathrm{c}}\left(I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}}^{2}-I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{n}}^{2}\right)}{h_{0}^{2}}
$$

Thus, the difference in the bias current can be used to levitate the rotor against the static load such as the weight of the rotor. The bearing stiffness $k_{i}$ due to the current is given by

$$
k_{i}=\frac{2 F_{\mathrm{c}}\left(I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}}+I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{n}}\right)}{h_{0}^{2}}
$$

and the bearing stiffness $k_{u}$ due to displacement is given by

$$
k_{u}=\frac{2 F_{\mathrm{c}}\left(I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}}^{2}+I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{n}}^{2}\right)}{h_{0}^{3}}
$$

Note that the displacement stiffness is negative, thus the bearing is inherently unstable without a control current. For a stationary analysis, a PID controller reduces to a proportional controller. As a result, the control current is given as $I_{\mathrm{c}}=-K_{\mathrm{p}} u_{\mathrm{s}}$. In this case, the expression for the air gap force reduces to

$$
F=F_{0}-\frac{2 F_{\mathrm{c}}}{h_{0}^{2}}\left[K_{\mathrm{p}}\left(I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}}+I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{n}}\right)-\frac{I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}}^{2}+I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{n}}^{2}}{h_{0}}\right] u_{\mathrm{s}}
$$

Thus, to make the bearing stable (that is, to have a positive effective stiffness), the proportional gain should satisfy the following condition

$$
K_{p}>\frac{I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}}^{2}+I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{n}}^{2}}{h_{0}\left(I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}}+I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{n}}\right)}
$$

For a frequency-domain analysis, a control current can be expressed in terms of displacement and frequency as

$$
I_{\mathrm{c}}=-\left(K_{\mathrm{p}}+\frac{K_{\mathrm{i}}}{i \omega}+i \omega K_{\mathrm{d}}\right) u_{\mathrm{s}}=-Z\left(\omega, K_{\mathrm{p}}, K_{\mathrm{i}}, K_{\mathrm{d}}\right) u_{\mathrm{s}}
$$

Using this expression for the control current in the linearized expression for the air gap force and dropping the static force term, we get

$$
F=\frac{2 F_{\mathrm{c}}}{h_{0}^{2}}\left[-Z\left(I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}}+I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{n}}\right)+\frac{I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}}^{2}+I_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{n}}^{2}}{h_{0}}\right] u_{\mathrm{s}}
$$

The coefficient in front of $u_{\mathrm{s}}$ can be considered as the effective impedance of the electromagnetic bearing.

## Fixed Foundation

The purpose of the Fixed Foundation is to approximately model a case in which the foundation is very stiff as compared to the rotor itself. Therefore, the foundation is assumed to be static in this case and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \theta_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Moving Foundation

The purpose of the Moving Foundation node is to model a ground vibration effect on the rotor. Here, the motion of the foundation $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{f}}$ is already known, either through some experimental observation or through simulation. The linearized displacement field of the foundation is given by

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{fd}}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}+\theta_{\mathrm{f}} \times\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)
$$

Note that this does not affect the eigenfrequencies of the rotordynamic system.

## Flexible Foundation

The purpose of the Flexible Foundation node is to model the effect of the flexibility of the foundation on which the bearing is mounted. In this case, the motion of the foundation is not known a priori, rather it is solved for. Here, the inputs are the stiffnesses, $k_{u}$ and $k_{\theta}$, and the damping constants, $c_{u}$ and $c_{\theta}$. If the coupling between the foundation's translational and rotational motion is considered, the inputs $k_{u \theta}, k_{\theta u}$, $c_{u \theta}$, and $c_{\theta u}$ are also needed. The contribution to the virtual work is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta W=-\frac{1}{A} \int_{A}\left(\left(k_{u} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}+k_{u \theta} \theta_{\mathrm{f}}+c_{u} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\partial t}+c_{u \theta} \frac{\partial \theta_{\mathrm{f}}}{\partial t}\right) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}+\right. \\
& \left.\left(k_{\theta} \theta_{\mathrm{f}}+k_{\theta u} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}+c_{\theta} \frac{\partial \theta_{\mathrm{f}}}{\partial t}+c_{\theta u} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\partial t}\right) \cdot \delta \theta_{\mathrm{f}}\right) d A
\end{aligned}
$$

The displacement field of the foundation is given by

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{fd}}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}+\theta_{\mathrm{f}} \times\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)
$$

Note that in this case, the foundation properties affect the natural frequencies of the rotor system.

## Squeeze Film Damper

The purpose of the Squeeze Film Damper node is to model the additional damping provided to the bearing through a squeezing action in the fluid film. Damping is modeled in terms of effective damping coefficients based on the analytical solution of the Reynolds equation in the film for a short length approximation. These coefficients are functions of damper dimensions, lubricant properties and the location of the journal in the damper as given below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{22}=\mu R\left(\frac{L}{C}\right)^{3}\left[\frac{\pi\left(1+2 \varepsilon^{2}\right)}{2\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}}\left(\frac{u_{2}}{e}\right)^{2}+\frac{4 \varepsilon}{\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{u_{2}}{e}\right)\left(\frac{u_{3}}{e}\right)+\frac{\pi}{2\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}\left(\frac{u_{3}}{e}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& c_{23}=\mu R\left(\frac{L}{C}\right)^{3}\left[\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}}\left\{\left(\frac{u_{3}}{e}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{u_{2}}{e}\right)^{2}\right\}+\frac{3 \pi \varepsilon^{2}}{2\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}}\left(\frac{u_{2}}{e}\right)\left(\frac{u_{3}}{e}\right)\right] \\
& c_{32}=c_{23} \\
& c_{33}=\mu R\left(\frac{L}{C}\right)^{3}\left[\frac{\pi}{2\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}\left(\frac{u_{2}}{e}\right)^{2}-\frac{4 \varepsilon}{\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{u_{2}}{e}\right)\left(\frac{u_{3}}{e}\right)+\frac{\pi\left(1+2 \varepsilon^{2}\right)}{2\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}}\left(\frac{u_{3}}{e}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\mu$ is the viscosity of the lubricant, $R$ is the radius of the journal, $L$ is the length of the journal, and $C$ is the clearance between the journal and damper when the journal is concentric with the damper. Subscripts 2 and 3 refer to local $y$ and $z$ directions, respectively. $u_{2}$ and $u_{3}$ are the journal displacements in the local $y$ and $z$ directions, respectively. $e=\sqrt{u_{2}^{2}+u_{3}^{2}}$ is the eccentricity of the journal in the damper. $\varepsilon$ is the relative eccentricity with respect to the initial clearance between the journal and the damper, $\varepsilon=e / C$.

The components of the damper force on the journal in local directions is given by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
F_{2} \\
F_{3}
\end{array}\right]=-\left[\begin{array}{ll}
c_{22} & c_{23} \\
c_{32} & c_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{u}_{2} \\
\dot{u_{3}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The damping coefficients given above will however become singular when the journal center coincides with damper center since $e$ appears in the denominator of several expressions. The limiting values are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{22}=c_{33}=\mu R\left(\frac{L}{C}\right)^{3} \frac{\pi}{2} \\
& c_{23}=c_{32}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

To avoid the singularity, these values are used while performing eigenfrequency and frequency-domain analyses.
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## Multi-Spool Bearing

Multi-spool bearings are intershaft bearings connecting coaxial rotors running at different speeds.


Figure 3-13: Multi-spool bearing
A connection between the rotors is established by adding a spring-damper system with equivalent stiffness and damping coefficients between the corresponding surfaces on both the shafts. Usually the radial deformation in the shafts is not significant when compared to the overall lateral displacement. Therefore, only average lateral displacements and average tilting rotations in local directions are used for formulating the connection.

## Displacement and Rotations in Solid Rotor

Bearing coefficients are specified in space-fixed directions, which are local to the bearing. Because the Solid Rotor interface is formulated in a co-rotating frame, the displacements are observed from a rotating frame. This displacement field should be transformed to a space-fixed frame before evaluating the average displacement and tilting of the connected boundaries. Let $\mathbf{u}_{1, \mathrm{r}}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{2, \mathrm{r}}$ be the displacement fields of the rotors in their respective co-rotating frames. The space-fixed displacement field can be obtained as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{u}_{1}=R\left(\theta_{1}\right) \mathbf{u}_{1, \mathrm{r}} \\
& \mathbf{u}_{2}=R\left(\theta_{2}\right) \mathbf{u}_{2, \mathrm{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R$ is the rotation matrix corresponding to the axial rotation and $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ are the corresponding axial rotations of the rotor.

Average displacement and rotations of the connected surface can be obtained as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}= & \frac{\int_{\partial \Omega} \mathbf{u} d S}{\int_{\partial \Omega} d S} \\
\theta_{\mathrm{c}}= & \frac{\int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \times\left(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) d S}{}\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \cdot\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) d S
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is the center of the connected boundaries and

$$
\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{c}}=R(\Omega)\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)
$$

The Beam Rotor interface is modeled in a space-fixed frame. Therefore, there is no need to do any transformation to get the displacement in a space-fixed frame. Also, both displacements and rotations are degrees of freedom in the Beam Rotor interface. These are directly used for connecting the respective points.

## Intershaft Connection

Connections can be either rigid or flexible. The rigid case is an idealized model where the bearing stiffness is much larger than the stiffness of the connected shafts. In the flexible case, the displacements and rotations of both shafts are connected through an equivalent spring and damper.

## RIGID CONNECTION

For a rigid connection, a constraint is added to make the lateral displacement and tilting rotations of the both shafts equal. In the presence of misalignment in the bearing, these constraints should accommodate the misalignment too.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{2,1}=u_{2,2}+u_{2,0} \\
& u_{3,1}=u_{3,2}+u_{3,0} \\
& \theta_{2,1}=\theta_{2,2}+\theta_{2,0} \\
& \theta_{3,1}=\theta_{3,2}+\theta_{3,0}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first subscript refers to the local $y$ or $z$ components, and the second subscript refers to shafts or misalignment. 1 and 2 refers to the shafts, and 0 refers to the misalignment.

## FLEXIBLE CONNECTION

For the flexible case, spring-damper forces and moments proportional to the corresponding relative motion between the shaft are added:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
F_{2} \\
F_{3}
\end{array}\right\}=-\left[\begin{array}{l}
k_{u, 22} k_{u, 23} \\
k_{u, 32} k_{u, 33}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u_{2,12} \\
u_{3,12}
\end{array}\right\}-\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{u, 22} c_{u, 23} \\
c_{u, 32} c_{u, 33}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\dot{u}_{2,12} \\
\dot{u}_{3,12}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
M_{2} \\
M_{3}
\end{array}\right\}=-\left[\begin{array}{cc}
k_{\theta, 22} & k_{\theta, 23} \\
k_{\theta, 32} & k_{\theta, 33}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{2,12} \\
\theta_{3,12}
\end{array}\right\}-\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{\theta, 22} \\
c_{\theta, 23} \\
c_{\theta, 32}
\end{array} c_{\theta, 33}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\dot{\theta}_{2,12} \\
\dot{\theta}_{3,12}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{2,12}=u_{2,1}-u_{2,2}-u_{2,0} \\
& u_{3,12}=u_{3,1}-u_{3,2}-u_{3,0} \\
& \theta_{2,12}=\theta_{2,1}-\theta_{2,2}-\theta_{2,0} \\
& \theta_{3,12}=\theta_{3,1}-\theta_{3,2}-\theta_{3,0}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Liquid Annular Seal

The flow of a lubricant in a seal annulus induces radial forces opposing the motion the rotor. In addition, due to axial variation of the swirl flow velocity, there are also cross-coupled forces acting on the rotor. The first type of forces always has a stabilizing effect on the rotor, whereas the second type of forces can have a destabilizing effect in certain conditions.

Childs obtained expressions for the dynamic coefficient for an unsteady turbulent flow in a liquid annular seal by considering a classical Reynolds-type equation used in thin-film flow by relating turbulent shear stresses to the averaged velocities in the gap.

The local force components acting on the rotor due to the seal are commonly described in terms of dynamic coefficients in the following way:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
F_{2} \\
F_{3}
\end{array}\right]=-\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
K_{d} & k_{c} \\
-k_{c} & K_{d}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{2} \\
u_{3}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C_{d} & c_{c} \\
-c_{c} & C_{d}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\dot{u}_{2} \\
\dot{u}_{3}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
m_{d} & 0 \\
0 & m_{d}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{u_{2}} \\
\ddot{u_{3}}
\end{array}\right]\right)
$$

Here, $K_{d}$ and $C_{d}$ are the direct stiffness and damping coefficients for the seal, respectively. Similarly, $k_{c}$ and $c_{c}$ are cross-coupled stiffness and damping coefficients for the seal. $m_{d}$ is the effective mass of the seal. These coefficients are functions of the flow as well as geometric properties of the seal. The commonly used models describing these dependencies are by Black and Jenssen, and by Childs. The Black and Jenssen model uses a constant inlet swirl ratio of 0.5 , whereas in the Childs model the inlet swirl ratio can be varied. The inlet swirl ratio, $\alpha$, is defined as the ratio of circumferential velocity of the flow to circumferential velocity of the rotor at a distance $R$ from the center:

$$
\alpha=\frac{u_{c}}{R \Omega}
$$

Here, $u_{\mathrm{c}}$ is the circumferential velocity of the flow at the seal's inlet, and $\Omega$ is the angular speed of the rotor. $R$ is the radius of the seal.

A fundamental relationship describing the axial pressure drop in the seal and mean axial flow velocity is described by

$$
\Delta P=\frac{1}{2}(1+\xi+2 \sigma) \rho V^{2}
$$

where $\Delta P$ is axial pressure drop, $V$ is axial flow velocity, $\xi$ is entrance loss factor, $\sigma$ is scaled friction factor given by $\lambda L / C . L$ is the seal length, and $C$ is the seal clearance.

Since $\sigma$ is a nonlinear function of the mean axial flow velocity (described below for different seal models), the pressure drop and the axial flow velocity relation need to be solved iteratively to determine the axial flow velocity for a given pressure drop across the seal.

In the following sections, the seal dynamic coefficients are described for the different seal models.

## Black and Jenssen Model

The dynamic coefficients for the Black and Jenssen model are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{d} & =\mu_{3}\left(\mu_{0}-0.25 \mu_{2} \Omega^{2} T^{2}\right) \\
k_{c} & =\mu_{3}\left(0.5 \mu_{1} \Omega T\right) \\
C_{d} & =\mu_{3} \mu_{1} T \\
c_{c} & =\mu_{3} \mu_{2} \Omega T^{2} \\
m_{d} & =\mu_{3} \mu_{2} T^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{0}=f_{0} \frac{(1+\xi) \sigma^{2}}{(1+\xi+2 \sigma)^{2}} \\
& \mu_{1}=f_{1} \frac{(1+\xi)^{2} \sigma+(1+\xi)(2.33+2 \xi) \sigma^{2}+3.33(1+\xi) \sigma^{3}+1.33 \sigma^{4}}{(1+\xi+2 \sigma)^{3}} \\
& \mu_{2}=f_{2} \frac{0.33(1+\xi)^{2}(2 \xi-1) \sigma+(1+\xi)(1+2 \xi) \sigma^{2}+2(1+\xi) \sigma^{3}+1.33 \sigma^{4}}{(1+\xi+2 \sigma)^{4}} \\
& \mu_{3}=\frac{\pi R \Delta P}{\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

Factors $f_{0}, f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ in the above expressions are the correction factors multiplied in the short seal expressions to correct for the finite length of the seal. These factors are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0}=\frac{1}{1+0.28\left(\frac{L}{R}\right)^{2}} \\
& f_{1}=\frac{1}{1+0.23\left(\frac{L}{R}\right)^{2}} \\
& f_{2}=\frac{1}{1+0.06\left(\frac{L}{R}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The friction loss factor, $\lambda$, is defined as

$$
\lambda=0.079 R_{a}^{-0.25}\left[1+\left(\frac{7 R_{c}}{8 R_{a}}\right)^{2-0.375}\right.
$$

$R_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$ are axial and circumferential Reynolds numbers, respectively, and are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{a}=\frac{2 \rho V C}{\mu} \\
& R_{c}=\frac{\rho R \Omega C}{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

The time scale, $T$, is given by

$$
T=\frac{L}{V}
$$

and

$$
\sigma=\lambda \frac{L}{C}
$$

## Childs Model

The Childs model is based on Hirs' lubrication equation that includes the effect of fluid inertia in the momentum equation. Expressions are obtained for a short seal theory. The dynamic coefficients for this model are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{d}=2 k_{0} \sigma^{2}\left\{1.25 E-\frac{(\Omega T)^{2}}{4 \sigma}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{6}+E\right)+\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\frac{(2 \alpha-1)}{a}\left[\left(E+\frac{1}{a^{2}}\right)\left(1-e^{-a}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{a}\right) e^{-a}\right]\right\}\right\} \\
k_{c}=k_{0} \sigma^{2} \Omega T\left\{\frac{E}{\sigma}+\frac{B}{2}\left(\frac{1}{6}+E\right)+\frac{(2 \alpha-1)}{a}\left\{\frac{E}{B}+\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}-\frac{B}{a}\right)\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\left[\left(1-e^{-a}\right)\left(E+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{a}\right)-1\right]\right\}\right\} \\
C_{d}=2 k_{0} \sigma^{2} T\left[\frac{E}{\sigma}+\frac{B}{2}\left(\frac{1}{6}+E\right)\right] \\
c_{c}=2 k_{0} \sigma \Omega T^{2}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{6}+E\right)+\frac{(\alpha-0.5)}{a}\left[\left(1-e^{-a}\right)\left(E+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{a^{2}}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{e^{-a}}{a}\right)\right]\right\} \\
m_{d}=k_{0} \sigma\left(\frac{1}{6}+E\right) T^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
k_{0}=\frac{\pi R \Delta P}{\lambda(1+\xi+2 \sigma)}
$$

The friction loss factor, $\lambda$, in this model is defined as

$$
\lambda=0.066 R_{a}^{-0.25}\left(1+\frac{1}{4 b^{2}}\right)^{0.375}
$$

The axial and circumferential Reynolds numbers are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{a}=\frac{\rho V C}{\mu} \\
& R_{c}=\frac{\rho R \Omega C}{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $b$ is the ratio of axial to circumferential Reynolds numbers. Other variables in the dynamic coefficient expressions are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =\sigma(1+0.75 \beta) \\
\beta & =\frac{1}{1+4 b^{2}} \\
B & =1+3 b^{2} \beta \\
E & =\frac{1+\xi}{2(1+\xi+B \sigma)} \\
T & =\frac{L}{V} \\
\sigma & =\lambda \frac{L}{C}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Rotor Coupling

Coupling members are used for connecting rotors in long rotor assemblies. One such example is a spline coupling. This coupling connects the displacements of the rotors while providing the freedom to tilt relative to each other. Another example is a torsional coupling where the coupling has certain stiffness for the relative axial rotation of the connected rotors while keeping the displacement and tilting of the rotors rigidly connected. In general, a coupling will have some combination of allowed and restricted relative motions across the two rotors. Connection between the different degrees of freedom for various types of couplings are described in the following sections.

## Spline Coupling

In a spline coupling, displacements and axial rotation of the rotors are rigidly connected whereas rotors are free to tilt relative to each other.

If a Rotor Coupling is applied to an interior boundary, the degrees of freedom in the rotor are slit and then connected using the following constraint:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathbf{u}_{0} \\
& \theta_{1 \mathrm{u}}=\theta_{1 \mathrm{~d}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{u}}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{d}}$ are the displacements on both sides of the interior boundary. $\mathbf{u}_{0}$ is the parallel misalignment in the coupling. $\theta_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ and $\theta_{1 \mathrm{~d}}$ are the axial rotations of the rotors on both sides of the interior boundary.

On an exterior boundary, there is no rotor present on the other side. Therefore, displacement and tilt rotations are free for this coupling type, while the axial rotation is fixed:

$$
\theta_{1}=0
$$

Here, $\theta_{1}$ is the axial rotation of the rotor on the selected boundary.

## Torsional Coupling

A torsional coupling offers a torsional stiffness between the two rotors. Other degrees of freedom - displacement and tilting rotations - of both rotors are rigidly
connected. On an interior boundary, the following constraints and torsional moments are applied:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathbf{u}_{0} \\
& \theta_{2 \mathrm{u}}=\theta_{2 \mathrm{~d}}+\theta_{0 y} \\
& \theta_{3 \mathrm{u}}=\theta_{3 \mathrm{~d}}+\theta_{0 z} \\
& \Delta T_{1}=-k_{\theta, \mathrm{ax}}\left(\theta_{1 \mathrm{u}}-\theta_{1 \mathrm{~d}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\theta_{2 \mathrm{u}}$ and $\theta_{3 \mathrm{u}}$ are the bending rotations on one side of the interior boundary and $\theta_{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ and $\theta_{3 \mathrm{~d}}$ are the rotations on the other side. Subscripts 2 and 3 denote the local $y$ and $z$ direction components of the bending rotation. $\theta_{0 y}$ and $\theta_{0 z}$ are the angular misalignment values in the local $y$ and $z$ directions, respectively.

On an exterior boundary, displacements and tilt rotations are free. A torsional moment given by

$$
T_{1}=-k_{\theta, \mathrm{ax}} \theta_{1}
$$

acts on this boundary.

## User Defined

A general connection between the two rotors can be established using the User defined option. The degrees of freedom are split into three types: displacement, bending rotation, and axial rotation. Each type of degree of freedom can be rigidly or flexibly connected. In the rigid case, a constraint is applied across the degrees of freedom on both rotors. In the flexible case, a force (moment) proportional to the degree of freedom is applied. The following sections describe the corresponding constraints and forces (moments) for various types of connections.

## DISPLACEMENT CONNECTION

For a rigid connection, the following constraints are applied on the interior boundary:

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathbf{u}_{0}
$$

On the exterior boundary, the constraint is:

$$
\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}_{0}
$$

If the connection is flexible, the force acts on the relative translation of the two rotors on the interior boundary:

$$
\Delta \mathbf{F}=-k_{\mathrm{u}}\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{u}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{d}}-\mathbf{u}_{0}\right)
$$

Note that the relative motion is offset by the misalignment value, if any. Thus, the misalignment acts like a predeformation in the spring.

On an exterior boundary, the force is given by

$$
\mathbf{F}=-k_{\mathbf{u}}\left(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{0}\right)
$$

## AXIAL ROTATION CONNECTION

On an interior boundary, the following constraints are applied for a rigid case:

$$
\theta_{1 \mathrm{u}}=\theta_{1 \mathrm{~d}}
$$

On an exterior boundary, the constraint changes to

$$
\theta_{1}=0
$$

For a flexible connection on the interior boundary, the following torsional moment is applied on the relative axial rotation:

$$
\Delta T_{1}=-k_{\theta, \mathrm{ax}}\left(\theta_{1 \mathrm{u}}-\theta_{1 \mathrm{~d}}\right)
$$

On an exterior boundary it is

$$
T_{1}=-k_{\theta, \mathrm{ax}} \theta_{1}
$$

## BENDING ROTATION CONNECTION

For a rigid connection, the following constraints are applied on an interior boundary:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{2 \mathrm{u}}=\theta_{2 \mathrm{~d}}-\theta_{0 y} \\
& \theta_{3 \mathrm{u}}=\theta_{3 \mathrm{~d}}-\theta_{0 z}
\end{aligned}
$$

On an exterior boundary, the constraints are changed to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{2}=\theta_{0 y} \\
& \theta_{3}=\theta_{0 z}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case of a flexible connection, the following moments act on an interior boundary on the relative tilting motion of the two rotors:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\Delta M_{\mathrm{b} 2} \\
\Delta M_{\mathrm{b} 3}
\end{array}\right]=-\left[\begin{array}{ll}
k_{\theta 22} & k_{\theta 23} \\
k_{\theta 32} & k_{\theta 33}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{2 \mathrm{u}}-\theta_{2 \mathrm{~d}}-\theta_{0 y} \\
\theta_{3 \mathrm{u}}-\theta_{3 \mathrm{~d}}-\theta_{0 z}
\end{array}\right]
$$

On an exterior boundary, the moments are

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
M_{\mathrm{b} 2} \\
M_{\mathrm{b} 3}
\end{array}\right]=-\left[\begin{array}{ll}
k_{\theta 22} & k_{\theta 23} \\
k_{\theta 32} & k_{\theta 33}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{2} \\
\theta_{3}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Misalignment values can be specified from the Misalignment feature, available as a subnode for Rotor Coupling.

## Misalignment

Misalignment in the bearings and rotor couplings can occur due as an effect of improper mounting. Misalignment often results in metal to metal contact in the bearing components with high contact forces. This gives rise to noise and high temperatures in the bearing. The misalignment is broadly classified in the following categories:

- Parallel misalignment: The axes of the bearings are parallel to each other but the bearing centers are offset in the lateral direction (see Figure 3-14).
- Angular misalignment: The axes of the bearings are not perfectly parallel. Rather, they are slightly inclined relative to each other (see Figure 3-15). Bearing centers are still aligned in the direction of the rotor axis.
- A combination of the above two.


Figure 3-14: Parallel misalignment


Figure 3-15: Angular misalignment
Misalignment modeling for the different types of bearings is explained in the following sections.

## Journal and Thrust Bearings

Misalignment in journal and thrust bearings acts as preload on the rotor and has a similar effect as predeformation in springs. Therefore, modeling of the misalignment requires adjustment of the relative motion between the journal and the foundation
motion. Let $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}$ be the journal and foundation displacements at the bearing center, and $\theta_{\mathrm{r}}$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{f}}$ be the tilt angles of the rotor and foundation. For a parallel misalignment $\mathbf{u}_{0}$, the relative displacement between the journal and foundation is given by

$$
\Delta \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}-\mathbf{u}_{0}
$$

For an angular misalignment $\theta_{0}$, the relative angular displacement between the journal and foundation is

$$
\Delta \theta=\theta_{\mathrm{r}}-\theta_{\mathrm{f}}-\theta_{0}
$$

The spring and damping forces are then functions of these relative displacements, rotations and their derivatives.

## Q For details about the bearing

## Radial Roller Bearing

The roller deformation in the roller bearings is determined by the relative motion of the shaft and the outer races at the roller location. When the bearing has misalignment, this relative motion needs to be adjusted for the parallel misalignment $\mathbf{u}_{0}$ and angular misalignment $\theta_{0}$ to calculate the actual roller deformation. Let the displacement of the inner race at its center be $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and its tilt $\theta_{\mathrm{i}}$, and the displacement of the outer race at its center be $\mathbf{u}_{0}$ with tilt $\theta_{0}$. Then, the net relative motion of the inner and outer races including the effect of misalignment can be written as:

$$
\Delta \mathbf{u}=\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{o}}-\mathbf{u}_{0}\right)+\left(\theta_{\mathrm{i}}-\theta_{\mathrm{o}}-\theta_{0}\right) \times \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{rc}}
$$

Here, $\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{rc}}$ is the position of the roller center relative to the center of the bearing. The roller force is a function of the roller deformation, which in case of misalignment is given by the above expression.

For details about the roller deformation and roller force computation, see the theory for the Radial Roller Bearing.

## Rotor Coupling

The behavior of the misalignment in the rotor coupling is similar to that described for the Journal and Thrust Bearings above. As opposed to the bearings where the relative motion is between the journal (collar) and the foundation, the relative motion is between the two rotors in this case.

For details about the coupling forces and moments, see the theory for the Rotor Coupling.

## Multi-Spool Bearing

Misalignment in multi-spool bearings acts as a preload between the rotors and has a similar effect as predeformation in springs. Therefore, modeling misalignment requires adjustment of the relative motion between the shafts. Let $\mathbf{u}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{2}$ be the displacements of the shafts at the bearing center, and $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ be the corresponding tilt angles. For a parallel misalignment $\mathbf{u}_{0}$, the relative displacement between the shafts is given by

$$
\Delta \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}_{1}-\mathbf{u}_{2}-\mathbf{u}_{0}
$$

For an angular misalignment $\theta_{0}$, the relative angular displacement between the shafts is

$$
\Delta \theta=\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-\theta_{0}
$$

The spring and damping forces are then functions of these relative displacements, rotations and their derivatives.

For details about the forces and moments, see the theory for the
Multi-Spool Bearing.

## Identification of Whirl

When the deformation of the rotor is observed in a corotating frame, the computed eigenfrequencies must be shifted to obtain the correct eigenfrequency from the analysis. This can be shown with the following consideration. Let $u_{2 \mathrm{r}}$ and $u_{3 \mathrm{r}}$ be the components of the displacement vector in lateral directions 2 and 3 of the rotor. The axis of the rotor is chosen as direction 1 . Then, the harmonic response of these displacements can be represented as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{2 \mathrm{r}}=u_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{c}} \cos \omega t+u_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}} \sin \omega t \\
& u_{3 \mathrm{r}}=u_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{c}} \cos \omega t+u_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}} \sin \omega t
\end{aligned}
$$

The components of the displacements observed in the spatial frame can be written as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{2} \\
u_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \Omega t & -\sin \Omega t \\
\sin \Omega t & \cos \Omega t
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{2 \mathrm{r}} \\
u_{3 \mathrm{r}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\Omega$ is the angular velocity of the rotor. After simplification, these components are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{2}=\frac{u_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{c}}+u_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}}}{2} \cos (\Omega+\omega) t+\frac{u_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{c}}-u_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}}}{2} \cos (\Omega-\omega) t+ \\
& \frac{u_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{s}-u_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{c}}}{2} \sin (\Omega+\omega) t-\frac{u_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}}+u_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{c}}}{2} \sin (\Omega-\omega) t
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{3}=\frac{u_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{c}}-u_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}}}{2} \cos (\Omega+\omega) t+\frac{u_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}}+u_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{c}}}{2} \cos (\Omega-\omega) t+ \\
& \frac{u_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{c}}+u_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}}}{2} \sin (\Omega+\omega) t+\frac{u_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{c}}-u_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}}}{2} \sin (\Omega-\omega) t
\end{aligned}
$$

In the complex plane, the lateral displacement can be represented as $u_{1}=u_{2}+i u_{3}$. After substitution, this becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(u_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{c}}+u_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right)+i\left(u_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{c}}-u_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right\} e^{i(\Omega+\omega) t}+  \tag{3-19}\\
& \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(u_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{c}}-u_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right)+i\left(u_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{c}}+u_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right\} e^{i(\Omega-\omega) t}
\end{align*}
$$

The motion is defined as forward whirl if it whirls in the rotor spinning direction, and as backward whirl if it whirls opposite to the rotor spinning direction. Therefore, the first term in Equation 3-19 corresponds to the forward whirl and the second term corresponds to the backward whirl. The lateral displacement can then be represented in terms of forward and backward components in the following way:

$$
u_{1}=u_{\mathrm{f}} e^{i(\Omega+\omega) t}+u_{\mathrm{b}} e^{i(\Omega-\omega) t}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{\mathrm{f}}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(u_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{c}}+u_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right)+i\left(u_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{c}}-u_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right\} \\
& u_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(u_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{c}}-u_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right)+i\left(u_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{c}}+u_{2 \mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

A solution from an eigenfrequency analysis is represented as

$$
u=\left(u_{\mathrm{R}}+i u_{\mathrm{I}}\right) e^{i \omega t}
$$

The real part is then

$$
\Re(u)=u_{\mathrm{R}} \cos \omega t-u_{\mathrm{I}} \sin \omega t
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{\mathrm{c}}=u_{\mathrm{R}} \\
& u^{\mathrm{s}}=-u_{\mathrm{I}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the forward and backward components can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{\mathrm{f}}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(u_{2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{R}}-u_{3 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{I}}\right)+i\left(u_{3 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{R}}+u_{2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{I}}\right)\right\} \\
& u_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(u_{2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{R}}+u_{3 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{I}}\right)+i\left(u_{3 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{R}}-u_{2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{I}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The lateral displacement in the complex plane can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=\left|u_{\mathrm{f}}\right| e^{\left\{i(\Omega+\omega) t+\phi_{\mathrm{f}}\right\}}+\left|u_{\mathrm{b}}\right| e^{\left\{i(\Omega-\omega) t+\phi_{\mathrm{b}}\right\}} \tag{3-20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|u_{\mathrm{f}}\right|=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(u_{2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{R}}-u_{3 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{I}}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{3 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{R}}+u_{2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{I}}\right)^{2}} \\
& \left(\left|u_{\mathrm{b}}\right|=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(u_{2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{R}}+u_{3 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{I}}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{3 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{R}}-u_{2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{I}}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& \phi_{\mathrm{f}}=\operatorname{atan}\left(\frac{u_{3 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{R}}+u_{2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{I}}}{u_{2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{R}}-u_{3 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{I}}}\right) \\
& \phi_{\mathrm{b}}=\operatorname{atan}\left(\frac{u_{3 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{R}}-u_{2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{I}}}{u_{2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{R}}+u_{3 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{I}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation 3-20 shows that the orbit of the rotor consists of the summation of two rotating vectors: one is a forward circular motion with an amplitude $\left|u_{\mathrm{f}}\right|$, and the other is a backward circular motion with an amplitude $\left|u_{\mathrm{b}}\right|$. When the forward amplitude is greater than the backward amplitude, the overall motion is forward. When the forward amplitude is smaller than the backward amplitude, the overall motion is backward. When both amplitudes are equal, the motion degenerates to a straight line. To summarize, you can classify the whirl based on the following criteria:

- $\left|u_{f}\right|=0$ : Backward circular planar motion
- $\left|u_{\mathrm{b}}\right|=0$ : Forward circular planar motion
- $\left|u_{\mathrm{b}}\right|>\left|u_{\mathrm{f}}\right|$ : Backward elliptic planar motion
- $\left|u_{\mathrm{b}}\right|=\left|u_{\mathrm{f}}\right|$ : Straight line motion
- $\left|u_{\mathrm{b}}\right|<\left|u_{\mathrm{f}}\right|$ : Forward elliptical planar motion

Quantification of this information can be done conveniently by defining a shape and directivity index in the following way:

$$
i_{\mathrm{sd}}=\frac{\left|u_{\mathrm{f}}\right|-\left|u_{\mathrm{b}}\right|}{\left|u_{\mathrm{f}}\right|+\left|u_{\mathrm{b}}\right|}
$$

As a result,

$$
-1 \leq i_{\mathrm{sd}} \leq 1
$$

You can then classify the whirl using the following criteria:

- $i_{\text {sd }}=-1$ : Backward circular planar motion
- $-1<i_{\text {sd }}<0$ : Backward elliptical planar motion
- $i_{\text {sd }}=0$ : Straight line motion
- $0<i_{\text {sd }}<1$ : Forward elliptical planar motion
- $i_{\text {sd }}=1$ : Forward circular planar motion

Usually, the entire rotor has either forward or backward whirl. However, it is also possible that the complete rotor can have mixed whirling, meaning the rotor can undergo forward and backward whirl simultaneously at different sections. Mixed whirling sometimes occurs in long flexible rotors supported by fluid film bearings.
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## Added Mass

The Added Mass node adds the equations for the inertial effects of components that are not explicitly modeled in the rotor system. It is assumed that these components are mounted on the rotor and therefore rotate with it. Inertial properties in the Added Mass node are thus assumed to be specified in the rotating frame. Also, the flexibility of these components is much smaller than that in the rotor. Therefore, these components can be treated as rigid bodies. Added mass can exist on domains and boundaries. The inertia force in Solid Rotor due to added mass in the corotating frame can, by using Equation 3-4, be written as

$$
\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{m}}=-M \cdot\left(R^{T} \frac{\partial^{2} \chi(\mathbf{X}, t)}{\partial t^{2}}\right)=-M \cdot \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{r}}
$$

where $M$ is the mass distribution matrix. For added mass on a boundary, the contribution to the virtual work is

$$
\delta W=\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{m}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\right) d A
$$

Similarly, for the Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interface the additional frame contribution to the virtual work is

$$
\delta W=\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{m}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}\right) d A
$$

where $\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{m}}=-m \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{f}}$ and

$$
\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{f}}=F_{\mathrm{R}} \dot{W} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}+2 \dot{F} \dot{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{R}} W \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}+\left(\nabla_{\mathrm{R}} F_{\mathrm{R}} W \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}\right) W \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}+F_{\mathrm{R}} W^{2} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}
$$

for an elastic domain and

$$
\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{f}}=\left(2 W_{\text {rig }} R_{\text {rig }} W+R_{\text {rig }} \dot{W}+R_{\text {rig }} W^{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{bp}}\right)
$$

for a rigid domain.

## Energy Quantities

There are several energy quantities computed in the Solid Rotor and Beam Rotor interfaces. This section contains a summary of these quantities and how they are computed in different situations.

## Elastic Energy

Elastic energy expressions for the Solid Rotor and Beam Rotor interfaces are similar to the Solid Mechanics and Beam interfaces in the Structural Mechanics Module. For details on the elastic energy, see the Structural Mechanics Module User's Guide.

In the Beam Rotor interface, the strain energy density is split into membrane, bending, and shear parts, which are then summed into a total strain energy density.

The strain energy density for all elastic domains is integrated to give a total elastic strain energy, which contains all elastic energy stored in each physics interface.

## Kinetic Energy

For a time-dependent study, kinetic energy is defined as

$$
W_{k}=\frac{1}{2} \rho v^{2}
$$

where $\rho$ is the mass density, and $v$ is the velocity defined as

$$
v=\sqrt{\frac{\partial \chi(\mathbf{X}, t)}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial \chi(\mathbf{X}, t)}{\partial t}}
$$

Using Equation 3-3, the kinetic energy can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{k}=\frac{1}{2} \rho\left(\left\{\Omega_{\mathrm{r}} \times \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}}+\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial t}\right\} \cdot\left\{\Omega_{\mathrm{r}} \times \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}}+\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial t}\right\}\right)= \\
& \frac{1}{2} \rho\left[\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \Omega_{\mathrm{r}}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)-\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)^{2}+2\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial t} \cdot\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{r}} \times \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)\right)+\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial t}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bp}}$.
In frequency-domain, the kinetic energy is defined as

$$
W_{k}=\frac{1}{4} \rho\left[\Omega^{2}\left|u_{\mathrm{r}}\right|^{2}-\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)^{2}+\omega^{2}\left|u_{\mathrm{r}}\right|^{2}\right]
$$

which represents the cycle average.

## Gear Theory

In this section:

- Spur Gear Theory
- Helical Gear Theory
- Bevel Gear Theory


## Spur Gear Theory

The Spur Gear is defined as a rigid body. A finite stiffness for the gear mesh (or gear tooth) can be specified in the Gear Pair node while connecting to other gears. Similar to the rigid domain, the spur gear is a material model, which is mutually exclusive to all other material models. The only material property needed is the mass density.


Figure 3-16: Sketch of an external spur gear., showing the tooth cross section and various parameters, such as center of rotation, gear axis, pitch radius, and pressure angle.


Figure 3-17: ketch of an internal spur gear, showing the tooth cross section and various parameters such as center of rotation, gear axis, pitch radius, and pressure angle.

For details about the theory of a rigid body, see the Rigid Material section in the Structural Mechanics Module User's Guide.

## CONNECTION TO OTHER GEARS

A spur gear can be connected to another Spur Gear or a Helical Gear through the Gear Pair node. A single gear can be connected to one or more gears.

## MOUNTING METHODS

The motion of a spur gear can be constrained directly using its subnode. Alternatively, it can be mounted on a shaft.

## CENTER OF ROTATION

Gears are defined through rigid body degrees of freedom. These degrees of freedom are created at the center of rotation and the rotation is interpreted about this point. By default, the center of rotation is set to the center of mass, but there are other ways to define it explicitly. This is the point where you interpret the forces and moment that act on the gear due to meshing with other gears.

## GEAR AXIS

The gear axis is the axis of rotation of the gear that passes through the center of rotation. This axis is used to create the gear's local coordinate system in the Gear Pair node. The gear rotation, a degree of freedom in the Gear Pair node, is also interpreted about this axis.

## GEAR PROPERTIES

The following quantities are required to define a spur gear:

- Number of teeth ( $n$ )
- Pitch diameter $\left(d_{p}\right)$
- Pressure angle $(\alpha)$

The transverse module of the gear is defined as:

$$
m_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{p}}}{n}
$$

The normal module of the gear is defined as:

$$
m_{\mathrm{n}}=m_{\mathrm{t}}
$$

## Helical Gear Theory

The Helical Gear model is defined as a rigid body. A finite stiffness for the gear mesh (or gear tooth) can be specified in the Gear Pair node while connecting to other gears. Similar to the rigid domain, the helical gear is a material model, which is mutually exclusive to all other material models. The only material property needed is the mass density.


Figure 3-18: Sketch of an external helical gear, showing the tooth cross section and various parameters such as center of rotation, gear axis, pitch radius, pressure angle, and belix angle.


Figure 3-19: Sketch of an internal belical gear, showing the tooth cross section and various parameters such as center of rotation, gear axis, pitch radius, pressure angle, and helix angle.

Q | For details about the theory of a rigid body, see the Rigid Material section |
| :--- |
| in the Structural Mechanics Module User's Guide. |

The following section is an addition to the Spur Gear Theory section, which is also applicable for the helical gear.

## GEAR PROPERTIES

The additional parameter helix angle $(\beta)$ is required to define a helical gear. The positive helix angle is interpreted as right-handed and the negative helix angle is interpreted as left handed.

The normal module of the gear is defined as:

$$
m_{\mathrm{n}}=m_{\mathrm{t}} \cos \beta
$$

## Bevel Gear Theory

The Bevel Gear model is defined as a rigid body. A finite stiffness for the gear mesh (or gear tooth) can be specified in the Gear Pair node while connecting to other gears. Similar to the rigid domain, the bevel gear is a material model, which is mutually
exclusive to all other material models. The only material property needed is the mass density.


Figure 3-20: Sketch of a bevel gear, showing the gear cross section and various parameters such as center of rotation, gear axis, pitch diameter, and cone angle.

For details about the theory of a rigid body, see the Rigid Material section in the Structural Mechanics Module User's Guide.

The following section is an addition to the Spur Gear Theory section, some of which is also applicable for the bevel gear.

## CONNECTION TO OTHER GEARS

A bevel gear can be connected to another Bevel Gear through the Gear Pair node. A single gear can be connected to one or more gears.

## GEAR PROPERTIES

The additional parameter cone angle $(\gamma)$ is required to define a bevel gear. The positive cone angle results in a decrease in diameter along the gear axis.

## Gear Pair Theory

In this section:

- Theory for Gear Pairs
- Theory for Gear Elasticity
- Theory for Transmission Error
- Theory for Backlash
- Theory for Gear Friction


## Theory for Gear Pairs

The Gear Pair node connects two spur gears, helical gears, or bevel gears in such a way that at the contact point, they have no relative motion along the line of action. The remaining displacements and rotations of both gears are independent of each other.

In case of a line contact model, one additional constraint is added to restrict the relative rotation about a line joining the two gear centers. When friction is included for a gear pair, frictional forces in the plane perpendicular to the line of action are added on both the gears.


Figure 3-21: Sketch of a gear pair where the line of action is computed by the clockwise rotation of the tangent.

The degrees of freedom at the gear pair are $\theta_{\mathrm{wh}}$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{pn}}$. They are defined as the rotation of the wheel and pinion about the first axis of their respective local coordinate system.


Figure 3-22: Sketch of a gear pair where the line of action is computed by the counterclockwise rotation of the tangent.

The rest of this section discusses the following topics:

- Gear Pair Compatibility Criteria
- Gear Local Coordinate System
- Gear Tooth Coordinate System
- Line of Action
- Gear Ratio
- Contact Point Position and Offset
- Gear Pair Constraints
- Contact Forces and Moments


## GEAR PAIR COMPATIBILITY CRITERIA

In a Gear Pair node, you can select any two gears defined for the model. However, for the correct tooth meshing, a set of gears must fulfill the compatibility criteria.

## Normal Module

The normal module of both gears must be the same.

$$
m_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{wh}}=m_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{pn}}
$$

## Pressure Angle

The pressure angle of both gears must be the same.

$$
\alpha_{\mathrm{wh}}=\alpha_{\mathrm{pn}}
$$

## Configuration

For parallel axis helical gears, the sum of the helix angles must be zero.

$$
\beta_{\mathrm{wh}}+\beta_{\mathrm{pn}}=0
$$

For crossed axis helical gears, the sum of the helix angle must be nonzero.

$$
\beta_{\mathrm{wh}}+\beta_{\mathrm{pn}} \neq 0
$$

## GEAR LOCAL COORDINATE SYSTEM

The local coordinate system for each gear is created using the gear axis and the center of rotation of both gears. This local coordinate system is attached to the gear; however, it does not rotate with the gear local rotation.

## Initial Local Coordinate System

The first axis for the wheel $\left(\mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{wh}}\right)$ and pinion $\left(\mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{pn}}\right)$ is an input on the respective nodes. In 2D, it is assumed to be the out-of-plane direction.

For a parallel or intersecting configuration, the second axis is defined as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{e}_{20, \mathrm{wh}}=\mathbf{e}_{0, \mathrm{~cd}}-\left(\mathbf{e}_{0, \mathrm{~cd}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{wh}} \\
\mathbf{e}_{20, \mathrm{pn}}=-\left(\mathbf{e}_{0, \mathrm{~cd}}-\left(\mathbf{e}_{0, \mathrm{~cd}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{pn}}\right) \mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{pn}}\right) \\
\mathbf{e}_{0, \mathrm{~cd}}=\frac{\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{pn}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{wh}}}{\left\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{pn}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{wh}}\right\|}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{wh}}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{pn}}$ are the center of rotation of the wheel and pinion, respectively. For a configuration that is neither parallel nor intersecting, the second axis is defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{e}_{20, \mathrm{wh}} & =\mathbf{e}_{20} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\mathbf{e}_{20} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{0, \mathrm{~cd}}\right) \\
\mathbf{e}_{20, \mathrm{pn}} & =-\mathbf{e}_{20, \mathrm{wh}} \\
\mathbf{e}_{20} & =\mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{wh}} \times \mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{pn}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In 2D:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{e}_{20, \mathrm{wh}}=\mathbf{e}_{0, \mathrm{~cd}} \\
& \mathbf{e}_{20, \mathrm{pn}}=-\mathbf{e}_{0, \mathrm{~cd}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The third axis is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{e}_{30, \mathrm{wh}}=\mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{wh}} \times \mathbf{e}_{20, \mathrm{wh}} \\
& \mathbf{e}_{30, \mathrm{pn}}=\mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{pn}} \times \mathbf{e}_{20, \mathrm{pn}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Local Coordinate System

The first axis is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{e}_{1, \mathrm{wh}} & =R_{\mathrm{wh}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{wh}} \\
\mathbf{e}_{1, \mathrm{pn}} & =R_{\mathrm{pn}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{pn}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The second axis is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{e}_{2, \mathrm{wh}}=\left(R_{\mathrm{wh}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{20, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \cos \theta_{\mathrm{wh}}-\left(R_{\mathrm{wh}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{30, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \sin \theta_{\mathrm{wh}} \\
& \mathbf{e}_{2, \mathrm{pn}}=\left(R_{\mathrm{pn}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{20, \mathrm{pn}}\right) \cos \theta_{\mathrm{pn}}-\left(R_{\mathrm{pn}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{30, \mathrm{pn}}\right) \sin \theta_{\mathrm{pn}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The third axis is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{e}_{2, \mathrm{wh}}=\left(R_{\mathrm{wh}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{20, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \sin \theta_{\mathrm{wh}}+\left(R_{\mathrm{wh}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{30, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \cos \theta_{\mathrm{wh}} \\
& \mathbf{e}_{2, \mathrm{pn}}=\left(R_{\mathrm{pn}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{20, \mathrm{pn}}\right) \sin \theta_{\mathrm{pn}}+\left(R_{\mathrm{pn}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{30, \mathrm{pn}}\right) \cos \theta_{\mathrm{pn}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{\mathrm{wh}}$ and $R_{\mathrm{pn}}$ are the rotation matrices of the wheel and the pinion, respectively. The angles $\theta_{\mathrm{wh}}$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{pn}}$ are the rotations of the wheel and pinion about the first axis of their respective local coordinate system.

## GEAR TOOTH COORDINATE SYSTEM

The gear tooth coordinate system is defined by rotating the gear local coordinate system with the helix angle and cone angle.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{e}_{i \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}}=T_{\mathrm{wh}}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i, \mathrm{wh}} & i=1,2,3 \\
\mathbf{e}_{i \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{pn}}=T_{\mathrm{pn}}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i, \mathrm{pn}} & i=1,2,3
\end{array}
$$

where $T_{\mathrm{wh}}$ and $T_{\mathrm{pn}}$ are the tooth transformation matrix for the wheel and pinion, respectively.

The gear-to-tooth transformation matrix is defined as:

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \gamma \cos \beta & -\sin \gamma & \cos \gamma \sin \beta \\
\sin \gamma \cos \beta & \cos \gamma & \sin \gamma \sin \beta \\
-\sin \beta & 0 & \cos \beta
\end{array}\right]
$$

For the pinion tooth coordinate system, the sign of the second axis $\left(\mathbf{e}_{2 t, \mathrm{pn}}\right)$ and third axis $\left(\mathbf{e}_{3 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{pn}}\right)$ are reversed to match the directions with the wheel tooth coordinate system.

## LINE OF ACTION

The line of action is the normal direction of the gear tooth surface at the contact point on the pitch circle. This is the direction along which the motion is transferred from one gear to another gear. It is defined by rotating the third axis of the tooth coordinate system $\left(\mathbf{e}_{3 t}\right)$ about the first axis of the tooth coordinate system $\left(\mathbf{e}_{1 t}\right)$ with the pressure angle ( $\alpha$ ).

The line of action, also known as the pressure angle direction, is defined as follows:
For clockwise rotation of the third axis:

$$
\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}}=\mathbf{e}_{2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}} \sin \alpha+\mathbf{e}_{2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}} \cos \alpha
$$

For counterclockwise rotation of the third axis:

$$
\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}}=-\mathbf{e}_{2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}} \sin \alpha+\mathbf{e}_{2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}} \cos \alpha
$$

## GEAR RATIO

The gear ratio $\left(g_{r}\right)$ of a gear pair is defined as the ratio of angular velocities of the wheel $\left(\omega_{\mathrm{wh}}\right)$ and the pinion $\left(\omega_{\mathrm{pn}}\right)$ :

$$
g_{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{wh}}}{\omega_{\mathrm{pn}}}
$$

It can also be written as the ratio of number of teeth of the pinion $\left(n_{\mathrm{pn}}\right)$ and the wheel $\left(n_{\mathrm{wh}}\right)$ :

$$
g_{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{n_{\mathrm{pn}}}{n_{\mathrm{wh}}}
$$

## CONTACT POINT POSITION AND OFFSET

## Contact Point Position

The point of contact ( $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp}}$ ) on a gear in its local coordinate system can be defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp}, \mathrm{wh}}=\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{wh}}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{wh}}+z_{\mathrm{wh}} \mathbf{e}_{1, \mathrm{wh}}+\left(r_{\mathrm{wh}}-z_{\mathrm{wh}} \tan \gamma_{\mathrm{wh}}\right) \mathbf{e}_{2, \mathrm{wh}} \\
& \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp}, \mathrm{pn}}=\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{pn}}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{pn}}+z_{\mathrm{pn}} \mathbf{e}_{1, \mathrm{pn}}+\left(r_{\mathrm{pn}}-z_{\mathrm{pn}} \tan \gamma_{\mathrm{pn}}\right) \mathbf{e}_{2, \mathrm{pn}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where, for the wheel and pinion, respectively:

- $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp}, \mathrm{wh}}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp}, \mathrm{pn}}$ are the positions of the contact points
- $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{wh}}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{pn}}$ are the centers of rotation
- $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{wh}}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{pn}}$ are the displacement vectors at the center of rotation
- $z_{\mathrm{wh}}$ and $z_{\mathrm{pn}}$ are the contact point offsets from the center of rotation in the axial direction
- $r_{\mathrm{wh}}$ and $r_{\mathrm{pn}}$ are the pitch radii
- $\gamma_{\mathrm{wh}}$ and $\gamma_{\mathrm{pn}}$ are the cone angles


## Contact Point Offset

The contact point offset from the wheel or pinion center of rotation in the axial direction is defined as follows:

For a parallel or intersecting configuration, the contact point offset from the pinion center $\left(z_{\mathrm{pn}}\right)$ is the input. The contact point offset from the wheel center $\left(z_{\mathrm{wh}}\right)$ is defined as:

$$
z_{\mathrm{wh}}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{pn}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{wh}}+z_{\mathrm{pn}} \mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{pn}}+\left(r_{\mathrm{pn}}-z_{\mathrm{pn}} \tan \gamma_{\mathrm{pn}}\right) \mathbf{e}_{20, \mathrm{pn}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{wh}}
$$

For a configuration that is neither parallel nor intersecting, the contact point offset from the pinion center $\left(z_{\mathrm{pn}}\right)$ and the contact point offset from the wheel center $\left(z_{\mathrm{wh}}\right)$ are defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{\mathrm{pn}}=\frac{-\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cd}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{pn}}\right)-\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cd}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{wh}}\right) x_{\mathrm{wh}, \mathrm{pn}}}{1-x_{\mathrm{wh}, \mathrm{pn}}^{2}} \\
& z_{\mathrm{wh}}=\frac{\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cd}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{wh}}\right)-\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cd}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{pn}}\right) x_{\mathrm{wh}, \mathrm{pn}}}{1-x_{\mathrm{wh}, \mathrm{pn}}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cd}}=\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{pn}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{wh}} \\
& x_{\mathrm{wh}, \mathrm{pn}}=\mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{wh}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{10, \mathrm{pn}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## GEAR PAIR CONSTRAINTS

## Rotation Constraint

This constraint relates the pinion rotation to the wheel rotation:

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{pn}}=-\frac{\theta_{\mathrm{wh}}}{g_{\mathrm{r}}}+\theta_{\mathrm{el}}+\theta_{\mathrm{et}}+\theta_{\mathrm{bl}}
$$

where $\theta_{\mathrm{el}}, \theta_{\mathrm{et}}$, and $\theta_{\mathrm{bl}}$ are the transmission error (elasticity), transmission error (static), and transmission error (backlash), respectively.

By default, there is no elasticity, transmission error, or backlash in a gear pair hence all these transmission errors are zero.

For more details about these transmission errors, see the Theory for Gear Elasticity, Theory for Transmission Error, and Theory for Backlash sections.

## Point Contact Constraint

At the contact point, the relative motion along the line of action must be zero. This can be written as:

$$
\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp}, \mathrm{pn}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp}, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}}=0
$$

## Line Contact Constraint

In case of a line contact model, an additional constraint is added at the second contact point. This can be written as:

$$
\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp} 2, \mathrm{pn}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp} 2, \mathrm{wh}}-\frac{w_{\mathrm{pn}}}{2} \theta_{\mathrm{cl}} \mathbf{e}_{3, \mathrm{pn}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}}=0
$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp} 2, \mathrm{wh}}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp} 2, \mathrm{pn}}$ are the position of the second contact point and can be defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp} 2, \mathrm{wh}}=\operatorname{subst}\left(x_{\mathrm{cp}, \mathrm{wh}}, z_{\mathrm{wh}}, z_{\mathrm{wh}}+\frac{w_{\mathrm{pn}}}{2} \frac{\cos \gamma_{\mathrm{wh}}}{\cos \gamma_{\mathrm{pn}}}\right)\right) \\
& \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp} 2, \mathrm{pn}}=\operatorname{subst}\left(x_{\mathrm{cp}, \mathrm{pn}}, z_{\mathrm{pn}}, z_{\mathrm{pn}}+\frac{w_{\mathrm{pn}}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $w_{\mathrm{pn}}$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{cl}}$ are the working width of the pinion and the relative rotation about centerline, respectively. By default, there is no elasticity on a gear pair hence the relative rotation about the centerline is zero.

## Unique Triad Constraint

In case of planetary gears, there is a constraint to ensure that the local coordinate system of both the gears are still unique and well defined. This can be written as:

$$
\mathbf{e}_{2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{3 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{pn}}=0
$$

## CONTACT FORCES AND MOMENTS

## Contact Force

When you compute a contact force using weak constraints, the point contact constraint is implemented in the weak form and the corresponding Lagrange multiplier gives the contact force $\left(F_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$.

Similarly, when you compute a contact force using a penalty method, the point contact constraint is implemented using the penalty factor and the corresponding penalty force gives the contact force $\left(F_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$.

For the case of a line contact model, the second contact force $\left(F_{\mathrm{c} 2}\right)$ is computed by implementing the line contact constraint in the weak form or by using the penalty factor.

## Contact Moment

When you compute a contact force using weak constraints, the unique triad constraint is implemented in the weak form and the corresponding Lagrange multiplier gives the moment at the contact point $\left(M_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$.

Similarly, when you compute a contact force computation using a penalty method, the unique triad constraint is implemented using the penalty factor and the corresponding penalty moment gives the moment at the contact point $\left(M_{c}\right)$.

## Force and Moment at Gear Centers

The forces at the gear centers are defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{wh}}=-F_{\mathrm{c}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}} \\
& \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pn}}=F_{\mathrm{c}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The moments at the gear centers are defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{wh}}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp}, \mathrm{pn}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{wh}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{wh}}\right) \times\left(-F_{\mathrm{c}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}}\right)+M_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathbf{e}_{2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}} \times \mathbf{e}_{3 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{pn}}\right) \\
& \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{pn}}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp}, \mathrm{pn}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{pn}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{pn}}\right) \times\left(F_{\mathrm{c}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}}\right)+\left(-M_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}} \times \mathbf{e}_{3 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{pn}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For a line contact model, additional contributions from the contact force $F_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ are added to the forces and moments at the gear centers. These contributions can be defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{wh}}=-F_{\mathrm{c} 2} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}} \\
& \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pn}}=F_{\mathrm{c} 2} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}} \\
& \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{wh}}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp} 2, \mathrm{pn}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{wh}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{wh}}\right) \times\left(-F_{\mathrm{c} 2} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}}\right) \\
& \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{pn}}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp} 2, \mathrm{pn}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{pn}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{pn}}\right) \times\left(F_{\mathrm{c} 2} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theory for Gear Elasticity

The Gear Elasticity node can be optionally added on gear pairs. In this node, you can specify the elastic properties of gear mesh, such as mesh stiffness, mesh damping, and contact ratio. By default, the gear mesh is assumed rigid.


Figure 3-23: A sketch of a pair of teeth, showing the point of contact and the direction in which mesh stiffness and damping are interpreted.

The rest of this section discusses the following topics:

- Degrees of Freedom
- Elastic Moments
- Mesh Stiffness
- Mesh Damping


## DEGREES OF FREEDOM

In this node, the transmission error (elasticity), $\theta_{\mathrm{el}}$, is a degree of freedom. This transmission error is added in the rotation constraint of the gear pair.

In the case of a line contact model, one more degree of freedom, $\theta_{\mathrm{cl}}$, is added. This is the relative rotation about the line joining the centers of two gears. The contribution of this rotation is added in the line contact constraint of the gear pair.

## ELASTIC MOMENTS

The elastic moment about the first axis of the tooth coordinate system and its virtual work contribution are defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{\mathrm{e} l}=-\left(k_{\mathrm{g}} \theta_{\mathrm{el}}+c_{\mathrm{g}} \dot{\theta}_{\mathrm{el}}\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{3, \mathrm{pn}}\right)^{2} r_{\mathrm{pn}}^{2} \\
& \delta W=M_{\mathrm{e} l} \cdot \delta \theta_{\mathrm{el}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case of a line contact model, the elastic moment about the second axis of the tooth coordinate system and its virtual work contribution are defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{\mathrm{cl}}=-\left(k_{\mathrm{g}} \theta_{\mathrm{cl}}+c_{\mathrm{g}} \dot{\theta}_{\mathrm{cl}}\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{3, \mathrm{pn}}\right)^{2} \frac{w_{\mathrm{pn}}^{2}}{12} \\
& \delta W=M_{\mathrm{cl}} \cdot \delta \theta_{\mathrm{cl}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

- $k_{\mathrm{g}}$ is the mesh stiffness of the gear pair
- $c_{\mathrm{g}}$ is the mesh damping of the gear pair
- $r_{\mathrm{pn}}$ is the pitch radius of the pinion
- $w_{\mathrm{pn}}$ is the working width of the pinion

The mesh damping contribution to the elastic moments will be zero in the case of a stationary analysis.

## MESH STIFFNESS

## Tooth Stiffness of Individual Gears

The mesh stiffness of the gear pair is defined as:

$$
k_{\mathrm{g}}=\frac{k_{\mathrm{wh}} k_{\mathrm{pn}}}{k_{\mathrm{wh}}+k_{\mathrm{pn}}}
$$

where $k_{\mathrm{wh}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{pn}}$ are the mesh stiffness of the wheel and pinion.
The mesh stiffness of the wheel, for different contact ratios, is defined as follows.

## Contact ratio is constant and set to I:



Figure 3-24: The graph shows the variation of the gear tooth stiffness in a mesh cycle.
The mesh stiffness of the wheel is defined as:

$$
k_{\mathrm{wh}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}\right)
$$

where

- $k_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}}$ is the gear tooth stiffness as function of mesh cycle
- $\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}$ is the mesh cycle

The mesh cycle for the wheel is defined as:

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}=\bmod \left(\theta_{\mathrm{wh}}, \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \quad \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}=\frac{2 \pi}{n_{\mathrm{wh}}}
$$

## Contact ratio is constant and set to 2:



Figure 3-25: The graph shows the variation of the gear tooth stiffness of the first and second teeth in a mesh cycle.

The mesh stiffness of the wheel is defined as:

$$
k_{\mathrm{wh}}=k_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}\right)+k_{\mathrm{t} 2, \mathrm{wh}}
$$

where $k_{\mathrm{t} 2, \mathrm{wh}}$ is the tooth stiffness of the second tooth. It is defined as:

$$
k_{\mathrm{t} 2, \mathrm{wh}}=k_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}+\frac{\theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}}{2}\right)
$$

## Contact ratio is constant and set to 3:



Figure 3-26: The graph shows the variation of the gear tooth stiffness of the first, second, and third teeth in a mesh cycle.

The mesh stiffness of the wheel is defined as:

$$
k_{\mathrm{wh}}=k_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}\right)+k_{\mathrm{t} 2, \mathrm{wh}}+k_{\mathrm{t} 3, \mathrm{wh}}
$$

where $k_{\mathrm{t} 2, \mathrm{wh}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{t} 3, \mathrm{wh}}$ are the tooth stiffness of the second and third teeth. respectively. They are defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{\mathrm{t} 2, \mathrm{wh}}=k_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}+\frac{\theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}}{3}\right) \\
& k_{\mathrm{t} 3, \mathrm{wh}}=k_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}+\frac{2 \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}}{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Contact ratio is varying and maximum contact ratio is set to $\mathbf{2}$ :



Figure 3-27: The graph shows the variation of the gear tooth stiffness of the first and second teeth in a mesh cycle.

The mesh stiffness of the wheel is defined as:

$$
k_{\mathrm{wh}}=k_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}\right)+k_{\mathrm{t} 2 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{wh}}+k_{\mathrm{t} 2 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{wh}}
$$

where $k_{\mathrm{t} 2 f, \mathrm{wh}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{t} 2 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{pn}}$ are the tooth stiffness of the second forward and backward teeth. They are defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{\mathrm{t} 2 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{wh}}=\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}<(1-\zeta) \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \cdot k_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}+\zeta \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \\
& k_{\mathrm{t} 2 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{wh}}=\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}} \geq \zeta \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \cdot k_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}-\zeta \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\zeta$ is the next tooth engagement position in the mesh cycle. The value of $\zeta$ must be in the following range:

$$
\frac{1}{2} \leq \zeta \leq 1
$$

## Contact ratio is varying and maximum contact ratio is set to 3:



Figure 3-28: The graph shows the variation of the gear tooth stiffness of the first, second, and third teeth in a mesh cycle.

The mesh stiffness of the wheel is defined as:

$$
k_{\mathrm{wh}}=k_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}\right)+k_{\mathrm{t} 2 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{wh}}+k_{\mathrm{t} 2 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{wh}}+k_{\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{wh}}+k_{\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{wh}}
$$

where

- $k_{\mathrm{t} 2 f, \mathrm{wh}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{t} 2 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{pn}}$ are the tooth stiffness of the second forward and backward teeth.
- $k_{\mathrm{t} 3 f, \mathrm{wh}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{pn}}$ are the tooth stiffness of the third forward and backward teeth.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{\mathrm{t} 2 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{wh}}=\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}<(1-\zeta) \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \cdot k_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}+\zeta \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \\
& k_{\mathrm{t} 2 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{wh}}=\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}} \geq 2 \zeta \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \cdot k_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}-2 \zeta \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \\
& k_{\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{wh}}=\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}<(1-2 \zeta) \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \cdot k_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}+2 \zeta \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \\
& k_{\mathrm{t} 3 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{wh}}=\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}} \geq \zeta \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \cdot k_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}-\zeta \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The value of $\zeta$ must be in the following range:

$$
\frac{1}{3} \leq \zeta \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

## Total Stiffness of Gear Pair

The mesh stiffness of gear pair is defined as follows:

Function of wheel mesh cycle:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{\mathrm{g}}=k_{\mathrm{g}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \\
& \theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}=\bmod \left(\theta_{\mathrm{wh}}, \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \quad \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{wh}}=\frac{2 \pi}{n_{\mathrm{wh}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Function of wheel full revolution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{\mathrm{g}}=k_{\mathrm{g}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{wh}}\right) \\
& \theta_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{wh}}=\bmod \left(\theta_{\mathrm{wh}}, 2 \pi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Function of pinion mesh cycle:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{\mathrm{g}}=k_{\mathrm{g}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{pn}}\right) \\
& \theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{pn}}=\bmod \left(\theta_{\mathrm{pn}}, \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{pn}}\right) \quad \theta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{pn}}=\frac{2 \pi}{n_{\mathrm{pn}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Function of pinion full revolution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{\mathrm{g}}=k_{\mathrm{g}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{pn}}\right) \\
& \theta_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{pn}}=\bmod \left(\theta_{\mathrm{pn}}, 2 \pi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## MESH DAMPING

The mesh damping of a gear pair can be a constant value or it can be written as a function of mesh cycle or mesh stiffness.

## Theory for Transmission Error

The transmission Error node can be optionally added on gear pairs. In this node, the static transmission error can be specified and added in the rotation constraint of the gear pair. By default, there is no transmission on the gear pair and it is assumed as an ideal pair.

The static transmission error could be due to geometrical errors and geometrical modifications, such as gear run outs, misalignments, tooth tip, and root relief.


Figure 3-29: Sketch of a pair of teeth, showing the point of contact and the direction in which the transmission error is interpreted.

The static transmission error can be defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{\mathrm{et}}=\frac{e_{\mathrm{t}}}{r_{\mathrm{pn}}}\left\|\left(\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{3, \mathrm{pn}}\right)\right\| \\
& e_{\mathrm{t}}=e_{\mathrm{wh}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{wh}}\right)+e_{\mathrm{pn}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{pn}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{\mathrm{wh}}$ and $e_{\mathrm{pn}}$ are the wheel and pinion transmission errors, respectively.
In the above equation, $e_{\mathrm{wh}}$ and $e_{\mathrm{pn}}$ are written as a function of respective mesh cycle. However, it is also possible to write them individually as a function of a full revolution.

Similarly, it is also possible to directly specify $e_{\mathrm{t}}$ as a function of the mesh cycle and a full revolution of a wheel or pinion.

## Theory for Backlash

The Backlash node can be optionally added on gear pairs. The transmission error due to backlash is added in the rotation constraint of the gear pair. By default, there is no backlash on a gear pair.

The backlash on a gear pair plays an important role for unloaded gears. For loaded gears, it does not affect the dynamics of gears to a large extent.

The backlash is taken into account only in the case of a time-dependent analysis.


Figure 3-30: Sketch of a pair of teeth, showing the point of contact and the direction in which backlash is interpreted.

The transmission error (backlash), $\theta_{\mathrm{bl}}$, is degree of freedom in this node. The backlash moment about the first axis of the tooth coordinate system and its virtual work contribution are defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{\mathrm{b}}=-p_{\mathrm{bm}}\left(\left(\theta_{\mathrm{bl}}<0\right) \theta_{\mathrm{bl}}+\left(\theta_{\mathrm{bl}}>\theta_{\mathrm{b}}\right)\left(\theta_{\mathrm{bl}}-\theta_{\mathrm{b}}\right)\right) \\
& \delta W=M_{\mathrm{b}} \cdot \delta \theta_{\mathrm{bl}} \\
& \theta_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left\|b_{\mathrm{l}}\right\|}{r_{\mathrm{pn}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b_{1}$ and $p_{\mathrm{bm}}$ are the backlash and modified penalty factor, respectively.
To prevent the solver from taking larger time steps, the penalty factor is modified in the following manner:

$$
p_{\mathrm{bm}}=p_{\mathrm{b}}+\operatorname{if}\left(\left(\left(\theta_{\mathrm{bl}}<0\right)+\left(\theta_{\mathrm{bl}}>\theta_{\mathrm{b}}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\Delta t>\Delta t_{\mathrm{max}}\right), \infty, 0\right)
$$

The penalty factor is not modified for quasistatic analysis or when the manual control on time stepping is deselected.

## Theory for Gear Friction

The Friction node can be optionally added on gear pairs. In cases where friction is included on a gear pair, frictional forces in the plane perpendicular to the line of action are added on both the gears.

Friction can be applied to gear pairs only in a time-dependent analysis.

## FRICTION MODEL

The friction force is modeled using a continuous friction law, which is capable of modeling sliding-sticking phenomena. A strict application of Coulomb's law involves discrete transition from sticking to sliding and vice versa, as dictated by a vanishing relative velocity. These discrete transitions cause numerical difficulties, and to avoid them, the friction force is approximated with a continuous friction law:

$$
F_{\mathrm{f}}=-\mu N \frac{v}{|v|}\left(1-e^{\frac{-|v|}{v_{0}}}\right)
$$

where $F_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the friction force, $\mu$ is the frictional coefficient, $N$ is the normal force, $v$ is the slip velocity, and $v_{0}$ is the characteristic slip velocity. The term

$$
\left(1-e^{\frac{-|v|}{v_{0}}}\right)
$$

is called the regularization factor.
The regularization factor smooths the friction force discontinuity. The characteristic slip velocity should be made small in comparison to the characteristic relative velocities encountered during the simulation. The continuous friction law describes both sliding and sticking behavior; that is, it completely replaces Coulomb's law. Sticking is replaced by creeping between the contacting bodies with a small relative velocity.

## NORMAL FORCE

The normal force at the contact point can be taken as the computed contact force. Alternatively, it can also be entered explicitly.

## SLIP AT CONTACT POINT

The slip vector at the contact point of a gear pair is defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{s}}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp}, \mathrm{pn}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp}, \mathrm{wh}}\right)+\left(r_{\mathrm{pn}} \theta_{\mathrm{pn}} \mathbf{e}_{3, \mathrm{pn}}^{\prime}-r_{\mathrm{wh}} \theta_{\mathrm{wh}} \mathbf{e}_{3, \mathrm{wh}}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \mathbf{e}_{3, \mathrm{wh}}^{\prime}=\mathbf{e}_{3, \mathrm{wh}}-\left(\mathbf{e}_{3, \mathrm{wh}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}}\right) \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}} \\
& \mathbf{e}_{3, \mathrm{pn}}^{\prime}=\mathbf{e}_{3, \mathrm{pn}}-\left(\mathbf{e}_{3, \mathrm{pn}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}}\right) \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{pa}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In 2 D , the slip vector expression reduces to:

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{s}}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp}, \mathrm{pn}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp}, \mathrm{rk}}\right)
$$

In the case of a line contact model, the slip at the second contact point is defined as:

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{s} 2}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp} 2, \mathrm{pn}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{cp} 2, \mathrm{wh}}\right)+\left(r_{\mathrm{pn}} \theta_{\mathrm{pn}} \mathbf{e}_{3, \mathrm{pn}}^{\prime}-r_{\mathrm{wh}} \theta_{\mathrm{wh}} \mathbf{e}_{3, \mathrm{wh}}^{\prime}\right)
$$

## FRICTION FORCE

The friction force at the contact point and its virtual work contribution are defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{f}}=-\min \left(\mu N+F_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{r}}, F_{\mathrm{f}, \max }\right) \frac{\dot{\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{s}}}}{\left\|\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathrm{s}}\right\|}\left(1-e^{\frac{-\left\|\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{s}\right\|}{v_{0}}}\right) \\
& \delta W=\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{f}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{r}}$ and $F_{\mathrm{f}, \max }$ are the additional sliding resistance and the maximum friction force, respectively.

Similarly, in the case of a line contact model, the friction force at the second contact point and its virtual work contribution are defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{f} 2}=-\min \left(\mu N_{2}+F_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{r}}, F_{\mathrm{f}, \max }\right) \frac{\dot{\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{s} 2}}}{\left\|\dot{\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{s} 2}}\right\|}\left(1-e^{\frac{-\| \dot{\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{s} 2}} \mid}{v_{0}}}\right) \\
& \delta W=\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{f} 2} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{s} 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## ENERGY DISSIPATION RATE

The energy dissipation rate due to friction at the contact point is defined as:

$$
Q_{\mathrm{f}}=-\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{f}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathrm{s}}
$$

For a line contact model, the additional contribution in the energy dissipation rate is defined as:

$$
Q_{\mathrm{f}}=-\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{f} 2} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{s} 2}
$$

## Solid Rotor

This chapter describes the Solid Rotor interface ( ( ${ }_{\sim}^{*}$ is found under the Structural Mechanics>Rotordynamics branch ( a physics interface.

## The Solid Rotor Interface

The Solid Rotor (rotsld) interface ( Mechanics>Rotordynamics branch ( ${ }^{(4)}$ ) when adding a physics interface. It is intended for analysis of rotating structural components in 3D. This interfaces models the equations of motion for an observer sitting in a corotating frame of reference. With this interface, you can compute displacements, velocities, accelerations, and stresses. The vector and tensor quantities from this interface should be interpreted in a corotating frame of reference unless stated otherwise. Journal and thrust bearing features provided in this interface can be used to model different type of bearings. You can also model the effect of a bearing mounted on a foundation.

The Linear Elastic Material is the default material model. It adds the equations for the displacements in a linear elastic rotor and has a Settings window to define the elastic and inertia properties of a material. The equations in this feature account for the frame acceleration forces due to the rotation of the rotor.

When the Solid Rotor interface is added, these default nodes are also added to the Model Builder - Linear Elastic Material; Rotor Axis (a domain feature to specify the axis of the rotor); Free (a boundary condition where boundaries are free, with no loads or constraints); Initial Values; and Fixed Axial Rotation (a reference surface where axial rotation relative to the rigid rotation of the rotor is zero). Then, from the Physics toolbar, you can add features that implement other rotor properties. You can also right-click Solid Rotor to select physics features from the context menu.

## SETTINGS

The Label is the default physics interface name.
The Name is used primarily as a scope prefix for variables defined by the physics interface. Refer to such physics interface variables in expressions using the pattern <name>. <variable_name>. In order to distinguish between variables belonging to different physics interfaces, the name string must be unique. Only letters, numbers, and underscores (_) are permitted in the Name field. The first character must be a letter.

The default Name (for the first physics interface in the model) is rotsld.

## ROTOR SPEED

Enter the Rotor speed as revolutions per time or angular velocity (variable 0v). This speed, together with the rotor axis, is used to compute the frame acceleration forces due to rotation of the rotor.

## SPIN SOFTENING

Select the Include spin softening check box to add the effect of spin softening to the model. When the spin softening effect is included, the centrifugal and Euler forces are calculated based on the current position of the material particle during the deformation. However, if this effect is neglected, these forces do not change their magnitude based on the deformation.

## REFERENCE POINT FOR MOMENT COMPUTATION

Enter the coordinates for the Reference point for moment computation $\mathbf{x}_{\text {ref }}$, (variable refpnt). The resulting moments (applied or as reactions) are then computed relative to this reference point. During the results and analysis stage, the coordinates can be changed in the Parameters section in the result nodes. The point is given as a material coordinate, that is in the rotating frame.

## DEPENDENT VARIABLES

The physics interface uses the global spatial components of the Displacement field $\mathbf{u}$ as dependent variables in the rotor domain. The default names for the components are $(u, v, w)$.

You can change both the field name and the individual component names. If a new field name coincides with the name of another displacement field, the two fields (and the interfaces that define them) share degrees of freedom and dependent variable component names.

A new field name must not coincide with the name of a field of another type (that is, it must contain a displacement field), or with a component name belonging to some other field. Component names must be unique within a model except when two interfaces share a common field name.

The Flexible Foundation node also adds two dependent vector variables, one for translation and one for rotation.

## DISCRETIZATION

The discretization order applies to the flexible bodies. The default is to use Quadratic shape functions for the Displacement field.

- Domain, Boundary, and Pair Nodes for the Solid Rotor Interface
- Rotordynamics Theory


## Domain, Boundary, and Pair Nodes for the Solid Rotor Interface

The Solid Rotor Interface has domain, boundary, and pair nodes (and subnodes), listed in alphabetical order below, available from the Physics ribbon toolbar (Windows users), Physics context menu (Mac or Linux users), or by right-clicking the main physics interface node to access the context menu (all users).

In general, to add a node, go to the Physics toolbar, no matter what operating system you are using. Subnodes are available by clicking the parent node and selecting it from the Attributes menu.

In the COMSOL Multiphysics Reference Manual, see Table 2-4 for links to common sections and Table 2-5 for common feature nodes. You can
$!$ also search for information: press Fl to open the Help window or Ctrl+Fl to open the Documentation window.

The links to the nodes described in external guides, such as the Structural
! Mechanics Module User's Guide, do not work in the PDF, only from the online help in COMSOL Multiphysics.

The following list contains links to the documentation for the domain and boundary nodes specific to the Solid Rotor interface:

- Active Magnetic Bearing
- Initial Values
- Added Mass
- Journal Bearing
- Applied Torque
- Linear Elastic Material
- Bevel Gear
- Liquid Annular Seal
- Body Load
- Multi-Spool Bearing
- Boundary Load
- Radial Roller Bearing
- Change Rotor Speed
- Rotor Axis
- Fixed Axial Rotation
- Rotor Coupling
- Gear Pair
- Spur Gear
- Gravity
- Thrust Bearing
- Helical Gear

These subnodes are available from the main parent nodes as indicated in the documentation:

- Axis
- Misalignment
- First Support
- Moving Foundation
- Flexible Foundation
- Second Support
- Initial Values (Gear)
- Squeeze Film Damper

These nodes are described in detail for the Solid Mechanics Interface:

- Average Rotation
- Linear Elastic Material
- Damping
- Phase
- External Strain
- Rigid Material
- External Stress
- Thermal Expansion (for Materials)
- Initial Stress and Strain


## Initial Values

The Initial Values node adds initial values for the displacement field and structural velocity field that can serve as an initial condition for a transient simulation or as an initial guess for a nonlinear analysis. In addition to the default Initial Values node always present in the interface, you can add more Initial Values nodes if needed. The values are given in the rotating frame in Solid Rotor interface and are in space-fixed frame in Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interface.

## INITIAL VALUES

Enter values or expressions for the initial values of the Displacement field $\mathbf{u}$ (the displacement components $u, v$, and $w$ ), and the Structural velocity field $\partial \mathbf{u} / \partial t$.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>Initial Values

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Solid Rotor selected:

## Domains>Solid Rotor>Initial Values

## Linear Elastic Material

The Linear Elastic Material node adds the equations for a linear elastic rotor and an interface for defining the elastic material properties.

By adding the following subnodes to the Linear Elastic Material node, you can incorporate many other effects:

- Thermal Expansion (for Materials)
- Initial Stress and Strain
- External Stress
- External Strain
- Damping.


## COORDINATE SYSTEM SELECTION

The Global coordinate system is selected by default. The Coordinate system list contains any additional coordinate systems that the model includes (except boundary coordinate systems). The coordinate system is used for interpreting directions of
orthotropic and anisotropic material data and when stresses or strains are presented in a local system. The coordinate system must have orthonormal coordinate axes and be defined in the material frame. The material frame will in this context interpreted as the corotating system. Many of the possible subnodes inherit the coordinate system settings.

## LINEAR ELASTIC MATERIAL

To use a mixed formulation by adding the pressure as an extra dependent variable to solve for, select the Nearly incompressible material check box. For a material with a very low compressibility, using only displacements as degrees of freedom may lead to a numerically ill-posed problem.

Define the Solid model and the linear elastic material properties.

## Solid Model

Select a linear elastic Solid model - Isotropic, Orthotropic, or Anisotropic. Select:

- Isotropic for a linear elastic material that has the same properties in all directions.
- Orthotropic for a linear elastic material that has different material properties in orthogonal directions, so that its stiffness depends on the properties $E_{i}, \mathrm{v}_{i j}$, and $G_{i j}$.
- Anisotropic for a linear elastic material that has different material properties in different directions, and the stiffness comes from the symmetric elasticity matrix, $D$.

[^1]
## GEOMETRIC NONLINEARITY

The settings in this section affect the behavior of the selected domains in a geometrically nonlinear analysis.

If a study step is geometrically nonlinear, the default behavior is to use a large strain formulation in all domains. There are, however, some rare cases when the use of a small strain formulation for a certain domain is needed.

In such cases, select the Geometrically Linear Formulation check box. When selected, a small strain formulation is always used, independently of the setting in the study step.

When a geometrically nonlinear formulation is used, the elastic deformations used for computing the stresses can be obtained in two different ways if inelastic deformations are present: additive decomposition and multiplicative decomposition. The default is to use multiplicative decomposition. Select Additive strain decomposition to change to an assumption of additivity.

- Modeling Geometric Nonlinearity

Q

- Studies and Solvers in the COMSOL Multiphysics Reference Manual


## QUADRATURE SETTINGS

Select the Reduced integration check box to reduce the integration points for the weak contribution of the feature. Select a method for Hourglass stabilization - Automatic, Manual, or None to use in combination with the reduced integration scheme. The default Automatic stabilization technique is based on the shape function and shape order of the displacement field.

Control the hourglass stabilization scheme by using the Manual option. Select Shear stabilization (default) or Volumetric stabilization.

When Shear stabilization is selected, enter a stabilization shear modulus, $G_{\text {stb }}$. The value should be in the order of magnitude of the equivalent shear modulus.

When Volumetric stabilization is selected, enter a stabilization bulk modulus, $K_{\text {stb }}$. The value should be in the order of magnitude of the equivalent bulk modulus.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>Linear Elastic Material
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Linear Elastic Material

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Solid Rotor selected:

## Domains>Solid Rotor>Linear Elastic Materia

Physics tab with Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:

## Domains>Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Linear Elastic Material

## Rotor Axis

In the Rotor Axis node, you define the initial axis about which the rotor rotates.

## ROTOR AXIS

From the Specified by list, select how to specify the rotor axis - Support locations or Edge.

## Support Locations

Select Support locations - Selected points or User defined. If you choose Selected points, it automatically adds two subnodes with point selection, First Support and Second Support. Select the respective points in the selection list of these sub-nodes. If you choose User defined, enter the coordinates $\mathbf{r}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{2}$ of the two supports on the rotor axis.

The support points are only used for defining the rotor axis.

## Edge

An Axis subnode with an edge selection is automatically added. Select the edge representing the rotor axis in the selection list of this node.

See also

- Specifying the Rotor Axis in the Rotordynamics Modeling chapter.
- Rotor Axis in the Rotordynamics Theory chapter.


## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>Rotor Axis
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Rotor Axis

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Solid Rotor selected:

## Boundaries>Solid Rotor>Rotor Axis

Physics tab with Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:
Boundaries>Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Rotor Axis

## First Support

Use the First Support node to select any point or set of points whose centroid represents the location of the first support on the rotor axis.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

This node is automatically added when the rotor axis is specified by Support locations in the parent Rotor Axis node and Support locations are defined using Selected points. It cannot be added or removed manually.

## Second Support

Use the Second Support node to select any point or set of points whose centroid represents the location of the second support on the rotor axis.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

This node is automatically added when the rotor axis is specified by Support locations in the parent Rotor Axis node and Support locations are defined using Selected points. It cannot be added or removed manually.

## Axis

Use the Axis node to select the edge that represents the axis of the rotor.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

This node is automatically added when the rotor axis is specified by Edge in the parent Rotor Axis node. It cannot be added or removed manually.

## Fixed Axial Rotation

Use the default Fixed Axial Rotation node to select a rotor cross section that is treated as a reference for the axial (torsional) rotation of the rotor.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>Fixed Axial Rotation<br>Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Fixed Axial Rotation

Ribbon
Physics tab with Solid Rotor selected:

## Boundaries>Solid Rotor>Fixed Axial Rotation

Physics tab with Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:

## Boundaries>Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Fixed Axial Rotation

## Change Rotor Speed

Use the Change Rotor Speed node to selectively specify the speed of the rotor if it is different from what is specified in the interface. This feature is useful for multirotor systems operating at different speeds, for example, in a geared rotor system where the speed of the shafts is in the ratio specified by the gear ratio. Select either Revolutions per time or Angular velocity to choose the unit for the angular speed and then enter the value in the text field.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>More>Change Rotor Speed
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>More>Change Rotor Speed

## Beam Rotor>More>Change Rotor Speed

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Solid Rotor or Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:

## Domains>More>Change Rotor Speed

Physics tab with Beam Rotor selected:
Edges $>$ More $>$ Change Rotor Speed

## Journal Bearing

Add a Journal Bearing node to model the effect of a bearing. The selected boundaries should form a cylindrical surface representing the journal of the bearing.

If clearance is incorporated, you can also study the effect of misalignment by adding a Misalignment subnode.

## BEARING ORIENTATION

Use the settings in this section to define the local $y$ direction of the bearing. Subsequent vector and matrix inputs are specified in the local directions specified here.

Enter the Bearing orientation vector defining the local $\boldsymbol{y}$ direction. The direction given will be adjusted so that it is orthogonal to the rotor axis. The default value is the global $y$-axis.

You can further modify the y -axis orientation by entering an angle for the Rotation of the orientation vector around the bearing axis. The direction inferred from the previous setting will be rotated counterclockwise around the rotor axis.

## BEARING PROPERTIES

Select a Bearing Model - No clearance, Plain hydrodynamic, Total spring and damping constant, Total force and moment, or Force per unit area. Then go to the relevant section below to continue defining the properties.

## Plain Hydrodynamic

Enter the Bulk viscosity, $\mu$, of the lubricant, the Clearance, $C$, between the journal and bushing, and the Journal length, $L$. For the Bulk viscosity, select From material to use data from a material assigned to the selected journal boundaries, or select User defined to enter a value or expression.

The values are used to calculate the stiffness and damping constants by linearization of the total forces and moments obtained with a short bearing approximation in the Reynolds equation.

## Total Spring and Damping Constant

Enter the translational and bending stiffness $k_{u}$ and $k_{\theta}$. Select the
Translational-rotational coupling check box to also model the coupling between translational and rotational motion of the journal. Enter the values of the additional inputs $k_{u \theta}$ and $k_{\theta u}$ in this case. If you want to perform dynamic analysis, you can also enter nonzero translational and bending damping constants $c_{u}$ and $c_{\theta}$. Select the Translational-rotational coupling check box, and enter $c_{u \theta}$ and $c_{\theta u}$ values to include the translational-rotational coupling in the damping constants. Default values for the rotational stiffness and damping constants are provided as functions of the translational counterparts. It is assumed that the translational stiffness and damping constants are uniformly distributed on the given selection. The equivalent moment experienced at
the center of the bearing due to this distribution is considered as the moment from the bearing. The variables <phys>.<feat>. E11 and <phys>. <feat>. area appearing the default expression are the 11 component of the Euler tensor, and the area of the journal, respectively. The 1 direction represents the axial direction of the bearing.

## Total Force and Moment

Enter the Total force, $\mathbf{F}$, and Total Moment, $\mathbf{M}$, as a function of journal displacement and rotation. To help specifying the input as functions of journal displacement and rotation, default expressions are provided for these inputs. These expressions contain variables of the type <phys>. <feat>. \{du2_c, du3_c, dth2, dth3\}, which are lateral components of the relative displacement and rotation of the journal with respect to the bearing at the center, expressed in the local bearing directions.

## Force per Unit Area

Enter the Force per unit area, $\mathbf{F}_{A}$, in the matrix. This option is useful if the distributed force on the journal surface is known. To help specifying inputs as a function of journal displacement a default expression is provided. This expression contains variables of the type <phys>. <feat>. \{du2, du3\}, which are lateral components of the relative surface displacement of the journal with respect to the bearing, in the local bearing directions.

Clear the Constrain axial motion check box (selected by default) if you want to use a separate Thrust Bearing node to restrict the axial motion.

## FOUNDATION PROPERTIES

Select one of the Fixed, Moving, Flexible, or Squeeze film damper foundation options. If the model component also contains other structural physics interfaces such as Solid Mechanics, Multibody Dynamics, or Shell that have Attachment or Rigid Domain features, the above list is extended with the attachments and rigid domains available in these physics interfaces. In the Moving, Flexible, and Squeeze film damper cases, the subnodes Moving Foundation, Flexible Foundation, or Squeeze Film Damper are automatically added. If any of the attachments or rigid domains is selected, displacement and rotation of the selected feature are used as foundation motion. Attachments and rigid domains provide an easy way of coupling the rotor with the stator.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>Bearings>Journal Bearing
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Bearings>Journal Bearing

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Solid Rotor or Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:

## Boundaries>Bearings>Journal Bearing

## Thrust Bearing

Add a Thrust Bearing node to model a cross section where a thrust bearing is acting. The selected boundaries should form a circular surface representing the cross section of the rotor.

If clearance is incorporated, you can also study the effect of misalignment by adding a Misalignment subnode.

## BEARING ORIENTATION

Use the settings in this section to define the local $y$ direction of the bearing. Subsequent vector and matrix inputs are specified in the local directions specified here.

Enter the Bearing orientation vector defining the local $\boldsymbol{y}$ direction. The direction given will be adjusted so that it is orthogonal to the rotor axis. The default value is the global $y$-axis.

You can further modify the $y$-axis orientation by entering an angle for the Rotation of the orientation vector around the bearing axis. The direction inferred from the previous setting will be rotated counterclockwise around the rotor axis.

## BEARING PROPERTIES

Select a Bearing Model - No clearance, Total spring and damping constant, Total force and moment, or Force per unit area. Then go to the relevant section below to continue defining the properties.

## Total Spring and Damping Constant

Enter the axial and bending stiffness, $k_{u}$ and $k_{\theta}$. Select the
Translational-rotational coupling check box to also model the coupling between the axial and rotational motion of the collar. Enter expressions for the additional inputs, $k_{u \theta}$ and $k_{\theta u}$ in this case. If you want to perform dynamic analysis, you can also provide nonzero axial and bending damping constants $c_{u}$ and $c_{\theta}$. Select the
Translational-rotational coupling check box and enter $c_{u \theta}$ and $c_{\theta u}$ values to include the translational-rotational coupling in the damping constants. Default values for the rotational stiffness and damping constants are provided as functions of the axial stiffness. It is assumed that the axial stiffness and damping constants are uniformly
distributed on the given selection. The equivalent moment experienced at the center of the collar due to this distribution is considered as the moment from the bearing. The variables <phys>. <feat>. $\mathrm{E}\{22,33,23,32\}$ and <phys>. <feat>. area appearing in the default expression are the components of the Euler tensor and area of the collar, respectively. Component indices in the variable E represent the respective components of the Euler tensor in the local bearing directions.

## Total Force and Moment

Enter the Total force, $F_{\mathrm{ax}}$, and Total moment, $\mathbf{M}$, as functions of collar displacement and rotation. To help specifying the force and moment as functions of collar displacement and rotation, default expressions are provided for these inputs. These expressions contain variables of the type <phys>. <feat>. \{du1c, dth2, dth3\}, which are relative axial displacement and lateral components of the relative rotation of the collar with respect to the bearing at the center, in the local bearing directions.

## Force per Unit Area

Enter the axial force per unit area, $F_{\mathrm{ax}, A}$, in the matrix. This option is useful if the distributed force on the collar surface is known. To help specifying the input as a function of collar axial displacement a default expression is provided. This expression contains the variable <phys>.<feat>. du1, which is the axial component of the relative surface displacement of the collar with respect to the bearing.

Select the Constrain lateral motion check box (cleared by default) if you do not want to use a separate Journal Bearing node to restrict the lateral motion.

## FOUNDATION PROPERTIES

Select one of the Fixed, Moving, or Flexible foundation options. If the model component also contains other structural physics interfaces such as Solid Mechanics, Multibody Dynamics, or Shell that have Attachment or Rigid Domain features, the above list is extended with the attachments and rigid domains available in these physics interfaces. In the Moving and Flexible cases, the subnodes Moving Foundation or Flexible Foundation are automatically added. If any of the attachments or rigid domains is selected, displacement and rotation of the selected feature are used as foundation motion. Attachments and rigid domains provide an easy way of coupling the rotor with the stator.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>Bearings>Thrust Bearing

## Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Bearings>Thrust Bearing

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Solid Rotor or Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:

## Boundaries>Bearings>Thrust Bearing

## Radial Roller Bearing

Add a Radial Roller Bearing node to model a surface on the rotor supported by a roller bearing. The selected boundaries should form a cylindrical surface representing the surface connected with the inner race of the bearing. The forces on the rollers are computed using the Hertz contact theory. The built-in variables for the roller force distribution has the form <physicsName>. <RollerBearingTag>.fb[x,y,z], for example rotsld.rrb1.fbx.

You can also study the effect of misalignment by adding a Misalignment subnode.

## BEARING ORIENTATION

Use the settings in this section to define the local $y$ direction of the bearing. Subsequent vector and matrix inputs are specified in the local directions specified here.

Enter the Bearing orientation vector defining the local y direction. The direction given will be adjusted so that it is orthogonal to the rotor axis. The default value is the global $y$-axis.

You can further modify the $y$-axis orientation by entering an angle for the Rotation of the orientation vector around the bearing axis. The direction inferred from the previous setting will be rotated counterclockwise around the rotor axis.

## GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES

Select a Bearing Type - Deep groove ball bearing, Angular contact ball bearing, Self aligning ball bearing, Spherical roller bearing, Cylindrical roller bearing, or Tapered roller bearing. Then go to the relevant section below to continue defining the properties.

## Deep Groove Ball Bearing

- Select either Single row or Double row to specify if the bearing consists of single or double rows of rollers.
- For a Double row bearing, enter the Axial distance between the roller centers.
- Enter the remaining geometric properties Number of balls; Ball diameter; Pitch diameter; Contour radius, inner race; and Contour radius, outer race to define the bearing geometry.


## Angular Contact Ball Bearing

- Select either Single row or Double row to specify if the bearing consists of single or double rows of rollers.
- For a Double row bearing, enter the Axial distance between the roller centers.
- Enter the remaining geometric properties Number of balls; Ball diameter; Pitch diameter; Contour radius, inner race; Contour radius, outer race; and Initial contact angle to define the bearing geometry.


## Self Aligning Ball Bearing

- Select either Single row or Double row to specify if the bearing consists of single or double rows of rollers.
- Enter the geometric properties Number of balls; Ball diameter; Pitch diameter; Contour radius, inner race; and Contour radius, outer race.
- For a Double row bearing, enter also Initial contact angle.


## Spherical Roller Bearing

- Select either Single row or Double row to specify if the bearing consists of single or double rows of rollers.
- For a Double row bearing, enter the Axial distance between the roller centers and Initial contact angle.
- Enter the remaining geometric properties Number of rollers; Roller diameter; Pitch diameter; Contour radius, inner race; and Contour radius, outer race to define the bearing geometry.


## Cylindrical Roller Bearing

- Select either of Single row or Double row to specify if the bearing consists of single or double rows of rollers.
- For a Double row bearing, enter the Axial distance between the roller centers.
- Enter the remaining geometric properties Number of rollers, Roller diameter, Effective length of the roller, and Pitch diameter to define the bearing geometry.


## Tapered Roller Bearing

- Select either of Single row or Double row to specify if the bearing consists of single or double rows of rollers.
- For Single row bearing, enter the Axial offset of roller center.
- For Double row bearing enter the Axial distance between the roller centers.
- Enter the remaining geometric properties Number of rollers, Roller diameter, Effective length of the roller, Pitch diameter, Cap radius, Semi cone angle, Roller axis orientation, Cap angle, and Flange contact angle to define the bearing geometry.


## CLEARANCE AND PRELOAD

- Enter a Radial clearance for all bearings except a Tapered roller bearing. For the Tapered roller bearing, enter values for the Inner race clearance, the Outer race clearance, and the Flange clearance.
- Select the Include preload check box to specify the preload in the bearings. For the Deep groove ball bearing and Cylindrical roller bearing, specify a Radial preload. For the Angular contact ball Bearing and Tapered roller bearing, specify an Axial preload. For the Self aligning ball bearing and Spherical roller bearing, specify a Radial preload for single row and an Axial preload for the double row bearings.


## MATERIAL PROPERTIES

- Enter the material properties Young's modulus, inner race and Poisson's ratio, inner race to define the elastic properties of the inner race in the bearing.
- Select Outer race material - Same as inner race or User defined. If User defined is selected, enter the values of Young's modulus, outer race and Poisson's ratio, outer race.
- Finally select Roller material - Same as inner race or User defined. If User defined is selected, enter the values of the Young's modulus, roller/ball and Poisson's ratio, roller/ ball.


## FOUNDATION PROPERTIES

Select one of the Fixed, Moving, Flexible, or Squeeze film damper foundation options. If the model component also contains other structural physics interfaces such as Solid Mechanics, Multibody Dynamics, or Shell that have Attachment or Rigid Domain features, the above list is extended with the attachments and rigid domains available in these physics interfaces. In the Moving, Flexible, and Squeeze film damper cases, the subnodes Moving Foundation, Flexible Foundation, or Squeeze Film Damper are automatically added. If any of the attachments or rigid domains is selected, displacement and rotation of the selected feature are used as foundation motion. Attachments and rigid domains provide an easy way of coupling the rotor with the stator.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

Context Menus<br>Solid Rotor>Bearings>Radial Roller Bearing<br>Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Bearings>Radial Roller Bearing

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Solid Rotor or Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:

## Boundaries>Bearings>Radial Roller Bearing

## Active Magnetic Bearing

Add an Active Magnetic Bearing node to model a radial magnetic bearing together with a PID controller. The controller determines the current in the coils of the bearing though a feedback mechanism by sensing the vibration levels at the bearing location. When added in Solid Rotor interface, the selection of the node corresponds to the cylindrical surface of the journal, whereas for a Beam Rotor, it is a point corresponding to the bearing location.

For a time-dependent analysis, the behavior of the bearing is nonlinear and control forces are nonlinear functions of both displacement and control current. In a stationary analysis, only a proportional controller is considered with a linearized force-displacement relation. In a frequency domain or eigenfrequency analysis, a linearized expression for the force as function of displacement and control current is considered. In this case also, a PID controller is used with control current now depending on both displacement and frequency. As a result, an active magnetic bearing in a frequency or eigenfrequency analysis works as an effective impedance that is a function of frequency. In this node, you specify the magnetic bearing parameters together with values for the controller gains.

## BEARING ORIENTATION

Use the settings in this section to define the local $y$ direction of the bearing. Subsequent vector and matrix inputs are specified in the local directions specified here.

Enter the Bearing orientation vector defining the local y direction. The direction given will be adjusted so that it is orthogonal to the rotor axis. The default value is the global $y$-axis.

You can further modify the orientation of local $y$-axis by entering an angle for the Rotation of the orientation vector around the bearing axis. The direction inferred from the previous setting will be rotated counterclockwise around the rotor axis.

## AIR GAP

Enter the Air gap, $h$, in the local $y$ and $z$ direction.

## CONTROL PARAMETERS

Enter the values of the Proportional gain, $K_{\mathrm{p}}$, Integral gain, $K_{\mathrm{i}}$, Derivative gain, $K_{\mathrm{d}}$, and Force constant, $F_{\mathrm{c}}$, in the local $y$ and $z$ directions.

## CURRENTS

Bias currents in the magnetic bearings are used to support the static load of the rotor. If you use the same bias current in the positive and negative axes, the bearing will not support any static load. Enter the values of the bias currents in local directions for both positive and negative axes using the inputs Bias current, positive axis, $i_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}}$ and Bias current, negative axis, $i_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{n}}$. The current in the coils is restricted not to go beyond a cutoff value for safety reasons. Enter the maximum allowed current in the coil in the Maximum current, $i_{\text {max }}$, field.

## FOUNDATION PROPERTIES

Select one of the Fixed, Moving, Flexible, or Squeeze film damper foundation options. If the model component also contains other structural physics interfaces such as Solid Mechanics, Multibody Dynamics, or Shell that have Attachment or Rigid Domain features, the above list is extended with the attachments and rigid domains available in these physics interfaces. In the Moving, Flexible, and Squeeze film damper cases, the subnodes Moving Foundation, Flexible Foundation, or Squeeze Film Damper are automatically added. If any of the attachments or rigid domains is selected, displacement and rotation of the selected feature are used as foundation motion. Attachments and rigid domains provide an easy way of coupling the rotor with the stator.

LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>Bearings>Active Magnetic Bearing
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Bearings>Active Magnetic Bearing
Beam Rotor>Bearings>Active Magnetic Bearing

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Solid Rotor or Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:

## Boundaries>Bearings>Active Magnetic Bearing

Physics tab with Beam Rotor selected:

## Flexible Foundation

The Flexible Foundation node is added automatically when you set Foundation properties to Flexible on the parent Journal Bearing, Thrust Bearing, Radial Roller Bearing, or Active Magnetic Bearing node. In this node, you describe the flexibility of the foundation on which the bearing is mounted.

## FOUNDATION PROPERTIES

Enter the values of the translational and rotational stiffness $k_{u}$ and $k_{\theta}$ of the foundation. Select the Translational-rotational coupling check box to also incorporate the effect of coupled stiffness between the translational and rotational motion of the foundation. If selected, enter the values of the coupling stiffnesses, $k_{u \theta}$ and $k_{\theta u}$.

If you want to perform a dynamic analysis, you can optionally also enter the values of the translational and rotational damping, $c_{u}$ and $c_{\theta}$, of the foundation. Select the Translational-rotational coupling check box to also incorporate the effect of coupled damping constants between the translational and angular velocity of the foundation. If selected, enter the values of the coupling damping constants $c_{u \theta}$ and $c_{\theta u}$.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

This node is automatically added when Foundation Properties is Flexible in the parent bearing node. It cannot be added or removed manually.

## Moving Foundation

The Moving Foundation node is added automatically when you set Foundation properties to Moving on the parent Journal Bearing, Thrust Bearing, Radial Roller Bearing, or Active Magnetic Bearing node. In this node, you prescribe the motion of the foundation on which the bearing is mounted.

## FOUNDATION PROPERTIES

Enter the values of the Foundation displacement, $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{f}}$, and Foundation rotation, $\theta_{\mathrm{f}}$, at the center of the bearing.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

This node is automatically added when Foundation Properties is Moving in the parent bearing node. It cannot be added or removed manually.

## Squeeze Film Damper

The Squeeze Film Damper node is added automatically when you set Foundation properties to Squeeze film damper in the parent Journal Bearing, Radial Roller Bearing, or Active Magnetic Bearing node. In this node, you specify the damper dimensions and lubricant properties to compute the equivalent damping coefficient based on a short bearing length approximation.

## DAMPER PROPERTIES

Select Bulk viscosity - From material or User defined. If User defined, enter the value of the Bulk viscosity, $\mu$. Enter the values of Clearance, $C$, and Journal length, $L$.

## CENTERING SPRING

Centering springs are used in squeeze film dampers to allow them to support static loads. Enter the values of Spring constant, $k_{\mathrm{cs}}$, and Damping constant, $c_{\mathrm{cs}}$, for the centering spring.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

This node is automatically added when Foundation Properties is set to Squeeze Film Damper in the parent bearing node. It cannot be added or removed manually.

## Misalignment

Use the Misalignment node to model the effect of the misalignment of bearings or couplings on the shaft. It can be added to all nodes describing bearings in the Solid Rotor and Beam Rotor interfaces, as well as to the Rotor Coupling node.

To model misalignment, you specify the parallel or angular misalignment values. For the stiffness-based bearing models such as Radial Roller Bearing, Journal Bearing, and Thrust Bearing, misalignment works similar to predeformation in springs. For the Hydrodynamic Bearings, the misalignment affects the film thickness distribution in the bearing which governs the pressure distribution in the film.

Under Journal Bearing and Thrust Bearing, you cannot add Misalignment if No clearance selected as Bearing Model.

## MISALIGNMENT

Enter the values of the parallel misalignment $u_{20}$ and $u_{30}$ (for radial or journal bearing only) and angular misalignment $\theta_{20}$ and $\theta_{30}$.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>Journal Bearing>Misalignment
Solid Rotor>Thrust Bearing>Misalignment
Solid Rotor>Radial Roller Bearing>Misalignment
Solid Rotor>Multi-Spool Bearing>Misalignment
Solid Rotor>Rotor Coupling>Misalignment
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Journal Bearing>Misalignment
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Thrust Bearing>Misalignment
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Radial Roller Bearing $>$ Misalignment
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Multi-Spool Bearing>Misalignment
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Rotor Coupling>Misalignment
Beam Rotor>journal Bearing>Misalignment
Beam Rotor>Thrust Bearing>Misalignment
Beam Rotor>Radial Roller Bearing>Misalignment
Beam Rotor>Multi-Spool Bearing>Misalignment
Beam Rotor>Rotor Coupling>Misalignment
Hydrodynamic Bearing>Hydrodynamic Journal Bearing>Misalignment Hydrodynamics Bearing>Hydrodynamic Thrust Bearing>Misalignment
Hydrodynamic Bearing>Floating Ring Bearing>Misalignment

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Radial Roller Bearing, Journal Bearing, Thrust Bearing, Multi-Spool Bearing, or Rotor Coupling selected in the Solid Rotor, Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame, or Beam Rotor interfaces:

Attributes>Misalignment
Physics tab with Hydrodynamic Journal Bearing, Hydrodynamic Thrust Bearing, or Floating Ring Bearing selected in the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface:

Attributes>Misalignment

## Multi-Spool Bearing

Add a Multi-Spool Bearing node to model an intershaft bearing between two rotors running at different speeds. The journal surface of the shaft should be selected in the Boundary Selection section (Beam Rotor: Point Selection). Surfaces on the other shaft connected to the bearing bushing should be selected in the Destination Boundary Selection Beam Rotor: Destination Point Selection).

## BEARING ORIENTATION

Use the settings in this section to define the local $y$ direction of the bearing.
Subsequent vector and matrix inputs are specified in the local directions specified here.
Enter the Bearing orientation vector defining the local $\boldsymbol{y}$ direction. The direction given will be adjusted so that it is orthogonal to the rotor axis. The default value is the global $y$-axis.

You can further modify the $y$-axis orientation by entering an angle for the Rotation of the orientation vector around the bearing axis. The direction inferred from the previous setting will be rotated counterclockwise around the rotor axis.

## BEARING PROPERTIES

Use the settings in this section to specify the bearing stiffness and damping coefficients between the two shafts.

Select Displacement connection - Rigid or Flexible. If Rigid is selected, the bearing acts as an infinite stiffness bearing for the relative translation motion between the two shafts. For the Flexible case, enter the values of the Translational stiffness (2-by-2 matrix) and Translational damping coefficient (2-by-2 matrix). A zero stiffness will make both shafts free for relative translational motion.

Select Rotation connection - Rigid or Flexible. If Rigid is selected, the bearing acts as an infinite stiffness bearing for the relative tilting motion between the two shafts. For the Flexible case, enter the values of the Bending stiffness (2-by-2 matrix) and Bending damping coefficient (2-by-2 matrix). A zero stiffness will make both shafts free for relative tilting motion.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>Bearings>Multi-Spool Bearing
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Bearings>Multi-Spool Bearing
Beam Rotor>Bearings>Multi-Spool Bearing

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Solid Rotor or Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:

## Boundaries>Bearings>Multi-Spool Bearing

Physics tab with Beam Rotor selected:
Points $>$ Bearings $>$ Multi-Spool Bearing

Add a Liquid Annular Seal node to model the effective stiffness, damping and mass due to the flow in seals.

## SEAL ORIENTATION

Use the settings in this section to define the local $y$ direction of the seal. Subsequent vector and matrix inputs are specified in the local directions specified here.

Enter the Orientation vector defining the local $\boldsymbol{y}$ direction. The direction given will be adjusted so that it is orthogonal to the rotor axis. The default value is the global $y$-axis You can further modify the $y$-axis orientation by entering an angle for the Rotation of the orientation vector around seal axis. The direction inferred from the previous setting will be rotated counterclockwise around the rotor axis.

## SEAL MODEL

Select between Black and Jenssen and Childs model to model the seal dynamic properties. The Black and Jenssen model does not account for inlet swirl in the flow but is valid for finite length seals. The Childs model accounts for the inlet swirl in the flow but is valid only for short seals.

## GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES

Enter the values of Radial distance, $R$, Seal length, $L_{\mathrm{s}}$, and Seal clearance, $C$.

## FLUID PROPERTIES

The default Dynamic viscosity $\mu$ is taken From material. For User defined, enter a different value or expression.

With the default options, the Density $\rho$ is taken From material. For User defined enter a different value or expression.

FLOW PROPERTIES
Enter values for Pressure difference, $\Delta P$, Initial flow velocity, $V_{0}$, Entrance loss coefficient, $\xi$. Seals require nonzero values for the angular speed of the rotor and the initial flow velocity.

For the Childs model, also enter a value for the Inlet swirl ratio, $\alpha$. A default value 0.5 corresponds to swirl speed equal to the half of the rotor angular speed.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>Liquid Annular Seal
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Liquid Annular Seal
Beam Rotor>Liquid Annular Seal
Ribbon
Physics tab with Solid Rotor selected:
Boundaries>Solid Rotor>Liquid Annular Seal
Physics tab with Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:
Boundaries>Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Liquid Annular Seal
Physics tab with Beam Rotor selected:
Points>Beam Rotor>Liquid Annular Seal

## Rotor Coupling

Add a Rotor Coupling node to model the coupling characteristics between two rotors. The selection for this feature should be a cross section of the rotor.

## COUPLING PROPERTIES

Select Coupling type - Spline, Torsional, or User defined. Then, go to the relevant section below to continue defining the properties.

## Spline

No extra input in needed. This coupling type connects the displacement and axial rotation across the two rotors, while keeping the tilting of the rotors uncoupled on interior boundaries. On exterior boundaries, only the axial rotation is fixed.

## Torsional

Enter the value of the Torsional stiffness, $k_{\theta, \mathrm{ax}}$. This coupling type connects displacement and tilting rotations across the two rotors on interior boundaries. The axial rotations of both rotors are connected by a spring of stiffness $k_{\theta, \mathrm{ax}}$. On an exterior boundary, displacement and tilting rotations are free, while the axial rotation is connected by a spring of stiffness $k_{\theta, \text { ax }}$ relative to an imaginary fixed boundary.

User Defined
Select Displacement connection - Rigid or Flexible. In the Flexible case, enter the Translational stiffness, $\mathbf{k}_{u}$. The displacements across the two rotors will be connected
through a spring with the given stiffness. In the Rigid case, the displacements of both rotors are rigidly connected. On an exterior boundary, the other rotor is assumed to be fixed.

Select Axial rotation connection - Flexible or Rigid. In the Flexible case, enter the Torsional stiffness, $k_{\theta, \mathrm{ax}}$. The axial rotation across the two rotors is connected by a torsional spring of the given stiffness. In the Rigid case, the axial rotations of both rotors are rigidly connected. On an exterior boundary, the other rotor is assumed to be fixed.

Select the Bending rotation connection - Flexible or Rigid. In the Flexible case, enter the Bending stiffness, $k_{\theta \mathrm{b}}$. The bending rotation across the two rotors is connected by a spring of the given stiffness. In the Rigid case, tilting rotations of both the rotors are rigidly connected. On an exterior boundary, the other rotor is assumed to be fixed.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>Rotor Coupling
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Rotor Coupling
Ribbon
Physics tab with Solid Rotor selected:

## Boundaries>Solid Rotor>Rotor Coupling

Physics tab with Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:
Boundaries>Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Rotor Coupling

## Body Load

Add a Body Load to domains for modeling volumetric loads.

## FRAME TYPE

Select a Frame type - Spatial or Corotating to specify the load in the appropriate frame.

- Since the rotor is modeled in a rotating frame in a Solid Rotor interface, a stationary load in a Corotating frame works as a stationary load in the model. A stationary load in the Spatial frame will, however, change its direction with the angular velocity of the rotor, and therefore acts as a time-dependent load in the model.
- In the Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interface the above behavior of the loads in different frames is interchanged.
- For a frequency domain analysis, a load in the Spatial frame is shifted with the rotor's phase to give the effect of the rotation in the Solid Rotor interface.
- Similarly, a load in the Corotating frame is shifted with the rotor's phase for a frequency domain analysis to give the effect of rotation in the Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interface.
- For a stationary analysis, Frame type has no influence on the analysis.

FORCE
Select a Load type - Load defined as force per unit volume or Total force.
Then enter values or expressions for the force components based on the selection.

- After selecting a Load type, the Load list normally only contains User defined. When combined with another physics interface that can provide this type of load, it is also possible to choose a predefined load from this list.
- For Total force, COMSOL Multiphysics divides the total force by the volume of the domains where the load is active.

| LOAD TYPE | VARIABLE | SI UNIT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Load defined as force per <br> unit volume | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{V}}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Total force | $\mathbf{F}_{\text {tot }}$ | N |

You can add the Phase subnode to specify the phase of this load in a frequency domain analysis.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>Body Load
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Body Load

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Solid Rotor selected:

## Domains>Solid Rotor>Body Load

Physics tab with Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:

## Domains>Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Body Load

## Gravity

When you add a Gravity node, gravity forces are applied to all selected features in the physics interface with a density, mass, or mass distribution. Here, you select domains, and all objects with a lower dimensionality that belong to the selection are also automatically included.

The gravitational load acts in a fixed spatial direction. The load intensity is $\mathbf{g}=\rho g \mathbf{e}_{g}$, where $g$ as a default is the acceleration of gravity (a predefined physical constant). Therefore, in the frame of the rotor, it appears as a rotating load and is modeled as so. In stationary analysis, only the component of the gravity along the axis of the rotor is used. The action of gravity can also be seen as a linearly accelerated frame of reference.

## COORDINATE SYSTEM SELECTION

The Global coordinate system is selected by default. The Coordinate system list contains any additional coordinate systems that the model includes. It can be used when prescribing the direction of the gravitational forces.

## GRAVITY

Enter the components of the Gravity $\mathbf{g}$. The default value is g_const, which is the physical constant with a value of $9.8066 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>Gravity
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Gravity

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Solid Rotor selected:

## Domains>Solid Rotor>Gravity

Physics tab with Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:

## Domains>Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Gravity

## Boundary Load

Use a Boundary Load to apply traction or pressure to boundaries.

## FRAME TYPE

Select a Frame type - Spatial or Corotating to specify the load in the appropriate frame.

- Since the rotor is modeled in a rotating frame in a Solid Rotor interface, a stationary load in a Corotating frame works as a stationary load in the model. A stationary load in the Spatial frame will, however, change its direction with the angular velocity of the rotor, and therefore acts as a time-dependent load in the model.
- In the Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interface the above behavior of the loads in different frames is interchanged.
- For a frequency domain analysis, a load in the Spatial frame is shifted with the rotor's phase to give the effect of rotation in the Solid Rotor interface.
- Similarly, a load in the Corotating frame is shifted with the rotor's phase for a frequency domain analysis to give the effect of rotation in the Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interface.
- For a stationary analysis, Frame type has no influence on the analysis.


## FORCE

Select a Load type - Load defined as force per unit area, Pressure, or Total force. Then enter values or expressions for the load components based on the selection.

- For Load defined as force per unit area, you can define a distributed force over the selected boundary.
- For Total force, COMSOL Multiphysics then divides the total force by the area of the surfaces where the load is active.
- For Pressure, a scalar input is given, and the orientation of the load is given by the normal to the boundary. The pressure is positive when directed toward the solid.

After selecting a Load type, the Load list normally only contains User defined. When combined with another physics interface that can provide this type of load, it is also possible to choose a predefined load from this list.

| LOAD TYPE | VARIABLE | SI UNIT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Load defined as force per <br> unit area | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Total force | $\mathbf{F}_{\text {tot }}$ | N |
| Pressure | $p$ | Pa |

- You can add the Phase subnode to specify the phase of this load in a frequency domain analysis.


## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>Boundary Load
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Boundary Load

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Solid Rotor selected:

## Boundaries>Solid Rotor>Boundary Load

Physics tab with Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:
Boundaries>Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Boundary Load

## Applied Torque

Add an Applied Torque node to prescribe a torque acting on a cross section of the rotor. The selection should be a set of boundaries representing a cross section.

## TORQUE

Enter the torque around the rotor axis, $T_{\mathrm{ax}}$. The positive orientation is the same as the rotor axis.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>Applied Torque
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Applied Torque

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Solid Rotor selected:

## Boundaries>Solid Rotor>Applied Torque

Physics tab with Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:

## Boundaries>Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Applied Torque

## Added Mass

The Added Mass node is available on domains and boundaries and can be used to supply inertia that is not part of the rotor itself but is attached to the rotor. Therefore, inertial forces from this feature also take the effect of the rotation about the rotor axis into account. Such inertia does not need to be isotropic, in the sense that the inertial effects are not the same in all directions. It also adds the effect of acceleration due to gravity if the gravity feature is added in the current domain for the domain feature or in the neighboring domains for the boundary feature.

## COORDINATE SYSTEM SELECTION

The added mass values are given with respect to the selected coordinate directions.

## ADDED MASS

Select a Mass type using Table 4-1 as a guide. The default option is the type for the geometric entity. The added mass matrix can be entered as Isotropic, Diagonal, or

Symmetric. For Isotropic, the same mass is used in all the diagonal elements of the mass matrix.

TABLE 4-I: AVAILABLE MASS TYPES BASED ON GEOMETRIC ENTITY

| MASS TYPE | VARIABLE | SI UNITS | GEOMETRIC ENTITY LEVEL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mass per unit volume | $p_{\mathrm{V}}$ | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | domains |
| Mass per unit area | $p_{\mathrm{A}}$ | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | boundaries |
| Total mass | $m$ | kg | domains, boundaries |

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>Added Mass (Domain, Boundary)
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Added Mass (Domain, Boundary)
Ribbon
Physics tab with Solid Rotor selected:

## Domains>Solid Rotor>Added Mass

Boundaries>Solid Rotor>Added Mass
Physics tab with Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:

## Domains>Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Added Mass

Boundaries>Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Added Mass

## Spur Gear

Use the Spur Gear node to model a spur gear. The gear is assumed to be rigid. Select one or more domains to make them a part of the gear.

A spur gear can be connected to another Spur Gear, or a Helical Gear through the Gear Pair node. Two gears can be connected to each other only if they satisfy the Gear Pair Compatibility Criteria.

The gear has the following subnodes to constraint the displacement and rotation, to apply forces and moments, and to add mass and moment of inertia:

These subnodes are described in the Structural Mechanics Module User's Guide:

- Fixed Constraint (Rigid Material)
- Prescribed Displacement/Rotation
- Applied Force (Rigid Material)
- Applied Moment (Rigid Material)
- Mass and Moment of Inertia (Rigid Material)


## SKETCH

This section shows a sketch of a spur gear. Some of the inputs are also highlighted in the sketch.

## GEAR PROPERTIES

Specify the properties of a spur gear in this section.

- Select the Gear mesh - External or Internal.
- Enter Number of teeth $n$.
- Enter Pitch diameter $d_{p}$.
- Enter Pressure angle $\alpha$.

GEAR AXIS
The gear axis is defined as the axis of rotation of the gear. The gear axis is defined as the axis of rotation of the gear. To define the axis, choose Specify direction or Select a parallel edge.

- For Specify direction, enter a value or expression for $\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{g}}$. The default is $(0,0,1)$. The direction is specified in the selected coordinate system.
- For Select a parallel edge, an edge can be selected on the Gear Axis subnode, which is added automatically. The vector from the first to last end of the edge is used to define the gear axis. Any edge in the model can be used. Select the Reverse direction check box to reverse the direction of the gear axis.


## DENSITY

The default Density $\rho$ is taken From material. In this case, the material assignment for the domain supplies the mass density. For User defined enter another value or expression.

The density is needed for dynamic analysis. It is also used when computing mass forces for gravitational or rotating frame loads, and when computing mass properties (Computing Mass Properties).

## CENTER OF ROTATION

The center of rotation is the point about which the rotation of the gear is interpreted. Select an option from the list - Center of mass, Centroid of selected entities, or User defined.

- For User defined, enter the coordinates of center of rotation $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}$ in the global coordinate system.
- For Centroid of selected entities, select an Entity level - Boundary, Edge (3D components), or Point.

Once chosen, a default Center of Rotation: Boundary, Center of Rotation: Edge, or Center of Rotation: Point subnode is added.

## Q

Spur Gear Theory

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>Gears>Spur Gear
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Gears>Spur Gear

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Solid Rotor or Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:

## Domains>Gears>Spur Gear

## Helical Gear

Use the Helical Gear node to model a helical gear. The gear is assumed to be rigid. Select one or more domains to make them a part of the gear.

A helical gear can be connected to another Helical Gear, or a Spur Gear through the Gear Pair node. Two gears can be connected to each other only if they satisfy the Gear Pair Compatibility Criteria.

GEAR PROPERTIES
Specify the properties of a helical gear in this section.

- Select the Gear mesh - External or Internal.
- Enter Number of teeth $n$.
- Enter Pitch diameter $d_{p}$.
- Enter Pressure angle $\alpha$.
- Enter Helix angle $\beta$.

See Spur Gear for the list of subnodes and the following settings Sketch, Gear Axis, Density, Center of Rotation, and Initial Values.
$\square$ Helical Gear Theory

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

## Solid Rotor>Gears>Helical Gear

Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Gears>Helical Gear

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Solid Rotor or Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:

## Domains>Gears>Helical Gear

## Bevel Gear

Use the Bevel Gear node to model a bevel gear. The gear is assumed to be rigid. Select one or more domains to make them a part of the gear.

A bevel gear can be connected to another Bevel Gear through the Gear Pair node. Two bevel gears can be connected to each other only if they satisfy the Gear Pair Compatibility Criteria.

## GEAR PROPERTIES

Specify the properties of a bevel gear in this section.

- Enter Number of teeth $n$.
- Enter Pitch diameter $d_{\mathrm{p}}$
- Enter Pressure angle $\alpha$.
- Enter Cone angle $\gamma$.

See Spur Gear for the list of subnodes and the following settings Sketch, Gear Axis, Density, Center of Rotation, and Initial Values.

## ? <br> Bevel Gear Theory

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

## Solid Rotor>Gears>Bevel Gear

## Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Gears>Bevel Gear

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Solid Rotor or Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:

## Domains>Gears>Bevel Gear

## Gear Axis

Use the Gear Axis node to select any edge in the geometry that is parallel to the axis of rotation of the gear.

This setting is available for the Spur Gear, Helical Gear, and Bevel Gear nodes.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

This node is automatically added when Select a parallel edge is selected in the parent gear node. It cannot be added or removed manually.

## Initial Values (Gear)

The Initial Values subnode is automatically added to the Spur Gear, Helical Gear, and Bevel Gear nodes.

You can enter initial values for the rigid body displacement, rotation, and velocities, which can serve as an initial condition for a transient simulation or as an initial guess for a nonlinear analysis. The initial values that you specify are interpreted in the selected coordinate system.

## CENTER OF ROTATION

Select an option from the list - From Parent, or Centroid of selected entities, or User defined.

- For Centroid of selected entities, select an Entity level - Boundary, Edge, or Point.
- For User defined, in the table, enter the Global coordinates of center of rotation $\mathbf{X}_{c}$.

Once chosen, a default Center of Rotation: Boundary, Center of
Rotation: Edge, or Center of Rotation: Point subnode is added.

## INITIAL VALUES: TRANSLATIONAL

- Displacement at center of rotation $\mathbf{u}$.
- Velocity at center of rotation $\partial \mathbf{u} / \partial t$.

INITIAL VALUES: ROTATIONAL

- Axis of rotation $\Omega$
- Angle of rotation $\phi$
- Angular velocity $\omega$

See the Rigid Material section in the Structural Mechanics Module
User's Guide for more information.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

This node is automatically added to the Spur Gear, Helical Gear, and Bevel Gear nodes. It cannot be added or removed manually.

## Gear Pair

Use the Gear Pair node to create a connection between gears of the types Spur Gear, Helical Gear, or Bevel Gear in such a way that, at the contact point, they have no relative motion along the line of action. The remaining displacements and rotations of both the gears are independent of each other.

If the Line contact option is chosen in the Contact model, one additional constraint is added at the contact point to restrict the relative rotation about a line joining the two gear centers.

When Friction is included for a gear pair, frictional forces in the plane perpendicular to the line of action are added on both the gears.

## SKETCH

This section shows a sketch of a gear pair. The sketch highlights the coordinate system attached to both the gears, the coordinate system attached to the gear tooth, the line of action, and other relevant input parameters.

## GEAR SELECTION

Select Wheel and Pinion from the list of gears. You can select any Spur Gear, Helical Gear, or Bevel Gear node that is defined for the model.

## LINE OF ACTION

Define the line of action by specifying Obtained by rotation of tangent in - Clockwise direction or Counterclockwise direction.

Select the Clockwise direction option in the following cases:

- Wheel is the driver and the Wheel has positive rotation about its own axis.
- Pinion is the driver and the Wheel has negative rotation about its own axis.

Select the Counterclockwise direction option in the following cases:

- Pinion is the driver and the Wheel has positive rotation about its own axis.
- Wheel is the driver and the Wheel has negative rotation about its own axis.

The gear pair sketch in the Sketch section is updated based on the selection and shows the line of action for both cases.

## CONTACT MODEL

Define the contact model for a gear pair in this section.

- Select the Configuration - Parallel or intersecting or Neither parallel nor intersecting. The Configuration is always set to Parallel or intersecting for spur and bevel gears. For helical gears, you can select the Configuration as Parallel or intersecting (for parallel axis helical gears) or Neither parallel nor intersecting (for crossed axis helical gears).
- For Parallel or intersecting, select the Contact model - Point contact or Line contact. For Neither parallel nor intersecting the Contact model is always set to Point contact.
- For Parallel or intersecting, enter Contact point offset from pinion center $z_{\mathrm{pn}}$. For Neither parallel nor intersecting it is automatically computed.
- For Line contact, enter Working width of pinion $w_{\mathrm{pn}}$.


## GEAR PAIR PROPERTIES

Define the advanced properties for a gear pair in this section.

- Select Include gear elasticity to enter the gear mesh stiffness, mesh damping, and the contact ratio. These properties can be entered in the Gear Elasticity subnode, which is added automatically. By default, this option is not selected.
- Select Include transmission error to enter the static transmission error for individual gears or for the gear pair. These can be entered in the Transmission Error subnode, which is added automatically. By default, this option is not selected.
- Select Include backlash to enter backlash in a gear pair. This can be entered in the Backlash subnode, which is added automatically. By default, this option is not selected.
- Select Include friction to add friction at the contact point. Enter frictional properties in the Friction (Gear) subnode, which is added automatically. By default, this option is not selected.


## CONTACT FORCE COMPUTATION

Select an option from the list to use the method of computation for the forces at the contact point - Computed using weak constraints or Computed using penalty method. Alternatively, you can also choose Do not compute.

For Computed using penalty method, enter Penalty factor $p_{c}$. The default value is ( ( 1 [ $1 /$ $\left.\mathrm{ms}])^{\wedge} 2\right)^{*}$ rotsld.grp1.me. The exact naming of the variable depends on the tags of the nodes in the model tree.

Theory for Gear Pairs

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Solid Rotor>Gear Pair
Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Gear Pair
Ribbon
Physics tab with Solid Rotor selected:

## Global>Solid Rotor>Gear Pair

Physics tab with Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame selected:
Global>Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame>Gear Pair

## Gear Elasticity

The Gear Elasticity subnode is automatically added to a Gear Pair node when Include gear elasticity is selected under Gear Properties. In this node, you can enter the elastic properties of a gear pair, such as gear mesh stiffness, mesh damping, and contact ratio.

The contents of the Gear Elasticity settings adapt to the type of gear pair where it is used.

## SKETCH

This section shows a sketch of the contact point of gear pair in the gear tooth normal plane. The sketch highlights the line of action along which stiffness and damping are interpreted.

## MESH STIFFNESS

Select the mesh stiffness method Specify - Tooth stiffness of individual gears or Total stiffness of gear pair.

For Tooth stiffness of individual gears, enter the following:

- Enter Wheel tooth stiffness as function of mesh cycle, $k_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{wh}}$, and Pinion tooth stiffness as function of mesh cycle, $k_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{pn}}$. The default expressions are ( $0[\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}]$ ) *rotsld.grp1.thm_wh and ( $0[\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}]$ )*mbd.rotsld.thm_pn respectively. The exact naming of the variables depends on the tags of the nodes in the model tree.
- Specify Contact ratio in mesh cycle - Constant or Varying.
- For Constant contact ratio, select Contact ratio - I, 2, or 3.
- For Varying contact ratio, select Maximum contact ratio - $\mathbf{2}$ or $\mathbf{3}$. Also enter the Next tooth engagement position in mesh cycle, $\zeta$. The value of $\zeta$ must vary between $1 / 2$ and 1 when Maximum contact ratio is set to 2 and between $1 / 3$ to $1 / 2$ when Maximum contact ratio is set to $\mathbf{3}$.

For Total stiffness of gear pair, enter the following:

- Select the Total stiffness as function of — Wheel mesh cycle, Wheel full revolution, Pinion mesh cycle, or Pinion full revolution.
- Enter Total stiffness, $k_{\mathrm{g}}$. The default expression of total stiffness depends on the selection in previous input (for example. it is $(0[N / m])$ *rotsld.grp1.thm_wh when Wheel mesh cycle is selected). The exact naming of the variable depends on the tags of the nodes in the model tree.


## MESH DAMPING

Enter Mesh damping, $c_{\mathrm{g}}$. The mesh damping can be specified as proportional to the mesh stiffness. The default expression is ( $0[\mathrm{~s}]$ ) *rotsld.grp1.kg. The exact naming of the variable depends on the tags of the nodes in the model tree.

Theory for Gear Elasticity

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

This node is automatically added when Include gear elasticity is selected in the parent Gear Pair nodes. It cannot be added or removed manually.

## Transmission Error

The Transmission Error subnode is automatically added to a Gear Pair node when Include transmission error is selected under Gear Properties. The static transmission error for individual gears or for a gear pair can be entered in this node.

## SKETCH

This section shows a sketch of the contact point of a gear pair in the gear tooth normal plane. The sketch highlights the line of action along which transmission error is interpreted.

## TRANSMISSION ERROR

Select the transmission error method Specify - Transmission error of individual gears or Transmission error of gear pair.

For Transmission error of individual gears, enter the following:
Select the Wheel transmission error as function of - Mesh cycle or Full revolution and enter Wheel transmission error $e_{\text {wh }}$. Similarly, select the Pinion transmission error as function of - Mesh cycle or Full revolution and enter Pinion transmission error $e_{\mathrm{pn}}$.

The default expressions for the transmission errors depend on the selection in previous input (for example, the default expression of Wheel transmission error is $(0[m]) * r o t s l d . g r p 1 . t h m \_w h$ when Mesh cycle is selected). The exact naming of the variable depends on the tags of the nodes in the model tree.

For Transmission error of gear pair, enter the following:
Select the Transmission error as function of - Wheel mesh cycle, Wheel full revolution, Pinion mesh cycle, or Pinion full revolution.

Enter a Total transmission error, $e_{\mathrm{t}}$. The default expression of total transmission error depends on the selection in previous input (for example, it is $(0[m]) * r o t s l d . g r p 1 . t h m \_w h$ when Wheel mesh cycle is selected). The exact naming of the variable depends on the tags of the nodes in the model tree.

Theory for Transmission Error

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

This node is automatically added when Include transmission error is selected in the parent Gear Pair node. It cannot be added or removed manually.

## Backlash

The Backlash subnode is automatically added to a Gear Pair node when Include backlash is selected under Gear Properties.
! Backlash can only be specified on a gear pair in a time-dependent analysis.

## SKETCH

This section shows a sketch of the contact point of gear pair in the gear tooth normal plane. The sketch highlights the direction in which backlash is interpreted.

## BACKLASH

- Enter Backlash $b_{1}$.
- Enter Penalty factor $p_{\mathrm{b}}$. The default expression is ((1[1/) $\mathrm{ms}])^{\wedge} 2$ )*rotsld.grp1. Ie. The exact naming of the variable depends on the tags of the nodes in the model tree.


## ADVANCED

- Select Manual control on time stepping to restrict the maximum time step taken by the solver when there is finite penetration.
- Enter Maximum allowable time step $\Delta t_{\text {max }}$. The default expression is ( $\mathrm{pi} /$ 30 )*(sqrt(rotsld.grp1.Ie/rotsld.grp1.pb). The exact naming of the variables depends on the tags of the nodes in the model tree.


## Q

Theory for Backlash

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

This node is automatically added when Include backlash is selected in the parent Gear Pair node. It cannot be added or removed manually.

## Friction (Gear)

The Friction subnode is automatically added to a Gear Pair node when Include friction is selected under Gear Properties. Use this node to model friction losses on a gear pair.
! Friction can only be applied to a gear pair in a time-dependent analysis.

## FRICTION

- Enter a Frictional coefficient $\mu$.
- Enter a Characteristic slip velocity $v_{0}$. The default is mbd. diag* (1e-3[1/s]), where the mbd. diag variable contains the diagonal of the bounding box of the geometry. The characteristic slip velocity should be small when compared to the actual slip velocity at the contact point. It is the velocity with which the contact surfaces slide, even under sticking conditions.


## NORMAL FORCE

- Specify Normal force defined through - Contact force or User defined force. For Contact force option, it is required that contact force is computed on the parent Gear Pair node.
- For the User defined force option, enter Normal force $N$.


## ADVANCED

Enter values or expressions for the:

- Additional sliding resistance $F_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{r}}$.
- Maximum friction force $F_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{max}}$. The default is Inf, which means that no upper limit is imposed on the friction force.


## ? <br> Theory for Gear Friction

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

This node is automatically added when Include friction is selected in the parent Gear Pair node. It cannot be added or removed manually.

## Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame

 functionality, which is found under the Structural Mechanics>Rotordynamics branch


## The Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame Interface

The Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame (srotf) interface ( ${ }^{\left({ }^{2}\right)}$ ) is found under the Structural Mechanics>Rotordynamics branch ( for the analysis of rotating 3D structural components that are symmetric about their axis. As opposed to the Solid Rotor interface, the quantities are defined as seen by an observer sitting in a space-fixed frame. With this interface, you can compute displacements, velocities, accelerations, and stresses. The journal and thrust bearing features provided in this interface can be used to model different type of bearings. You can also model the effect of a bearing mounted on a foundation.

The Linear Elastic Material is the default material model. It adds the equations for the displacements in a linear elastic rotor and has a Settings window to define the elastic and inertia properties of a material. The equations in this feature also account for the frame acceleration forces - for example centrifugal, Coriolis, and Euler accelerations - that arise due to the rotation of the rotor.

When the Solid Rotor interface is added, the following default nodes are added to the Model Builder - Linear Elastic Material; Rotor Axis (a domain feature to specify the axis of the rotor); Free (a boundary condition where boundaries are free, with no loads or constraints); Initial Values; and Fixed Axial Rotation (a reference surface where axial rotation relative to the rigid rotation of the rotor is zero). From the Physics toolbar, you can add additional features to your model in order to implement other rotor properties. You can also right-click the Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interface to select physics features from the context menu.

## SETTINGS

The Label is the default physics interface name.
The Name is used primarily as a scope prefix for variables defined by the physics interface. Refer to such physics interface variables in expressions using the pattern <name>. <variable_name>. In order to distinguish between variables belonging to different physics interfaces, the name string must be unique. Only letters, numbers, and underscores (_) are permitted in the Name field. The first character must be a letter.

The default Name (for the first physics interface in the model) is srotf.

## ROTOR SPEED

Enter the Rotor speed as revolutions per time or the angular velocity (variable 0v). This speed, together with the rotor axis, is used to compute the frame acceleration forces due to the rotation of the rotor.

## REFERENCE POINT FOR MOMENT COMPUTATION

Enter the coordinates for the Reference point for moment computation $\mathbf{x}_{\text {ref }}$, (variable refpnt). The resulting moments (applied or as reactions) are then computed relative to this reference point. During the results and analysis stage, the coordinates can be changed in the Parameters section in the result nodes. The point is given as a material coordinate, that is in the rotating frame.

## DEPENDENT VARIABLES

The physics interface uses the global spatial components of the Displacement field $\mathbf{u}$ as dependent variables in the rotor domain. The default names for the components are $(u, v, w)$.

You can change both the field name and the individual component names. If a new field name coincides with the name of another displacement field, the two fields (and the interfaces that define them) share degrees of freedom and dependent variable component names.

A new field name must not coincide with the name of a field of another type (that is, it must contain a displacement field), or with a component name belonging to some other field. Component names must be unique within a model except when two interfaces share a common field name.

The Flexible Foundation node adds two dependent vector variables, one for translation and one for rotation.

## DISCRETIZATION

The discretization order applies to flexible bodies. The default is to use Quadratic shape functions for the Displacement field.

## - Domain, Boundary, and Pair Nodes for the Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame Interface

- Rotordynamics Theory


## Domain, Boundary, and Pair Nodes for the Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame Interface

The Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame Interface has domain, boundary, and pair nodes (and subnodes), listed in alphabetical order below, available from the Physics ribbon toolbar (Windows users), Physics context menu (Mac or Linux users), or by right-clicking the main physics interface node to access the context menu (all users).

In general, to add a node, go to the Physics toolbar, no matter what operating system you are using. Subnodes are available by clicking the parent node and selecting it from the Attributes menu.

In the COMSOL Multiphysics Reference Manual, see Table 2-4 for links
! to common sections and Table 2-5 for common feature nodes. You can also search for information: press Fl to open the Help window or Ctrl+Fl to open the Documentation window.

The links to the nodes described in external guides, such as the Structural
! Mechanics Module User's Guide, do not work in the PDF, only from the online help in COMSOL Multiphysics.

The following list contains links to the documentation for the domain and boundary nodes specific to the Solid Rotor, Fixed Frame interface and are described in detail for the Solid Rotor interface:

- Active Magnetic Bearing
- Initial Values
- Added Mass
- Journal Bearing
- Applied Torque
- Linear Elastic Material
- Bevel Gear
- Liquid Annular Seal
- Body Load
- Multi-Spool Bearing
- Boundary Load
- Radial Roller Bearing
- Change Rotor Speed
- Rotor Axis
- Fixed Axial Rotation
- Rotor Coupling
- Gear Pair
- Spur Gear
- Gravity
- Thrust Bearing
- Helical Gear

These subnodes are available from the main parent nodes as indicated in the documentation. These nodes are described in detail for the Solid Rotor interface:

- Axis
- Misalignment
- First Support
- Moving Foundation
- Flexible Foundation
- Second Support
- Initial Values (Gear)
- Squeeze Film Damper

These nodes are described in detail for the Solid Mechanics interface:

- Average Rotation
- Damping
- External Strain
- External Stress
- Initial Stress and Strain
- Linear Elastic Material
- Phase
- Rigid Material
- Thermal Expansion (for Materials)


## Beam Rotor

This chapter describes the Beam Rotor interface ( $\frac{(u}{\pi} / \frac{\Delta}{\pi}$ ) and its functionality, which is found under the Structural Mechanics>Rotordynamics branch ( a physics interface.

In this chapter:

- Beam Rotor Theory
- The Beam Rotor Interface


## Beam Rotor Theory

The first sections of this chapter introduce you to theory that is specific to the Beam Rotor interface features:

- Displacement, Velocity, and Acceleration
- Implementation
- Disk


## Displacement, Velocity, and Acceleration

This section aims at defining the displacement, the rotation field, and corresponding velocity and acceleration of a point on the rotor. Since a line geometry is used to represent the rotor in the Beam Rotor interface, this line itself represents the axis of the rotor. Each point on the line represents the centroid of the cross section as shown in Figure 6-1.


Figure 6-1: Geometric representation of the rotor.
Assume that $\mathbf{u}$ and $\theta$ are the displacement and deformational rotations of the centroid of the rotor cross section in the spatial frame. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be the material position of a point on the centroid of the rotor. Then, the current position of a point on the rotor can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{u}+R_{\theta}(R \mathbf{r}) \tag{6-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{\theta}$ is the rotation matrix due to the rotor deformation and $R$ is the rotation matrix corresponding to the axial rotation of the rotor. The sequence of the rotations is such that first the cross section of the rotor rotates with the rigid rotation corresponding to the axial rotation of the rotor, followed by the deformational
rotation due to bending and twisting of the rotor. Therefore, components of the rotation vector corresponding to deformational rotation of the rotor are observed in the fixed frame. In the corotating frame, $\mathbf{r}$ is the radial vector from the centroid to an arbitrary point in the cross section. The velocity of the point can be obtained by taking the time derivative of Equation 6-1:

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial R_{\theta}}{\partial t}(R \mathbf{r})+R_{\theta}\left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial t} \mathbf{r}\right)=\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}+R_{\theta}\left(\frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial t}+\tilde{\Omega}\right) R \mathbf{r}
$$

where $\frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial t}$ is the skew-symmetric tensor corresponding to the angular velocity vector $\frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial t}$ of $\tilde{R}_{\theta}$, and $\tilde{\Omega}$ is the skew-symmetric tensor corresponding to the angular velocity vector $\Omega$ of $R$. Here, since $\Omega$ is premultiplied with the rotation matrix to get the time derivative of the rotation matrix, it is the spin tensor observed in the spatial frame.

The acceleration is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{x}}{\partial t^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^{2}}+R_{\theta}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \vartheta}{\partial t^{2}}+\left(\frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+2 \frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial t} \tilde{\Omega}+\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\Omega}+\tilde{\Omega}^{2}\right) R \mathbf{r} \tag{6-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term combining whirl velocity tensor $\frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial t}$ and spin tensor $\tilde{\Omega}$ in the above expression is a gyroscopic acceleration term.

## Implementation

Due to the frame acceleration in the rotor, inertial contributions to the virtual work are obtained by considering:

$$
\delta W=-\int_{V} \rho\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{x}}{\partial t^{2}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}\right) d V
$$

The variation of the position using Equation 6-lis

$$
\delta \mathbf{x}=\delta \mathbf{u}+\delta R_{\theta} R \mathbf{r}=\delta \mathbf{u}+R_{\theta} \delta \vartheta R \mathbf{r}
$$

Using this equation and the acceleration from Equation 6-2, the inertial contribution to the virtual work is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta W= \\
& \int_{V} \rho\left(\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^{2}}+R_{\theta}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \vartheta}{\partial t^{2}}+\left(\frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+2 \frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial t} \tilde{\Omega}+\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\Omega}+\tilde{\Omega}^{2}\right) R \mathbf{r}\right\} \cdot\left(\delta \mathbf{u}+R_{\theta} \delta \vartheta R \mathbf{r}\right)\right) d V
\end{aligned}
$$

The integration over volume can be split into an integration over the cross-sectional area followed by integration over the length. By using the fact that

$$
\int_{A} \mathbf{r} d A=0
$$

the contribution to the virtual work is simplified to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta W=-\int_{L} \rho\left(A\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^{2}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.\delta \vartheta \cdot\left\{I_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \vartheta}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t}\right)-\Omega \times\left[E_{\Omega}\left(\Omega+2 \frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial t}\right)\right]-\frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial t} \times E_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial t}\right\}\right) d L
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
I_{\Omega}=\int_{A}[(R \mathbf{r}) \cdot(R \mathbf{r}) I-(R \mathbf{r}) \otimes(R \mathbf{r})] d A
$$

and

$$
E_{\Omega}=\int_{A}(R \mathbf{r}) \otimes(R \mathbf{r}) d A=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(I_{\Omega}\right)-I_{\Omega}
$$

For a geometrically linear formulation, $\vartheta \approx \theta$, and higher-order terms in $\theta$ can be dropped. Then, the inertial contribution to the virtual work can be further simplified to

$$
\delta W=-\int_{L} \rho\left[A\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^{2}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}\right)+\delta \theta \cdot\left\{I_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t}\right)-\Omega \times\left[E_{\Omega}\left(\Omega+2 \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}\right)\right]\right\}\right] d L
$$

Due to the rotation of the rotor, the principal directions of the rotor cross section change orientation in the spatial frame. Therefore, all the strain and stress components are defined in the current principal directions of the cross sections.

For details about stresses, strains, and strain energy contributions to the virtual work, see

- Strain-Displacement/Rotation Relation

Q - Stress-Strain Relation

- Implementation
in the Theory for the Beam Interface chapter of the Structural Mechanics Module User's Guide.


## Disk

Disk features represent different types of mounting on the main rotor and are mainly used to provide inertial information of these mountings. These features will affect the dynamics of the rotor. Typical examples of mountings include flywheels, pulleys, gears, impellers, and rotor-blade assemblies. Mounting offset is also accounted for in modeling the inertial effects. Therefore, mass, moment of inertia, and offset are the inputs for this feature. If the moment of inertia is specified about the center of mass of the mounting, which has an offset from the mounting point, then the moment of inertia tensor about the mounting point is given by

$$
I=I_{\mathrm{cg}}+m\left\{\left(\mathbf{r}_{\text {off }} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text {off }}\right) \mathbf{I}-\left(\mathbf{r}_{\text {off }} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{\text {off }}\right)\right\}
$$

where $\mathbf{r}_{\text {off }}$ is the vector from the centroid of the mounting to the mounting point on the rotor, and $\mathbf{I}$ is the identity tensor. The center of mass of the mounting can be specified using two methods: relative to the mounting point or by absolute position.

The offset of the mounting point from the center of mass is

$$
\mathbf{r}_{\text {off }}=-\left(z_{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{e}_{1}+z_{\mathrm{r}} \cos \phi \mathbf{e}_{2}+z_{\mathrm{r}} \sin \phi \mathbf{e}_{3}\right),
$$

for relative position or

$$
\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{off}}=\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{cg}}
$$

for absolute position.
The contribution to the virtual work, including the frame acceleration and offset effect, is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta W=-m \delta \mathbf{u} \cdot\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial t^{2}} \times R \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{off}}+\left(\Omega+\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}\right) \times\left(\Omega \times R \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{off}}\right)\right\}- \\
& \delta \theta \cdot\left\{I_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial t^{2}}\right)+\Omega \times I_{\mathrm{s}} \Omega-2 \Omega \times E_{\mathrm{s}} \Omega+R \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{off}} \times m \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^{2}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the moment of inertia about the mounting point in the spatial frame and is given by

$$
I_{s}=R I R^{T}
$$

## The Beam Rotor Interface

The Beam Rotor (rotbm) interface $\left(\frac{\Delta}{\pi} \int \frac{y}{\pi}\right)$, found under the
 intended for analysis of rotating structural components in 3D modeled using a line geometry. With this interface, you can compute are displacements, velocities, accelerations, and stresses. The Journal Bearing and Thrust Bearing features provided in this interface can be used to model different types of bearings. You can also model the effect of a bearing mounted on a foundation.

The Linear Elastic Material model is the default material model. It adds the equations for the displacements in a linear elastic rotor and has a Settings window to define the elastic and inertia properties of a material. The equations in this feature also account for the frame acceleration forces due to the rotation of the rotor.

When the Beam Rotor interface is added, these default nodes are also added to the Model Builder - Linear Elastic Material; Rotor Cross Section (cross-sectional properties of the Rotor domain); Free (a boundary condition where boundaries are free, with no loads or constraints); and Initial Values. The Fixed Axial Rotation (a reference point where the axial rotation relative to the rigid rotation of the rotor is zero), is the default node and is only added when the No torsion check box is not selected in the interface's Axial and Torsional Vibration section.

From the Physics toolbar, you can add features that implement other rotor properties. You can also right-click Beam Rotor to select physics features from the context menu.

## SETTINGS

The Label is the default physics interface name.
The Name is used primarily as a scope prefix for variables defined by the physics interface. Refer to such physics interface variables in expressions using the pattern <name>. <variable_name>. In order to distinguish between variables belonging to different physics interfaces, the name string must be unique. Only letters, numbers, and underscores (_) are permitted in the Name field. The first character must be a letter.

The default Name (for the first physics interface in the model) is rotbm.

## ROTOR SPEED

Enter the Rotor speed as revolutions per time or angular velocity (variable Ov). This speed, together with the rotor axis, is then used to compute the frame acceleration forces due to rotation of the rotor.

## AXIAL AND TORSIONAL VIBRATION

Clear the No torsion check box (selected by default) to include the torsional vibration in the rotor. Similarly, clear the No axial vibration check box (selected by default) to also include the axial vibration in the rotor model.

## RESULTS

Clear the Include the undeformed geometry in stress/whirl plot (selected by default) to avoid plotting the undeformed geometry in the stress and whirl plots.

## REFERENCE POINT FOR MOMENT COMPUTATION

Enter the coordinates for the Reference point for moment computation $\mathbf{x}_{\text {ref }}$ (variable refpnt). The resulting moments (applied or as reactions) are then computed relative to this reference point. During the results and analysis stage, the coordinates can be changed in the Parameters section in the result nodes.

## DEPENDENT VARIABLES

The Beam Rotor interface includes the following dependent variables (fields):

- The displacement field $\mathbf{u}$, which has three components ( $u, v$, and $w$ ).
- The rotation angle $\theta$, which also has three components (thx, thy, and thz).

The names can be changed, but the names of fields and dependent variables must be unique within a model.

## DISCRETIZATION

The discretization cannot be changed. The element has different shape functions for the axial and transversal degrees of freedom. The axial displacement and twist are represented by linear shape functions, while the bending is represented by a cubic shape function (Hermitian element).

- Domain, Boundary, and Pair Nodes for the Beam Rotor Interface
- Beam Rotor Theory


## Domain, Boundary, and Pair Nodes for the Beam Rotor Interface

The Beam Rotor Interface has the following edge, point, and pair nodes (and subnodes), listed in alphabetical order, and available from the Physics ribbon toolbar (Windows users), Physics context menu (Mac or Linux users), or by right-clicking the main physics interface node to access the context menu (all users).

## FEATURES AVAILABLE FROM SUBMENUS

Many features for the Beam Rotor interface are added from submenus in the Physics toolbar groups or context menu (when you right-click the node). The submenu name is the same in both cases.

The submenu at the Edge level (3D) is:

- Line Constraints.

The submenus at the Point level are

- More Constraints
- Pairs


## LINKS TO FEATURE NODE INFORMATION

These nodes (and subnodes) are described in the following section (listed in alphabetical order):

- Disk
- Rotor Coupling
- Edge Load
- Rotor Cross Section
- Fixed Axial Rotation
- Rotor Rub
- Journal Bearing
- Squeeze Film Damper
- Point Load
- Thrust Bearing
- Radial Roller Bearing

These nodes are described for the Beam and Solid Mechanics Interfaces:

- Edge Load
- Gravity
- External Stress
- Initial Stress and Strain
- Initial Values
- Linear Elastic Material
- Point Load
- Thermal Expansion (for Materials)

These nodes are described for the Solid Rotor interface:.

- Damping
- Misalignment
- Flexible Foundation
- Moving Foundation

| In the COMSOL Multiphysics Reference Manual see Table 2-4 for links |
| :--- | :--- |
| to common sections and Table 2-5 to common feature nodes. You can |
| also search for information: press Fl to open the Help window or Ctrl+Fl |
| to open the Documentation window. |

## Initial Values

The Initial Values node adds initial values for the displacement field, the velocity field, the rotations, and the angular velocity. These values serve as initial conditions for a transient simulation or as an initial guess for a nonlinear analysis. In addition to the default Initial Values node always present in the interface, you can add more Initial Values nodes as needed.

## initial values

Enter values or expressions for the following based on space dimension:

- Displacement field u
- Velocity field $\frac{d \mathbf{u}}{d t}$
- Rotation field $\theta$
- Angular velocity $\frac{d \theta}{d t}$


## Context Menus

## Beam Rotor>Initial Values

## Beam Rotor>Edges>Initial Values

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Beam Rotor selected:
Edges>Beam Rotor>Initial Values

## Rotor Cross Section

In the Rotor Cross Section node, you specify the geometric properties of the rotor's cross section. In addition, some stress evaluation properties can be defined.

## SECTION ORIENTATION

In this section, you specify the orientation of the principal axes of the section. Enter the Orientation vector defining local $y$ direction in the matrix.
! This is required input data.

- Common Cross Sections
- Cross-Section Data


## CROSS SECTION DEFINITION

The default cross section definition is Common section, with the Section type set to Circular. You can also choose a Pipe section. Select User defined if the rotor cross section does not match any of the predefined section types, or if the cross-section data is directly known.

For User defined, go to Basic Section Properties and Stress Evaluation Properties to continue defining the cross section.

For Common sections select a Section type - Circular or Pipe. Then go to the relevant section below to continue defining the section. Each Section type also has a figure showing the section and its defining dimensions.

For equations and a figure see:

- Circular Section
- Pipe Section


## Circular

Enter a value or expression for the Diameter $d_{o}$.

## Pipe

Enter values or expressions for the following.

- Outer diameter $d_{o}$
- Inner diameter $d_{i}$
- Cross-Section Data in the Beam Interface chapter in the Structural Mechanics Module User's Guide.


## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

## Beam Rotor>Rotor Cross Section

Ribbon
Physics tab with Beam Rotor selected:
Edges>Beam Rotor>Rotor Cross Section

## Rotor Rub

Add a Rotor Rub node to include the effect of potential contact between the rotor and a surrounding housing in the analysis. This is strongly nonlinear effect, and is thus only meaningful for time-domain analysis. The feature is available both as a point and as an edge condition.

## CONTACT PROPERTIES

Enter the Radial clearance between rotor and housing, $C_{\text {rad }}$.

Select a method for the contact formulation, Penalty or Augmented Lagrangian.
In either case, enter a Penalty factor, $k_{\mathrm{p}}$. The interpretation is slightly different between the two methods. For the penalty method, the penalty factor can be considered as a stiff spring inserted between the rotor and housing when they overlap in order to enforce the contact conditions. A stiffer spring will decrease the overclosure, but is less numerically stable. For the augmented Lagrangian method, the penalty factor is a numeral parameter that affects the convergence rate, but not the accuracy of the converged solution.

In order to take friction between the rotor and the housing into account, select the Include friction check box. When selected, enter a Friction coefficient, $\mu_{\mathrm{f} \text {. }}$ Also, enter the Effective radius for friction, $R_{\text {eff }}$. This gives the moment lever for the tangential friction forces, which would typically be radius of the part of the rotor that could touch the housing.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Beam Rotor>Rotor Rub (Edge)
Beam Rotor>Rotor Rub (Point)

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Beam Rotor selected:

## Points>Beam Rotor>Fixed Rotor Rub

Points>Beam Rotor>Fixed Rotor Rub

## Fixed Axial Rotation

Use the default Fixed Axial Rotation node to select a point on the rotor that can be treated as a reference for the axial rotation of the rotor. This rotation is the twisting rotation of the rotor due to the torsional load.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Beam Rotor>Fixed Axial Rotation

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Beam Rotor selected:
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## Disk

Add a Disk node to a point on a rotor to model the inertial effects of components attached to the rotor. These components are considered to be rotating with the rotor.

## DISK PROPERTIES

Select Center of mass - Selected points, Offset from the selected points, or User defined. This section specifies the location of the center of mass relative to the selected points. Then, go to the relevant section below to continue defining the section.

## Selected Points

Select Disk type - Circular or Noncircular.
When the disk type is Circular, select Specified by - Mass and moment of inertia or Geometric dimensions. For Mass and moment of inertia, enter the mass, $m$, polar and diametral moment of inertia $I_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $I_{\mathrm{d}}$ of the disk. For Geometric dimensions, enter the density, $\rho$, diameter, $d$, and thickness, $h$, of the disk.

When the disk type is Noncircular, enter the full moment of inertia tensor about the center of gravity $I_{\mathrm{cg}}$.

## Offset from Selected Points

Enter the following offset information:

- Radial offset, $z_{\mathrm{r}}$
- Offset angle from local $y$ direction, $\phi$
- Axial offset, $z_{\mathrm{a}}$

Subsequently, enter the inertial properties as in the Selected points case. The only exception is that in this case, the moment of inertia for the noncircular case can be specified either about Center of mass $\left(I_{\text {cg }}\right)$ or Selected points $(I)$.

## User Defined Node

Enter the Position of the center of mass, $\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{cm}}$. Subsequently, enter the inertial properties as in the Offset from selected points case.

Forces caused by the disk eccentricity rotate with the rotor. In a frequency domain analysis, this is phase shifted to give the effect of rotation.

## Journal Bearing

Add a Journal Bearing to points corresponding to the journal bearing location for modeling the effect of the bearing. You can select multiple points to add identical bearings at several locations.

If clearance is incorporated, you can also study the effect of misalignment by adding a Misalignment subnode.Radial Roller Bearing

## BEARING ORIENTATION

Use the settings in this section to define the local $y$ direction of the bearing.
Subsequent vector and matrix inputs are specified in the local directions specified here.
Enter the Bearing orientation vector defining the local $\boldsymbol{y}$ direction. The direction given will be adjusted so that it is orthogonal to the rotor axis. The default value is the global $y$-axis.

You can further modify the $y$-axis orientation by entering an angle for the Rotation of the orientation vector around the bearing axis. The direction inferred from the previous setting will be rotated counterclockwise around the rotor axis.

## BEARING PROPERTIES

Select a Bearing Model - No clearance, Plain hydrodynamic, Total spring and damping constant, or Total force and moment. Then, go to the relevant section below to continue defining the section.

## No Clearance

Clear the Constrain bending rotation check box (selected by default) to allow the bending rotation of the rotor at the bearing location.

## Plain Hydrodynamic

Enter the Bulk Viscosity, $\mu$, of the lubricant; Clearance, $C$, between the journal and bushing; the Journal radius, $R$; and the Journal length, $L$. For the Bulk viscosity, select From material to use data from a material assigned to the selected journal boundaries, or select User defined to enter a value or expression.

These values are used to calculate the stiffness and damping constants by linearization of the total forces and moments obtained with a short bearing approximation in the Reynolds equation.

Clear the Include bending stiffness check box to ignore the resistance of the bearing to the bending rotation of the journal.

## Total Spring and Damping Constant

Enter the translational and bending stiffness, $k_{u}$ and $k_{\theta}$. Select the
Translational-rotational coupling check box to also model the coupling between the translational and rotational motion of the journal. Enter the values of the additional inputs, $k_{u \theta}$ and $k_{\theta u}$ in this case. If you want to perform dynamic analysis, you can also enter nonzero translational and bending damping constants $c_{u}$ and $c_{\theta}$. Select the Translational-rotational coupling check box and enter the $c_{u \theta}$ and $c_{\theta u}$ values to include the translational-rotational coupling in damping constants.

## Total Force and Moment

Enter the Total force, $\mathbf{F}$ and Total moment, $\mathbf{M}$, as a function of journal displacement and rotation. Default expressions are provided as an example of how to specify the forces and moments as a function of journal displacement and rotation. These expressions contain variables of the type <phys>. <feat>. \{du2, du3, dth2, dth3\}, which are the lateral components of the journal displacement and rotation of the journal in the local bearing directions.

If the No axial vibration check box in the Axial and Torsional Vibration section of the interface settings is not selected, the Constrain axial motion check box will be visible. Clear this check box if you want to use the Thrust Bearing node to restrict the axial motion.

## FOUNDATION PROPERTIES

Select one of the Fixed, Moving, Flexible, or Squeeze film damper foundation options. If the model component also contains other structural physics interfaces such as Solid Mechanics, Multibody Dynamics, and Shell that have Attachment or Rigid Domain features, the above list is extended with the list of the attachments and rigid domains available in these physics interfaces. In the Moving, Flexible, and Squeeze film damper cases, the subnodes Moving Foundation, Flexible Foundation, or Squeeze Film Damper are automatically added. If any of the attachments or rigid domains is selected, displacement and rotation of the selected feature are used as foundation motion. Attachments and rigid domains provide an easy way of coupling the rotor with the stator.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Beam Rotor>Bearings>journal Bearing

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Beam Rotor selected:

## Points>Bearings>Journal Bearing

## Thrust Bearing

Add a Thrust Bearing node and select a point representing the locations of the thrust bearing.

If clearance is incorporated, you can also study the effect of misalignment by adding a Misalignment subnode.

## BEARING ORIENTATION

Use the settings in this section to define the local $y$ direction of the bearing. Subsequent vector and matrix inputs are specified in the local directions specified here.

Enter the Bearing orientation vector defining the local $\boldsymbol{y}$ direction. The direction given will be adjusted so that it is orthogonal to the rotor axis. The default value is the global $y$-axis.

You can further modify the $y$-axis orientation by entering an angle for the Rotation of the orientation vector around the bearing axis. The direction inferred from the previous setting will be rotated counterclockwise around the rotor axis.

## BEARING PROPERTIES

Select a Bearing Model - No clearance, Total spring and damping constant, or Total force and moment. Then, go to the relevant section below to continue defining the properties.

## Total Spring and Damping Constant

Enter the axial and bending stiffness, $k_{u}$ and $k_{\theta}$. Select the
Translational-rotational coupling check box to also model the coupling between the axial and rotational motion of the collar. Enter expressions for the additional inputs, $k_{u \theta}$ and $k_{\theta u}$ in this case. If you want to perform dynamic analysis, you can also enter the values of the axial and bending damping constants $c_{u}$ and $c_{\theta}$. Select the Translational-rotational coupling check box and enter $c_{u \theta}$ and $c_{\theta u}$ values to include the translational-rotational coupling in damping constants.

## Total Force and Moment

Enter the Total force, $F_{\text {ax }}$ and Total moment, $\mathbf{M}$, as functions of collar displacement and rotation.

Select the Constrain lateral motion check box (cleared by default) if you do not want to use the Journal Bearing node to restrict the lateral motion. Default expressions are provided as an example of how to specify the forces and moments as a function of collar displacement and rotation. These expressions contain variables of the type <phys>. <feat>. \{du1, dth2, dth3\}, which are the axial component of the collar displacement and lateral components of the collar rotation, respectively, in the local bearing directions.

## FOUNDATION PROPERTIES

Select one of the Fixed, Moving, or Flexible foundation options. If the model component also contains other structural physics interfaces such as Solid Mechanics, Multibody Dynamics, and Shell that have Attachment or Rigid Domain features, the above list is extended with the list of the attachments and rigid domains available in these physics interfaces. In the Moving and Flexible cases, the subnodes Moving Foundation or Flexible Foundation are automatically added. If any of the attachments or rigid domains is selected, displacement and rotation of the selected feature are used as foundation motion. Attachments and rigid domains provide an easy way of coupling the rotor with the stator.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

## Beam Rotor>Bearings>Thrust Bearing

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Beam Rotor selected:

## Points $>$ Bearings $>$ Thrust Bearing

## Radial Roller Bearing

Add a Radial Roller Bearing node and select a point representing the location of the roller bearing.

You can also study the effect of misalignment by adding a Misalignment subnode.

## BEARING ORIENTATION

Use the settings in this section to define the local $y$ direction of the bearing.
Subsequent vector and matrix inputs are specified in the local directions specified here.

Enter the Bearing orientation vector defining the local y direction. The direction given will be adjusted so that it is orthogonal to the rotor axis. The default value is the global $y$-axis.

You can further modify the $y$-axis orientation by entering an angle for the Rotation of the orientation vector around the bearing axis. The direction inferred from the previous setting will be rotated counterclockwise around the rotor axis.

## GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES

Select a Bearing Type - Deep groove ball bearing, Angular contact ball bearing, Self aligning ball bearing, Spherical roller bearing, Cylindrical roller bearing, or Tapered roller bearing. Then go to the relevant section below to continue defining the properties.

## Deep Groove Ball Bearing

Select either of Single row or Double row to specify if the bearing consists of single or double rows of rollers. For Double row bearing, enter the Axial distance between the roller centers. Enter the geometric properties Number of balls; Ball diameter; Pitch diameter; Contour radius, inner race; and Contour radius, outer race to define the bearing geometry.

## Angular Contact Ball Bearing

Select either of Single row or Double row to specify if the bearing consists of single or double rows of rollers. For Double row bearing, enter the Axial distance between the roller centers. Enter the other geometric properties Number of balls; Ball diameter; Pitch diameter; Contour radius, inner race; Contour radius, outer race; and Initial contact angle to define the bearing geometry.

## Self Aligning Ball Bearing

Select either of Single row or Double row to specify if the bearing consists of single or double rows of rollers. Enter the other geometric properties: Number of balls; Ball diameter; Pitch diameter; Contour radius, inner race; Contour radius, outer race; and Initial contact angle (only for Double row bearing) to define the bearing geometry.

## Spherical Roller Bearing

Select either of Single row or Double row to specify if the bearing consists of single or double rows of rollers. For Double row bearing, enter the Axial distance between the roller centers and Initial contact angle. Enter the other geometric properties: Number of rollers; Roller diameter; Pitch diameter; Contour radius, inner race; and Contour radius, outer race to define the bearing geometry.

## Cylindrical Roller Bearing

Select either of Single row or Double row to specify if the bearing consists of single or double rows of rollers. For Double row bearing, enter the Axial distance between the roller centers. Enter the other geometric properties: Number of rollers; Roller diameter; Effective length of the roller; and Pitch diameter to define the bearing geometry.

## Tapered Roller Bearing

Select either of Single row or Double row to specify if the bearing consists of single or double rows of rollers. For Single row bearing, enter the Axial offset of roller center. For Double row bearing enter the Axial distance between the roller centers. Enter the other geometric properties: Number of rollers, Roller diameter, Effective length of the roller, Pitch diameter, Cap radius, Semi cone angle, Roller axis orientation, Cap angle, and Flange contact angle to define the bearing geometry.

## CLEARANCE AND PRELOAD

- Enter the value of Radial clearance for all bearings except Tapered roller bearing. For Tapered roller bearing, enter the values of Inner race clearance, Outer race clearance and Flange clearance.
- Select the Include preload check box to specify the preload in the bearings. For Deep groove ball bearing and Cylindrical roller bearing specify a Radial preload. For Angular contact ball Bearing and Tapered roller bearing specify an Axial preload. For Self aligning ball bearing and Spherical roller bearing specify a Radial preload for single row and an Axial preload for the double row bearings.


## MATERIAL PROPERTIES

Enter the material properties Young's modulus, inner race and Poisson's ratio, inner race to define the elastic properties of the inner race in the bearing. Select Outer race material - Same as inner race or User defined. If User defined is selected, enter the values of Young's modulus, outer race and Poisson's ratio, outer race. Finally select Roller material - Same as inner race or User defined. If User defined is selected, enter the values of the Young's modulus, roller/ball and Poisson's ratio, roller/ball.

## FOUNDATION PROPERTIES

Select one of the Fixed, Moving, Flexible, or Squeeze film damper foundation options. If the model component also contains other structural physics interfaces such as Solid Mechanics, Multibody Dynamics, and Shell that have Attachment or Rigid Domain features, the above list is extended with the list of the attachments and rigid domains available in these physics interfaces. In the Moving, Flexible, and Squeeze film damper cases, the subnodes Moving Foundation, Flexible Foundation, or Squeeze Film Damper are
automatically added. If any of the attachments or rigid domains is selected, displacement and rotation of the selected feature are used as foundation motion. Attachments and rigid domains provide an easy way of coupling the rotor with the stator.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

Beam Rotor>Bearings>Radial Roller Bearing

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Beam Rotor selected:
Points $>$ Bearings $>$ Radial Roller Bearing

## Squeeze Film Damper

The Squeeze Film Damper node is added automatically when you set Foundation properties to Squeeze Film Damper in the parent Journal Bearing, or Radial Roller Bearing node. In this node, you specify the damper dimensions and lubricant properties to compute the equivalent damping coefficient based on a short bearing length approximation.

## DAMPER PROPERTIES

Select Bulk viscosity - From material or User defined. If User defined, enter the value of the Bulk viscosity, $\mu$. Enter the values of Clearance, $C$, Journal Radius, $R$, and Journal length, $L$. Clear the check box Include tilting (selected by default) to exclude the effect of the tilting of the journal in the damper.

## CENTERING SPRING

Centering springs are used in squeeze film dampers to allow them to support static loads. Enter the values of Spring constant, $k_{\mathrm{cs}}$, and Damping constant, $c_{\mathrm{cs}}$, for the centering spring.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

This node is automatically added when Foundation Properties is set to Squeeze Film Damper in the parent bearing node. It cannot be added or removed manually.

## Rotor Coupling

Add a Rotor Coupling node to model the coupling characteristics between two rotors. The selection for this feature is a point on the rotor where the coupling is located.

## COUPLING PROPERTIES

Select Coupling type - Spline, Torsional, or User defined. Then, go to the relevant section below to continue defining the properties.

## Spline

No extra input in needed. This coupling type connects the displacement and axial rotation across the two rotors, while keeping the tilting of the rotors uncoupled on interior points. On exterior points, only the axial rotation is fixed.

## Torsional

Enter the value of the Torsional stiffness, $k_{\theta, \mathrm{ax}}$. This coupling type connects displacement and tilting rotations across the two rotors on interior points and axial rotation of both rotors are connected by a spring of stiffness $k_{\theta, \mathrm{ax}}$. On exterior points, displacement and tilting rotations are free. The axial rotation is connected by a spring of stiffness $k_{\theta, \text { ax }}$ relative to an imaginary fixed point.

## User Defined

Select Displacement connection - Rigid or Flexible. In the Flexible case, enter the Translational stiffness, $\mathbf{k}_{u}$. The displacements in the two rotors are connected by a spring with the specified stiffness. In the Rigid case, the displacements in both rotors are rigidly connected. On an exterior point, the other rotor is assumed to be fixed.

Select Axial rotation connection - Flexible or Rigid. In the Flexible case, enter the Torsional stiffness, $k_{\theta, a x}$. The axial rotation in the two rotors is connected by a torsional spring with the specified stiffness. In the Rigid case, the axial rotations in both rotors are rigidly connected. On an exterior point, the other rotor is assumed to be fixed.

Select the Bending rotation connection - Flexible or Rigid. In the Flexible case, enter the Bending stiffness, $k_{\theta \mathrm{b}}$. The bending rotations in the two rotors are connected by a spring with the specified stiffness. In the Rigid case, tilting rotations in both rotors are rigidly connected. On an exterior point, the other rotor is assumed to be fixed.

## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

## Beam Rotor>Rotor Coupling

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Beam Rotor selected:
Points>Beam Rotor>Rotor Coupling

## Edge Load

Use an Edge Load node to apply an edge or body load on the rotor.

## FRAME TYPE

Select a Frame type - Spatial or Corotating to specify the load in the appropriate frame.

- Since the beam rotor is modeled in the spatial frame, a stationary load in a Corotating frame works as a rotating load in the model. This load changes its direction with the angular velocity of the rotor. A stationary load in the Spatial frame is a true static load for beam rotor.
- For a frequency domain analysis, a load in the Corotating frame is phase shifted to give the effect of rotation.
- For a stationary analysis, Frame type has no influence on the analysis.

FORCE
Select a Load type - Load defined as force per unit volume, Load defined as force per force per unit length, or Total force. Then enter values or expressions for the load components based on the selection.

- For Load defined as force per unit volume, you can define a distributed force over the volume. In this case the load is scaled by cross section area of the rotor to provide the force per unit length over the selected edges.
- For Load defined as force per unit length, you can define a distributed force over the selected edge.
- For Total force, the total force is divided by the length of the edges where the load is active in order to obtain the distributed load.

|  | After selecting a Load type, the Load list normally only contains User <br> defined. When combined with another physics interface that can provide <br> this type of load, it is also possible to choose a predefined load from this <br> list. |
| :--- | :--- |


| LOAD TYPE | VARIABLE | SI UNIT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Load defined as force per unit volume | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{V}}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Load defined as force per unit length | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Total force | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {tot }}$ | N |

- You can add the Phase subnode to specify the phase of this load in a frequency domain analysis.


## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

## Beam Rotor>Edge Load

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Beam Rotor selected:
Edges>Beam Rotor>Edge Load

## Point Load

Use a Point Load node to apply point load on the rotor.

## FRAME TYPE

Select a Frame type - Spatial or Corotating to specify the load in the appropriate frame.

- Since the beam rotor is modeled in the spatial frame, a stationary load in a Corotating frame works as a rotating load in the model. This load changes its direction with the angular velocity of the rotor. A stationary load in the Spatial frame is a true static load for beam rotor.
- For a frequency domain analysis, load in the Corotating frame is phase shifted to give the effect of rotation.
- For a stationary analysis, Frame type has no influence on the analysis.

FORCE
Enter values or expressions for the force, $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{p}}$, and moment, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{p}}$.

The Load list normally only contains User defined. When combined with another physics interface that can provide this type of load, it is also possible to choose a predefined load from this list.

- You can add the Phase subnode to specify the phase of this load in a frequency domain analysis.


## LOCATION IN USER INTERFACE

## Context Menus

## Beam Rotor>Point Load

## Ribbon

Physics tab with Beam Rotor selected:

## Points $>$ Beam Rotor>Point Load

## 7

## Hydrodynamic Bearing

This chapter describes the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface (四) and its functionality, which is found under the Structural Mechanics>Rotordynamics branch

In this chapter:

- Hydrodynamic Bearing Theory
- The Hydrodynamic Bearing Interface


## Hydrodynamic Bearing Theory

The following sections introduce you to the theory that is specific to the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface features:

- Reynolds Equation
- Bearing Dynamic Coefficients Calculation
- Modeling Turbulence Effect
- Initial Film Thickness in Journal Bearing
- Floating Ring Bearing
- Initial Film Thickness in Thrust Bearings


## Reynolds Equation

## Thin-Film Flow in Bearings

Figure 7-1 shows a typical configuration for the flow of fluid in a bearing. The upper boundary is referred to as the journal, and the lower boundary is referred to as the bearing. Damping or lubrication forces act on both surfaces.

In many cases, the gap is sufficiently small for the flow in the thin film to be isothermal. Usually, the gap thickness, $h$, is much smaller than the lateral dimensions of the geometry, $L$. If this is the case, it is possible to neglect inertial effects in the fluid in comparison to viscous effects. Additionally, the curvature of the reference surface can be ignored when $h / L \ll 1$. Under these assumptions, the Reynolds equation applies.

For gas flows under the same conditions, it is possible to derive a modified form of the Reynolds equation, which uses the ideal gas law to eliminate the density from the equation system. Such a modified Reynolds equation can even be adapted to model the flow of rarefied gases.


Figure 7-1: An example illustrating a typical configuration for thin-film flow. A reference surface with normal $\mathbf{n}_{\text {ref }}$ sits in a narrow gap between a journal and bearing. In COMSOL Multiphysics.
the vector $\mathbf{n}_{\text {ref }}$ points into the bearing and out of the journal. The journal moves with a displacement $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and velocity $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}$ from its initial position. Similarly, the bearing moves from its initial position with displacement $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and velocity $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{b}}$. The compression of the
fluid results in an excess pressure, $\mathrm{p}_{f}$, above the reference pressure, $\mathrm{p}_{\text {ref }}$, and a fluid velocity in the gap. At a point on the reference surface, the average value of the fluid velocity along a line perpendicular to the surface is given by the in-plane vector $\mathbf{v}_{\text {ave }}$. The motion of the fluid results in forces on the journal $\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)$ and on the bearing $\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$. The distance to the journal above the reference surface is $h_{j}$, while the bearing resides a distance $h_{b}$ below the reference surface. The total size of the gap is $h=h_{\mathrm{j}}+h_{\mathrm{b}}$. At a given time, $h_{\mathrm{j}}=h_{\mathrm{j} 1}-\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and $h_{\mathrm{b}}=h_{\mathrm{b} 1}-\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}$, where $h_{\mathrm{j} 1}$ and $h_{\mathrm{b} 1}$ are the initial distances to the journal and bearing, respectively.

## The Reynolds Equation

The equations of fluid flow in thin films are usually formulated on a reference surface in the Eulerian frame. Consider a cylinder, fixed with respect to a stationary reference surface, as shown in Figure 7-2.


Figure 7-2: A reference cylinder, fixed with respect to the stationary reference surface, in a small gap between two surfaces (the journal and the bearing). The cylinder bas an outward normal, $\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{c}}$. Its area, projected onto the reference surface, is dA.

The cylinder is fixed with respect to the reference surface, but its height can change due to changes in the position of the bearing and journal. Considering the flow in the
reference plane, the rate at which mass accumulates within the reference cylinder is determined by the divergence of the mass flow field in the reference plane:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}(\rho h)=-\nabla_{t} \cdot\left(\rho h \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{av}}\right) \tag{7-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h=h_{\mathrm{j}}+h_{\mathrm{b}}$ and the tangential velocity, $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{av}}$ represents the mean velocity of the flow in the reference plane.

Particular care must be taken with respect to the definition of $h$. The above equation applies if $h$ is measured with respect to a fixed point on the reference surface as a function of time. The reference surface itself must be fixed in space and obviously cannot deform as time progresses. Equations represented on the reference surface are described as Eulerian; that is, they are defined in a frame that is fixed with respect to the motion of the fluid or body. Fluid flow problems are usually formulated in the Eulerian frame and COMSOL Multiphysics adopts this convention in most of its fluid flow interfaces. The Eulerian frame is usually called the spatial frame within the COMSOL Multiphysics interface.

The journal and the bearing can, and often do, move with respect to the reference surface. The journal and bearing are usually the surfaces of bearing components that are deforming as a result of the pressure building up within the region of fluid flow. When describing the physics of a deforming solid, it is often convenient to work in the Lagrangian frame, which is fixed with respect to a small control volume of the solid. As the solid deforms, the Lagrangian frame moves along with the material contained within the control volume. Using the Lagrangian frame for describing structural deformation means that changes in the local density and material orientation as a result of the distortion of the control volume do not need to be accounted for by complicated transformations. In COMSOL Multiphysics, the Lagrangian frame, usually referred to as the material frame, is used for describing structural deformations. When a structure deforms in COMSOL Multiphysics, the material frame remains in the original configuration of the structure, and the deformation is accounted for by the underlying equations.

Because of the mixed Eulerian-Lagrangian approach adopted within COMSOL Multiphysics, particular care must be taken with the formulation of the Reynolds equations. Typically, it is not desirable to represent the geometry of the thin film directly, because it is often much smaller than the other components in the model. The equations apply on a single surface in the model, the reference surface. Since the reference surface should not deform, the equations are added on the material frame (even though this frame is normally used in a Lagrangian approach) and manual
transformations are added to the system to account for the fact that the structural equations also exist on the material frame, and employ a truly Lagrangian approach.

The situation encountered when both the journal and the bearing undergo a displacement is depicted in Figure 7-3. The Eulerian journal height changes from an initial value $h_{\mathrm{j} 1}$ to a final value $h_{\mathrm{j}}$. Similarly, the bearing height changes from an initial value $h_{\mathrm{b} 1}$ to $h_{\mathrm{b}}$.


Figure 7-3: Diagram showing the displacement of the journal and the bearing with respect to the reference surface, and the corresponding change in the beight of the channel.

From the figure, it is clear that:

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{\mathrm{j}}=h_{\mathrm{j} 1}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}} \cdot \nabla_{t} h_{\mathrm{j} 1} \\
h_{\mathrm{b}}=h_{\mathrm{b} 1}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}} \cdot \nabla_{t} h_{\mathrm{b} 1}  \tag{7-2}\\
h=h_{\mathrm{j}}+h_{\mathrm{b}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similar corrections should be applied to the velocity of the journal if it is computed from the structural displacement. That is, the velocity should be modified by a term proportional to its spatial gradient. However, this correction term is a second-order term, and consequently it is usually negligible in practical circumstances. It is neglected in the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface.

Consequently, provided that the definitions of the journal and bearing height from Equation 7-2 are used, the Reynolds equation takes the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho h)+\nabla_{t} \cdot\left(h \rho \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{av}}\right)=0 \tag{7-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Flow Models

The Navier-Stokes equations can be nondimensionalized for a domain whose width $\left(h_{0}\right)$ is much smaller than its lateral dimension(s) $\left(l_{0}\right)$ (see Ref. I for a detailed discussion $)$. When $\operatorname{Re}\left(h_{0} / l_{0}\right)^{2} \ll 1$, and terms of order $\left(h_{0} / l_{0}\right)^{2}$ and higher are neglected, the Navier-Stokes equations reduce to a modified form of the Stokes equation, which must be considered in conjunction with the continuity relation.


Figure 7-4: The coordinate system employed for the derivation of the average flow velocity.
The equations are most conveniently expressed in a local coordinate system in which $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ 'are tangent to the plane of the reference surface, and $z^{\prime}$ is perpendicular to the surface, as illustrated in Figure 7-4. Using this coordinate system:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial x^{\prime}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\prime}}\left(\mu \frac{\partial v_{x^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}}\right) \\
\frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial y^{\prime}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\prime}}\left(\mu \frac{\partial v_{y^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}}\right) \\
\frac{\partial p}{\partial z^{\prime}}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $p_{f}$ is the pressure resulting from the fluid flow, $\mu$ is the fluid viscosity, and ( $v_{x}, v_{y^{\prime}}$ ) is the fluid velocity in the reference plane (which varies in the $z^{\prime}$ direction).

These equations can be integrated directly, yielding the in-plane velocity distributions, by making the assumption that the viscosity represents the mean viscosity through the film thickness. The following equations are derived:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{\partial v_{x^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}}=\frac{z^{\prime}}{\mu} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial x^{\prime}}+\frac{C_{1 x^{\prime}}}{\mu} & \frac{\partial v_{y^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}}=\frac{z^{\prime}}{\mu} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial y^{\prime}}+\frac{C_{1 y^{\prime}}}{\mu} \\
v_{x^{\prime}}=\frac{z^{2}}{2 \mu} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial x^{\prime}}+\frac{C_{1 x^{\prime} z^{\prime}}}{\mu}+C_{2 x^{\prime}} & v_{y^{\prime}}=\frac{z^{2}}{2 \mu} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial y^{\prime}}+\frac{C_{1 y^{\prime} z^{\prime}}}{\mu}+C_{2 y^{\prime}} \tag{7-5}
\end{array}
$$

The constants $C_{1 x^{\prime}}, C_{2 x}, C_{1 y^{\prime}}$, and $C_{2 y}$, are determined by the boundary conditions.
Equation 7-4 shows that the flow is a linear combination of laminar Poiseuille and Couette flows. The velocity profile is quadratic in form, as shown in Equation 7-5.

The average flow rate in the reference plane, $\mathbf{v}_{a v}$, is given by:

$$
\mathbf{v}_{a v}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{h} \int_{h_{w j}}^{h_{x^{\prime}}} v_{x^{\prime}} d z^{\prime} \\
-h_{b} \\
\frac{1}{h} \int_{-h_{b j}} v_{y^{\prime}} d z^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{h}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\left(h_{j}^{2}-h_{j} h_{b}+h_{b}^{2}\right)}{6 \mu} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial x^{\prime}}+\frac{h_{j}-h_{b}}{2 \mu} C_{1 x^{\prime}}+C_{2 x^{\prime}} \\
\left.\frac{\left(h_{j}^{2}-h_{j} h_{b}+h_{b}^{2}\right)}{6 \mu} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial y^{\prime}}+\frac{h_{j}-h_{b}}{2 \mu} C_{1 y^{\prime}}+C_{2 y^{\prime}}\right]
\end{array}\right]
$$

The forces acting on the journal are determined by the normal component of the viscous stress tensor, $\tau$, at the journal and bearing walls ( $\tau \mathbf{n}$ - where $\mathbf{n}$ is the normal that points out of the fluid domain). The viscous stress tensor takes the form:

$$
\tau=\mu\left(\nabla \mathbf{v}+\left(\nabla \mathbf{v}^{T}\right)\right)-p \mathbf{I}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
-p_{f}+2 \mu \frac{\partial v_{x^{\prime}}}{\partial x^{\prime}} \mu\left(\frac{\partial v_{y^{\prime}}}{\partial x^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial v_{x^{\prime}}}{\partial y^{\prime}}\right) \mu\left(\frac{\partial v_{z^{\prime}}}{\partial x^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial v_{x^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}}\right) \\
\mu\left(\frac{\partial v_{x^{\prime}}}{\partial y^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial v_{y^{\prime}}}{\partial x^{\prime}}\right)-p_{f}+2 \mu \frac{\partial v_{y^{\prime}}}{\partial y^{\prime}} \mu\left(\frac{\partial v_{z}}{\partial y^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial v_{y^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}}\right) \\
\mu\left(\frac{\partial v_{x^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial v_{z^{\prime}}}{\partial x^{\prime}}\right) \mu\left(\frac{\partial v_{y^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial v_{z}}{\partial y^{\prime}}\right)-p_{f}+2 \mu \frac{\partial v_{z^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Neglecting the gradient terms, which are of order $h_{0} / l_{0}$, results in the simplified stress tensor:

$$
\tau \approx\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-p_{f} & 0 & \mu \frac{\partial v_{x^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}}  \tag{7-6}\\
0 & -p_{f} & \mu \frac{\partial v_{y^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}} \\
\mu \frac{\partial v_{x^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}} & \mu \frac{\partial v_{y^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}} & -p_{f}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The components of the stress tensor can be expressed in terms of the velocity and pressure gradients using Equation 7-4. Note that the normals to both the journal and the bearing are parallel to the $z^{\prime}$ direction, to zeroth order in $h_{0} / l_{0}$. The forces acting on the bearing and the journal are therefore given by:

$$
f_{j}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{h_{j}}{\mu} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial x^{\prime}}+\frac{C_{1 x^{\prime}}}{\mu} \\
\frac{h_{j}}{\mu} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial y^{\prime}}+\frac{C_{1 y^{\prime}}}{\mu} \\
p_{f}
\end{array}\right] \quad f_{b}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{h_{b}}{\mu} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial x^{\prime}}+\frac{C_{1 x^{\prime}}}{\mu} \\
\frac{h_{b}}{\mu} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial y^{\prime}}+\frac{C_{1 y^{\prime}}}{\mu} \\
-p_{f}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## GENERAL SLIP BOUNDARY CONDITION

Assuming a slip length of $L_{s j}$ at the journal, and a slip length of $L_{s b}$ at the bearing, the general slip boundary conditions are given by:

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{x^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}=-h_{b}\right)-v_{x^{\prime}}^{b}=\frac{L_{s b}}{\mu} \tau_{z^{\prime} x^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}=-h_{b}\right)=L_{s b} \frac{\partial v_{x^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}=-h_{b}\right) \\
v_{y^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}=-h_{b}\right)-v_{y^{\prime}}^{b}=\frac{L_{s b}}{\mu} \tau_{z^{\prime} y^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}=-h_{b}\right)=L_{s b} \frac{\partial v_{y^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}=-h_{b}\right) \\
v_{x^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}=h_{j}\right)-v_{x^{\prime}}^{j}=-\frac{L_{s j}}{\mu} \tau_{z^{\prime} x^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}=h_{j}\right)=-L_{s j} \frac{\partial v_{x^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}=h_{j}\right) \\
v_{y^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}=h_{j}\right)-v_{y^{\prime}}^{w}=-\frac{L_{s j}}{\mu} \tau_{z^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}=h_{j}\right)=-L_{s j} \frac{\partial v_{y^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}=h_{j}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

For non-identical slip lengths, the constants $C_{1 x^{\prime}}, C_{2 x^{\prime}}, C_{1 y^{\prime}}$, and $C_{2 y^{\prime}}$ take the following values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1 x^{\prime}}=\frac{\mu\left(v_{x^{\prime}}^{j}-v_{x^{\prime}}^{b}\right)}{h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}}-\frac{h_{b}^{2}-h_{j}^{2}-2 L_{s j} h_{j}+2 L_{s b} h_{b}}{2\left(h+L_{s b}+L_{s j}\right)} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial x^{\prime}} \\
& C_{2 x^{\prime}}=\frac{v_{x^{\prime}}^{j}\left(h_{b}+L_{s b}\right)+v_{x^{\prime}}^{b}\left(h_{j}+L_{s j}\right)}{h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}} \\
& \quad-\frac{h_{j}^{2}\left(h_{b}+L_{s b}\right)+h_{b}^{2}\left(h_{j}+L_{s j}\right)+2 h_{j} h_{b}\left(L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)+2 h L_{s j} L_{s b}}{2\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right) \mu} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial x^{\prime}} \\
& C_{1 y^{\prime}}=\frac{\mu\left(v_{y^{\prime}}^{j}-v_{\left.y^{\prime}\right)}^{b}\right)}{h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}}-\frac{h_{b}^{2}-h_{j}^{2}-2 L_{s j} h_{j}+2 L_{s b} h_{b}}{2\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial y^{\prime}} \\
& C_{2 y^{\prime}}=\frac{v_{y^{\prime}}^{j}\left(h_{b}+L_{s b}\right)+v_{y^{\prime}}^{b}\left(h_{j}+L_{s j}\right)}{h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}} \\
& \quad-\frac{h_{j}^{2}\left(h_{b}+L_{s b}\right)+h_{b}^{2}\left(h_{j}+L_{s j}\right)+2 h_{j} h_{b}\left(L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)+2 h L_{s j} L_{s b}}{2\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right) \mu} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial y^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The average flow rate becomes:

$$
\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{av}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{h\left(v_{x^{\prime}}^{j}+v_{x^{\prime}}^{b}\right)+2\left(L_{s j} v_{x^{\prime}}^{j}+L_{s b} v_{x^{\prime}}^{b}\right)}{2\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)}-\frac{h\left(h^{2}+4 h\left(L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)+12 L_{s b} L_{s j}\right)}{12 \mu\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial x^{\prime}} \\
\frac{h\left(v_{y^{\prime}}^{j}+v_{y^{\prime}}^{b}\right)+2\left(L_{s j} v_{y^{\prime}}^{j}+L_{s b} v_{y^{\prime}}^{b}\right)}{2\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)}-\frac{h\left(h^{2}+4 h\left(L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)+12 L_{s b} L_{s j}\right)}{12 \mu\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial y^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

which can be expressed in vector notation as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{av}}=\frac{1}{2\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)}\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{n}_{r} \mathbf{n}_{r}^{T}\right)\left(\left(h+2 L_{s j}\right) \mathbf{v}_{j}+\left(h+2 L_{s b}\right) \mathbf{v}_{b}\right) \\
-\frac{h\left(h^{2}+4 h\left(L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)+12 L_{s b} L_{s j}\right)}{12 \mu\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)} \nabla_{t} p_{f}
\end{gathered}
$$

The above equation can be written on the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{av}}=\mathbf{v}_{a v, c}-v_{a v, p} \nabla_{t} p_{f} \tag{7-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{av}, c}$ is a term associated with Couette flow, and $v_{\mathrm{av}, p}$ is a coefficient associated with Poiseuille flow (see Table 7-1 below).

The forces acting on the two boundaries are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{f}_{j}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{h\left(h+2 L_{s b}\right)}{2\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial x^{\prime}}+\frac{\left(v_{x^{\prime}}^{b}-v_{x^{\prime}}^{j}\right) \mu}{\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)} \\
-\frac{h\left(h+2 L_{s b}\right)}{2\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial y^{\prime}}+\frac{\left(v_{y^{\prime}}^{b}-v_{y^{\prime}}^{j}\right) \mu}{\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)} \\
p_{f}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathbf{f}_{b}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{h\left(h+2 L_{s j}\right)}{2\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial x^{\prime}}+\frac{\left(v_{x^{\prime}}^{j}-v_{\left.x^{\prime}\right)}^{b}\right) \mu}{\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)} \\
-\frac{h\left(h+2 L_{s j}\right)}{2\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)} \frac{\partial p_{f}}{\partial y^{\prime}}+\frac{\left(v_{y^{\prime}}^{j}-v_{y^{\prime}}^{b}\right) \mu}{\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)} \\
-p_{f}
\end{array}\right] \tag{7-8}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the $z^{\prime}$ direction corresponds to the $-\mathbf{n}_{r}$ direction. The $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ directions correspond to the two tangent vectors in the plane. Using vector notation, the forces become:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{f}_{j}=-\frac{h\left(h+2 L_{s b}\right)}{2\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)} \nabla_{t} p_{f}+\frac{\mu}{h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}}\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{n}_{r} \mathbf{n}_{r}^{T}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}^{b}-\mathbf{v}^{j}\right)+p_{f} \mathbf{n}_{j} \\
& \mathbf{f}_{b}=-\frac{h\left(h+2 L_{s j}\right)}{2\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)} \nabla_{t} p_{f}+\frac{\mu}{h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}}\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{n}_{r} \mathbf{n}_{r}^{T}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}^{j}-\mathbf{v}^{b}\right)+p_{f} \mathbf{n}_{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

In Equation 7-8 it is assumed that $\mathbf{n}_{j}=-\mathbf{n}_{r}$ and $\mathbf{n}_{b}=\mathbf{n}_{r}$. In COMSOL Multiphysics the accuracy of the force terms is improved slightly over the usual approximation
(which neglects the slope of the journal and bearing as it is of order $h_{0} / l_{0}$ ) by using the following equations for $\mathbf{n}_{j}$ and $\mathbf{n}_{b}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{n}_{j}=\left(-\mathbf{n}_{r}-\nabla_{t} h_{j}\right) \\
\mathbf{n}_{b}=\left(\mathbf{n}_{r}-\nabla_{t} h_{b}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

These definitions are derived from Equation 7-4 and Equation 7-5 and include the additional area that the pressure acts on as a result of the slopes of journal and bearing surfaces.

The force terms can be written on the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{f}_{j} & =-f_{j, p} \nabla_{t} p_{f}+\mathbf{f}_{j, c}  \tag{7-9}\\
\mathbf{f}_{b} & =-f_{b, p} \nabla_{t} p_{f}+\mathbf{f}_{b, c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{j, p}$ is the Poiseuille coefficient for the force on the journal, and $\mathbf{f}_{j, c}$ incorporates the Couette and normal forces (due to the pressure) on the journal. Similarly, $f_{b, p}$ is the Poiseuille coefficient for the force on the bearing, and $\mathbf{f}_{b, c}$ incorporates the Couette and normal forces (due to the pressure) on the bearing.

The cases of identical slip length and non-slip are limiting cases of the formulas derived above. The main results are summarized in Table 7-1, where the constants defined in Equation 7-7 and Equation 7-9 are used.
VARIABLE DEFINITION

General Slip Flow Model

| $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{av}, c}$ | $\frac{1}{2\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)}\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{n}_{r} \mathbf{n}_{r}^{T}\right)\left(\left(h+2 L_{s b}\right) \mathbf{v}_{j}+\left(h+2 L_{s j}\right) \mathbf{v}_{b}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $v_{\mathrm{av}, p}$ | $\frac{h\left(h^{2}+4 h\left(L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)+12 L_{s b} L_{s j}\right)}{12 \mu\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)}$ |
| $\mathbf{f}_{j, c}$ | $\frac{\mu}{h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}}\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{n}_{r} \mathbf{n}_{r}^{T}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}^{b}-\mathbf{v}^{j}\right)+p_{f} \mathbf{n}_{j}$ |
| $f_{j, p}$ | $\frac{h\left(h+2 L_{s b}\right)}{2\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)}$ |
| $\mathbf{f}_{b, c}$ | $\frac{\mu}{h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}}\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{n}_{r} \mathbf{n}_{r}^{T}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}^{j}-\mathbf{v}^{b}\right)+p_{f} \mathbf{n}_{b}$ |
| $f_{b, p}$ | $\frac{h\left(h+2 L_{s j}\right)}{2\left(h+L_{s j}+L_{s b}\right)}$ |

Equal Slip Lengths Flow Model Lsj=Lsb=L

| $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{av}, c}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{n}_{r} \mathbf{n}_{r}^{T}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}_{j}+\mathbf{v}_{b}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $v_{\mathrm{av}, p}$ | $\left(h^{2}+6 L_{s} h\right) /(12 \mu)$ |
| $\mathbf{f}_{j, c}$ | $\frac{\mu}{h+2 L_{s}}\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{n}_{r} \mathbf{n}_{r}^{T}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}^{b}-\mathbf{v}^{j}\right)+p_{f} \mathbf{n}_{j}$ |
| $f_{j, p}$ | $h / 2$ |
| $\mathbf{f}_{b, c}$ | $\frac{\mu}{h+2 L_{s}}\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{n}_{r} \mathbf{n}_{r}^{T}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}^{j}-\mathbf{v}^{b}\right)+p_{f} \mathbf{n}_{b}$ |
| $f_{b, p}$ | $h / 2$ |

## Non-Slip Flow Model Lsj=Lsb=0

| $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{av}, c}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{n}_{r} \mathbf{n}_{r}^{T}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}_{j}+\mathbf{v}_{b}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $v_{\mathrm{av}, p}$ | $h^{2} /(12 \mu)$ |
| $\mathbf{f}_{j, c}$ | $\frac{\mu}{h}\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{n}_{r} \mathbf{n}_{r}^{T}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}^{b}{ }_{\left.-\mathbf{v}^{j}\right)+p_{f} \mathbf{n}_{j}}\right.$ |
| $f_{j, p}$ | $h / 2$ |


| VARIABLE | DEFINITION |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{f}_{b, c}$ | $\frac{\mu}{h}\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{n}_{r} \mathbf{n}_{r}^{T}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}^{j}-\mathbf{v}^{b}\right)+p_{f} \mathbf{n}_{b}$ |
| $f_{b, p}$ | $h / 2$ |

## The Modified Reynolds Equation - Gas Flow

Thin-film gas flow is often isothermal, and in many cases the ideal gas law can be assumed. Under these circumstances, the ideal gas law can be written on the form:

$$
p_{A}=\frac{R T_{0}}{M_{n}} \rho
$$

where $T_{0}$ is the (constant) temperature of the gas, $M_{n}$ is the molar mass of the gas, and $R$ is the universal gas constant. Here, the total gas pressure is $p_{A}=p_{\text {ref }}+\pi f$, where $p_{A}$ is the absolute pressure and $p_{f}$ is the pressure developed as a result of the flow. Substituting this relation into Equation 7-3 and dividing it by $M_{n} / R T_{0}$ results in a modified form of the Reynolds equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(p_{A} h\right)+\nabla_{t} \cdot\left(h p_{A} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{av}}\right)-p_{A}\left(\mathbf{v}_{j} \cdot \nabla_{t} h_{j}+\mathbf{v}_{b} \cdot \nabla_{t} h_{b}\right)=0 \tag{7-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation can be used to model isothermal flows of ideal gases. The average flow rate and the forces acting on the bearings are computed in the same manner as for the standard Reynolds equation.

## SLIP BOUNDARY CONDITIONS FOR GASES

For a gas, the slip length is often expressed using the mean free path, $\lambda$, and a tangential momentum accommodation coefficient, $\alpha$. For compatibility with the existing literature on thin-film gas flow, the following definition of the mean free path is in the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface:

$$
\lambda=\frac{\mu}{p}\left(\frac{2 R T}{M_{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

The slip length is then defined as:

$$
L_{s}=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \lambda\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)
$$

Values for the tangential momentum accommodation coefficients for various gas surface combinations are given in Ref. 2.

## Boundary Conditions

Most of the boundary conditions either constrain the flow into the system by prescribing a fluid velocity or constrain the pressure at the boundary. The border flow condition is slightly more complex, requiring the gradient of the pressure to be set by specifying the value of the gradient of the pressure in the normal direction such that:

$$
-\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla p=\Gamma
$$

The Border flow type selection list enables four ways that the value of $\Gamma$ can be specified:

- The User defined option allows the value of $\Gamma$ to be directly entered as an expression in a user input box. This allows for arbitrary normal pressure gradients.
- The two Acoustic elongation options assume a linear gradient in the pressure outside the domain away from the boundary. The pressure gradient is calculated by dividing the pressure at the boundary, $p_{f}$, by an elongation length, $\Delta L$. The elongation length can either be specified as an absolute length or as a fraction of the domain width, such that:

$$
-\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla p=\frac{p_{f}}{\Delta L}
$$

- The Out-of-plane motion option calculates the pressure gradient at the boundary using a model detailed in Ref. 8. This model is suitable for including the effects of gas damping when fluid in a thin gap is subjected to out-of-plane motion of the journal or bearing, for example when a microbeam is oscillating above an extended surface. When this option is selected, input fields are provided to specify the required coefficients $(\zeta, \eta, \chi)$ and the pressure gradient is defined according to

$$
-\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla p=\zeta \frac{12 \mu U}{\eta h^{2}}\left(1+\chi \frac{6 \Lambda}{h}\right)^{-1}+\frac{p-p_{\infty}}{\eta h^{2}}
$$

where $U$ is the out-of-plane velocity, $\Lambda$ is the slip length at the walls, and $p_{\infty}$ is the ambient pressure far from the boundary and away from the domain.

## Cavitation

Several types of cavitation can occur in thin-film flow. When the flow pressure drops below the ambient pressure, the air and other gases dissolved within the fluid are released. This phenomenon, characteristic of loaded bearings, is known as cavitation or gaseous cavitation. In some cases involving high-frequency varying loads, as in internal combustion engines, the pressure might drop below the fluid vapor pressure, which is lower than the ambient pressure. In this case, bubbles are formed by rapid evaporation or boiling. This phenomenon is known as vapor cavitation. The cavitation feature in COMSOL Multiphysics is designed to address gaseous cavitation.

The implementation of the cavitation feature is based on a modified version of the Elrod algorithm (Ref. 9 and Ref. 10). This algorithm automatically predicts film rupture and reformation in bearings and offers a reasonable compromise between accuracy and practicality. It is applicable to heavily and moderately loaded bearings but it is not suitable when surface tension plays an important role.

Elrod and Adams' algorithm is based on the JFO cavitation theory, a widely accepted and adopted theory developed by Jakobsson and Floberg (Ref. 11), Floberg (Ref. 12 and Ref. 13), and Olsson (Ref. 14). The JFO theory divides the flow in two regions:

- A full-film region where the pressure varies but is limited from below by the cavitation pressure.
- A cavitation region where only part of the volume is occupied by the fluid. Because of the presence of the gas in the void fraction, the pressure in this region is assumed to be constant and equal to the cavitation pressure.

Elrod and Adams derived a general form of the Reynolds equation, Equation 7-1, by introducing a switch function, $g$, equal to 1 in the full-film region and 0 in the cavitation region. This switch function allows for solving a single equation for both the full-film and cavitation regions and leads to a modified equation for the average velocity.

$$
\mathbf{v}_{a v}=\mathbf{v}_{a v, c}-g v_{a v, p} \nabla_{t} p_{f}
$$

where the second and third terms on the left-hand side correspond to the average Couette and average Poiseuille velocities, respectively. This switch function sets the average Poiseuille velocity to zero in the cavitation region.

Because the average Poiseuille velocity is set to zero in the cavitation region, the density needs to be a function of the pressure variable and is defined as
$\rho=\rho_{c} e^{\beta p_{f}}$
where $\beta$ is the compressibility and $\rho_{c}$ is the density at the cavitation pressure. A density that is not pressure dependent would lead to empty equations in the cavitation region, since the pressure variable $p_{f}$ would no longer be present in the governing equations.

A variable $\theta$ can be defined, given by:

$$
\theta=\frac{\rho}{\rho_{c}}
$$

In the cavitation region $(\theta<1), \theta$ represents the fractional film content.

## RESULT PRESENTATION

While the pressure is constant and equal to the cavitation pressure in the cavitation region, the computed pressure is negative in this region. The value of this negative pressure can physically be interpreted as the volume fraction of fluid in the cavitation region. The actual or physical pressure, available in the postprocessing section as $t f f . p$, is equal to the computed pressure in the full-film region $(\theta \geq 1)$ and equal to the cavitation pressure in the cavitation region $(\theta<1)$.

## Dissipation

Due to the viscous friction, heat will be produced in the lubricating film. In some cases, it may be important to couple the flow in the bearing to a heat transfer analysis. In the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface, the dissipated energy per volume is computed. It is available in a variable named hdb. Qvd, which you can use as a heat source in a thermal analysis.

Viscous dissipation per unit volume in a flow can be calculated in terms of the rate of deformation and stress tensors:

$$
Q_{\mathrm{vd}}=\tau: S
$$

with

$$
S=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla \mathbf{v}+\nabla \mathbf{v}^{T}\right)
$$

and

$$
\tau=2 \mu S-\frac{2}{3} \mu(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) I
$$

For a thin film flow approximation (neglecting higher orders of h/l) the rate of deformation and stress tensor in the local system are approximated as

$$
S \approx\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial v_{x^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial v_{y^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}} \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial v_{x^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial v_{y^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\tau \approx\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-p_{f} & 0 & \mu \frac{\partial v_{x^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}} \\
0 & -p_{f} & \mu \frac{\partial v_{y^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}} \\
\mu \frac{\partial v_{x^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}} & \mu \frac{\partial v_{y^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}} & -p_{f}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Therefore, in a thin film flow the viscous dissipation is approximately given by

$$
Q_{\mathrm{vd}}=\mu\left[\left(\frac{\partial v_{x^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial v_{y^{\prime}}}{\partial z^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

Substituting the velocity expression in terms of the pressure in the film and integrating across the film thickness the heat dissipation per unit area of the film is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{\mathrm{vd}, \mathrm{~A}}=\frac{h^{3}}{12 \mu}\left(\nabla_{t} p_{f} \cdot \nabla_{t} p_{f}\right)+ \\
& \frac{h}{\mu\left(h+L_{\mathrm{sj}}+L_{\mathrm{sb}}\right)}\left\{h_{\mathrm{L}}^{2} \nabla_{t} p_{f}-\mu\left(\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{j}}-\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)_{t}\right\} \cdot\left\{h_{\mathrm{L}}^{2} \nabla_{t} p_{f}-\mu\left(\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{j}}-\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)_{t}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
h_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}=h_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}-h_{\mathrm{j}}^{2}-\left(\frac{3 L_{\mathrm{sj}}+L_{\mathrm{sb}}}{2}\right) h_{\mathrm{j}}+\left(\frac{3 L_{\mathrm{sb}}+L_{\mathrm{sj}}}{2}\right) h_{\mathrm{b}}
$$

Here, $h_{\mathrm{b}}$ is the height of the bearing from the reference surface and $h_{\mathrm{j}}$ is the height of the journal from the reference surface. $L_{\mathrm{sj}}$ and $L_{\mathrm{sb}}$ are the slip lengths at the journal and bearing surfaces, respectively. $\left(\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{j}}-\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{b}}\right)_{\mathrm{t}}$ is the tangential velocity component of the relative journal velocity with respect to the bearing in the film plane.

Therefore, viscous dissipation per unit volume will be approximately given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{\mathrm{vd}}=\frac{h^{2}}{12 \mu}\left(\nabla_{t} p_{f} \cdot \nabla_{t} p_{f}\right)+ \\
& \frac{1}{\mu\left(h+L_{\mathrm{sj}}+L_{\mathrm{sb}}\right)}\left\{h_{\mathrm{L}}^{2} \nabla_{t} p_{f}-\mu\left(\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{j}}-\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)_{t}\right\} \cdot\left\{h_{\mathrm{L}}^{2} \nabla_{t} p_{f}-\mu\left(\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{j}}-\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)_{t}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Misalignment

Misalignment in bearings can occur due to improper mounting. Misalignment often results in metal to metal contact in the bearing components with high contact forces. This gives rise to noise and high temperatures in the bearing. The misalignment is broadly classified in the following categories:

- Parallel misalignment: The axes of the bearings are parallel to each other but the bearing centers are offset in the lateral direction (see Figure 7-5).
- Angular misalignment: The axes of the bearings are not perfectly parallel. Rather, they are slightly inclined relative to each other (see Figure 7-6). Bearing centers are still aligned in the direction of the rotor axis.
- A combination of the above two.


Figure 7-5: Parallel misalignment.


Figure 7-6: Angular misalignment.
The film thickness in a hydrodynamic bearing changes as an effect of its misalignment with the rotor axis. As a result, the pressure distribution in the bearing also gets affected. Let $\mathbf{u}_{0}$ and $\theta_{0}$ be the parallel and angular misalignments, respectively. Then the net change in the film thickness due to misalignment can be calculated by

$$
\Delta h=\left[\mathbf{u}_{0}+\theta_{0} \times\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right] \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}
$$

$\mathbf{X}$ is the coordinate on the journal/collar surface, $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is the center of the bearing and $\mathbf{n}_{\text {ref }}$ is the material normal of the journal/collar surface. Therefore, the height of the bearing from the reference surface will be given by

$$
h_{\mathrm{b}}=h_{0}+\Delta h
$$

where $h_{0}$ is the film thickness without the misalignment in the bearing.
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# Bearing Dynamic Coefficients Calculation 

Bearing dynamic coefficients are the effective stiffness and damping coefficients of the bearing when the rotor is at its equilibrium position. For a sufficiently loaded bearing, the rotor will in steady state take an eccentric equilibrium position in the bearing to support the static loads. It then does a small amplitude whirl about this equilibrium position due to the dynamic loads on the rotor such as eccentricity, misalignment, and so on. A dynamic coefficient approximation works well if the static load on the rotor is much larger than the dynamic loads. If dynamic load is comparable to the static load or the bearing is lightly loaded, the rotor undergoes a large amplitude whirl without any well-defined equilibrium position. In such a case, the nonlinearity of the bearing forces must be accounted for in order to accurately predict the dynamics of the rotor.

Determination of the dynamic coefficients of the bearing is done at the equilibrium position of the journal for the given static load. The change in the net bearing reaction forces as the bearing equilibrium position is disturbed is then obtained. There are two fundamentally different approaches that can be used: infinitesimal perturbation and finite perturbation.

## INFINITESIMAL PERTURBATION

Perturbed differential equations in terms of new pressure perturbation degrees of freedom are obtained by considering the derivative of the Reynolds equation with respect to the equilibrium position perturbation. Bearing dynamic coefficients are expressed in terms of the new pressure perturbation degrees of freedom.

This is the method used in the Rotordynamics Module.

## FINITE PERTURBATION

Reynolds equation is solved for two conditions, first at the equilibrium state and then by considering a finite perturbation to the equilibrium position. The difference in the net reaction force in the bearing with respect to the perturbation gives the corresponding coefficients. In this approach, perturbation amplitudes should be small enough to get the accurate results. Typical perturbation values used are $0.01 C$ for displacement and $0.01 \Omega C$ for velocity.

## REYNOLDS EQUATION

Consider the Reynolds equation describing the flow in the thin channels:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho h)+\nabla_{t} \cdot\left(\rho h \mathbf{v}_{\text {ave }}\right)=0
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h=h_{\mathrm{b} 1}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}-\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}} \cdot \nabla_{t} h_{\mathrm{b} 1}\right)-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}} \\
& \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ave}}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{j}, t}+\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{b}, t}\right)-\frac{h^{2}}{12 \mu} \nabla_{t} p\right) \\
& \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}=\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{b}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{b}} \cdot \nabla_{t} h_{\mathrm{b} 1}\right)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{j}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j} 0}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b} 0}$ be the equilibrium states with $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{j} 0}=\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{b} 0}=\mathbf{0}$. Introduce infinitesimal perturbations in journal position $\mathrm{d} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and velocity $\mathrm{d} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}$, respectively. The bearing position and velocity are not perturbed. Taking the derivative of Reynolds equation with respect to $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{j}}$ gives the perturbation equation.

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}}}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho h)+\nabla_{t} \cdot \rho h \mathbf{v}_{\text {ave }}\right]=0
$$

Performing this derivative using the expressions for $h$ and $\mathbf{v}_{\text {ave }}$ gives

$$
\nabla_{t} \cdot\left(\frac{\rho h^{3}}{12 \mu} \nabla_{t} \mathbf{p}_{u}\right)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}+\nabla_{t} \cdot\left[\left(\frac{h^{2}}{4 \mu} \nabla_{t} p-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{j}, t}+\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{b}, t}\right)\right) \otimes \rho \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}\right]^{T}
$$

where $\mathbf{p}_{u}$ represents derivative of $p$ with respect to $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}}$. In equilibrium

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{j}}=\Omega \times\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \\
& \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting this in the perturbation equation gives

$$
\nabla_{t} \cdot\left(\frac{\rho h^{3}}{12 \mu} \nabla_{t} \mathbf{p}_{u}\right)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}+\nabla_{t} \cdot\left[\left(\frac{h^{2}}{4 \mu} \nabla_{t} p-\frac{\Omega}{2} \times\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right) \otimes \rho \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}\right]^{T}
$$

Similarly, a derivative with respect to $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}$ results in

$$
\nabla_{t} \cdot\left(\frac{\rho h^{3}}{12 \mu} \nabla_{t} \mathbf{p}_{v}\right)=-\rho \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}+\nabla_{t} \cdot\left[\frac{\rho h}{2}\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}} \otimes \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}\right)\right]
$$

where $\mathbf{p}_{v}$ represents derivative of $p$ with respect to $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}$. The pressure and film thickness profile in the right-hand side of these equations are determined from the static equilibrium position given by the static load on the bearing.

$$
\nabla_{t} \cdot\left(\frac{\rho h^{3}}{12 \mu} \nabla_{t} p\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho h+\nabla_{t} \cdot\left[\frac{\rho h}{2}\left(\Omega \times\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right)\right]
$$

The pressure distribution must balance the external load so that

$$
\int_{A} \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~d} A=\mathbf{W}
$$

where $\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is the force on the journal due to the lubricant pressure and shear and $\mathbf{W}$ is the load vector acting on the bearing. This forms a system of equations for the pressure perturbations $\mathbf{p}_{u}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{v}$ for a liquid bearing.

## MODIFIED REYNOLDS EQUATION

Consider now the modified Reynolds equation describing the gas flow in the thin channels:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(p_{A} h\right)+\nabla_{t} \cdot\left(p_{A} h \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ave}}\right)=0
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h=h_{\mathrm{b} 1}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}-\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}} \cdot \nabla_{t} h_{\mathrm{b} 1}\right)-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}} \\
& \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ave}}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{j}, t}+\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{b}, t}\right)-\frac{h^{2}}{12 \mu} \nabla_{t} p\right) \\
& \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}=\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{b}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{b}} \cdot \nabla_{t} h_{\mathrm{b} 1}\right)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{j}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j} 0}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b} 0}$ be the equilibrium states with $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{j} 0}=\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{b} 0}=\mathbf{0}$. Introduce infinitesimal perturbations in the journal position $\mathrm{d} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and velocity $\mathrm{d} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}$, respectively. If we introduce the new positions and velocities, including the perturbations, in the modified Reynolds equation and collect the coefficients for the displacement and velocity perturbations together, terms containing the unperturbed variables alone is simply the equilibrium equation in the unperturbed displacement and velocity. The coefficient for the
perturbed displacement should be zero to satisfy the equilibrium equation because the perturbation is arbitrary. This results in the following equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{t} & \cdot\left(\frac{p_{A} h^{3}}{12 \mu} \nabla_{t} \mathbf{p}_{u}-h\left(\mathbf{p}_{u} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ave}}\right)\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(h \mathbf{p}_{u}\right)+h \omega^{2}\left(\mathbf{p}_{v}-\left(\mathbf{p}_{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_{A} \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}+\nabla_{t} \cdot\left[\left(\frac{h^{2}}{4 \mu} \nabla_{t} p-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{j}, t}+\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{b}, t}\right)\right) \otimes p_{A} \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}\right]^{T}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{p}_{u}$ represents the derivative of $p$ with respect to $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}}$. Note, that there is also an additional term containing the whirl speed, $\omega$, that comes from the acceleration effect due to whirling. This term plays an important role in determining the dynamic coefficients for gas bearings and cannot be neglected. Similarly, the coefficient for the velocity perturbation should also be zero. This results in

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla_{t} \cdot\left(\frac{p_{A} h^{3}}{12 \mu} \nabla_{t} \mathbf{p}_{v}-h\left(\mathbf{p}_{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ave}}\right)\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(h \mathbf{p}_{v}\right) \\
=\left(-p_{A} \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}+h_{0} \mathbf{p}_{u}+\nabla_{t} \cdot\left[\frac{p_{A} h}{2}\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}} \otimes \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}\right)\right]\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathbf{p}_{v}$ represents the derivative of $p$ with respect to $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}$. The pressure and film thickness profile in the right-hand side of these equations are determined from the static equilibrium position given by the static load on the bearing.

$$
\nabla_{t} \cdot\left(\frac{p_{A} h^{3}}{12 \mu} \nabla_{t} p\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_{A} h+\nabla_{t} \cdot\left[p_{A} h \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ave}}\right]
$$

The pressure distribution must balance the external load so that

$$
\int_{A} \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~d} A=\mathbf{W}
$$

where $\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is the force on the journal due to the lubricant pressure and shear, and $\mathbf{W}$ is the load vector acting on the bearing. This forms a system of equations for the pressure perturbations $\mathbf{p}_{u}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{v}$ of a gas bearing.

## Boundary Conditions

The boundary conditions for the perturbation degrees of freedom $\mathbf{p}_{u}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{v}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{p}_{u}=\mathbf{0} \\
& \mathbf{p}_{v}=\mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

on the boundary.

## Stiffness and Damping Coefficients

The component of the stiffness coefficients in the local bearing directions are given in term of the perturbed degrees of freedom as follows:

$$
k_{i j}=\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{p}_{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)\left(\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)(p>0) d A
$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ are the local bearing directions, and the integration is performed over the bearing surface. Similarly, the components of the damping coefficients in the local bearing directions are given by:

$$
c_{i j}=\int_{A}\left(\mathbf{p}_{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{j}\right)\left(\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)(p>0) d A
$$

## Critical Journal Mass and Critical Whirl Speed

Usually, the bearing damping coefficients are symmetric whereas stiffness coefficients lack the symmetry because $k_{23}$ is not equal to $k_{32}$. This asymmetry of the stiffness coefficients causes an unstable behavior of the rotor in the bearing. At a particular speed, the static equilibrium position of the journal is no longer dynamically stable, and rotor starts to whirl in a small orbit around the equilibrium position. The whirl frequency usually coincides with the lowest natural frequency of the system, and the ratio of the whirl frequency to rotational frequency is typically around 0.5 .

Linearized coefficients cannot be used for predicting the behavior of the system beyond the threshold speed. But due to a rapid growth of the whirl speed, a threshold speed based on the linearized coefficients can be considered as the limiting speed for a safe operation. The threshold speed also depends on the rotor properties and its connection to the bearing. Thus, an analysis of the full system is needed to calculate the actual threshold speed of a system. However, to get some basic ideas and to compare the bearings with each other, a threshold speed based on a symmetric and rigid rotor is very useful. A critical journal mass based on this assumption is given by

$$
M_{\mathrm{crit}}=\frac{k}{\omega^{2}}
$$

where

$$
k=\frac{k_{22} c_{33}+k_{33} c_{22}-k_{23} c_{32}-k_{32} c_{23}}{c_{22}+c_{33}}
$$

and

$$
\omega^{2}=\frac{\left(k_{22}-k\right)\left(k_{33}-k\right)-k_{23} k_{32}}{c_{22} c_{33}-c_{23} c_{32}}
$$

where $\omega$ is the instability whirl speed. Subscripts 2 and 3 denote the local $y$ direction and the local $z$ direction, respectively. Since the dynamic coefficients are functions of the journal speed, a threshold speed for the instability is the speed at which the critical journal mass becomes equal to the actual journal mass. Th critical whirl speed and the critical journal mass are available as <phys>. <feat>. omega_c and <phys>.<feat>.m_c, respectively.

## Modeling Turbulence Effect

Turbulence in the lubricant film flow can occur due to various reasons. In high-speed rotors, inertial effects of the flow become significant. Thus, flow no longer remains laminar and also gives rise to turbulent shear stresses. These effects, in turn, significantly change the behavior of the bearing as compared to a laminar approximation. Surface roughness of the journal and bushing surfaces can also induce turbulence in the flow even at moderate speeds. A detailed modeling of the flow pattern in the film, including turbulence effects, makes the problem very complicated. However, with the help of homogenization techniques, the problem can still be solved in a simplified manner by slightly modifying the Reynolds equation by introducing flow factors and shear stress factors that account for turbulence effects in the flow.

Consider two rough surfaces pressing against each other and supported by a lubricant film between them. The surfaces are in a relative sliding motion with each other with velocity $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{J}}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}}$, respectively. When the lubricant film between them is thick enough, asperities in the surfaces do not come in contact with each other. This is usually the case for when the contact load is small. Such a lubrication is referred to as full film lubrication. When the contact load is very high, the surfaces can come close to each other by squeezing the film. In such a scenario, the gap between the surfaces can be of the same order as the height of the asperities. Therefore, asperities can come in contact at various locations. As a result, the contact load is not only supported by the pressure in the lubricant film but also by the contact pressure between asperities. Such a lubrication is referred to as mixed lubrication.


Figure 7-7: Rough surfaces in contact.

## Turbulence Modeling in Thin Film Flow

Let us consider a reference frame $z=0$ from which the height of various points on the surface is measured. Because the surfaces are rough, the height of the points can be
decomposed into two components, a mean height and a height variation due to roughness in the following way

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{\mathrm{J}}=h_{\mathrm{mJ}}+\hat{h}_{\mathrm{J}} \\
& h_{\mathrm{B}}=h_{\mathrm{mB}}+\hat{h}_{\mathrm{B}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h_{\mathrm{mJ}}$ and $h_{\mathrm{mB}}$ are the mean heights, while $\hat{h}_{\mathrm{J}}$ and $\hat{h}_{\mathrm{B}}$ are the height variations due to asperities. Then mean gap between the two surfaces is then

$$
h_{\mathrm{m}}=h_{\mathrm{mJ}}-h_{\mathrm{mB}}
$$

The local gap between both the surfaces is

$$
h_{1}=h_{\mathrm{J}}-h_{\mathrm{B}}=h_{\mathrm{m}}+\hat{h}_{\mathrm{J}}-\hat{h}_{\mathrm{B}}
$$

We define the local film thickness as

$$
h=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
h_{1} \text { if } h_{1} \geq 0 \\
0 \text { if } h_{1} \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The regions defined by $h_{1}<0$ denote the contact regions. Similar to the film thickness, we can decompose the pressure $p$ in the film into a part corresponding to the mean film thickness, $p_{\mathrm{m}}$, and another part corresponding to variation in the film thickness due to asperities, $p$. If we use local surface averaging to homogenize the Reynolds equation in total pressure, the space averaged Reynolds equation takes the form

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\rho h_{\mathrm{m}}\right)+\nabla_{\mathrm{t}} \cdot \rho \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{m}}=0
$$

with

$$
\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{m}}=-\frac{\mathbf{K}}{12 \mu} \nabla_{\mathrm{t}} p_{\mathrm{m}}+\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{t}}+\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{t}}}{2}+\mathbf{C} \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{t}}-\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{t}}}{2}
$$

where $\mathbf{K}$ is the effective permeability tensor and $\mathbf{C}$ is the shear flow tensor. These are defined in terms of a set of variables $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{K}=\left\langle h^{3}\right\rangle \mathbf{I}+\left\langle h^{3} \nabla_{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{b}\right\rangle \\
& \mathbf{C}=\left\langle h^{3} \nabla_{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{c}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

The operator < > above refers to the local surface average. This average is taken over an area which is much smaller than the overall dimensions of the contacting surface but is large enough to include many asperities. Variables $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ are closure variables that can be obtained by the solution of the following boundary value problems:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\mathrm{t}} \cdot\left(\hat{h}^{3} \mathbf{I}+h^{3} \nabla_{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{b}\right) & =\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{b}\left(\mathbf{x}+l \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) & =\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}), i=1,2 \\
\langle\mathbf{b}\rangle & =\mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\mathrm{t}} \cdot\left(h^{3} \nabla_{t} \mathbf{c}\right) & =\nabla_{\mathrm{t}}\left(h_{\mathrm{J}}+h_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \\
\mathbf{c}\left(\mathbf{x}+l \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) & =\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x}), i=1,2 \\
\langle\mathbf{c}\rangle & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\mathbf{x}$ is the position vector of a point on the surface and $l$ is the length of the unit cell. The vector $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ refers to the local directions on the averaging surface.

When turbulence effects are neglected, $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ are zero so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{K}=\left\langle h^{3}\right\rangle \mathbf{I} \\
& \mathbf{C}=\mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we recover the original Reynolds equation. The spatially averaged shear stress is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\tau\rangle & =\left\langle \pm \frac{h}{2} \nabla_{\mathrm{t}} p+\mu \frac{\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{t}}-\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{t}}\right)}{h}\right\rangle \\
& =\mu\left[\left\langle\frac{1}{h}\right\rangle \mathbf{I} \pm 3\left\langle-h \nabla_{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{c}\right\rangle\right] \cdot\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{t}}-\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{t}}\right) \pm\left(\langle h\rangle \mathbf{I}+\left\langle h \nabla_{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{b}\right\rangle\right) \cdot \nabla_{\mathrm{t}}\langle p\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

We can convert the averaged Reynolds equation into a form similar to the original Reynolds equation by introducing certain factors in the following way

$$
\nabla_{\mathrm{t}} \cdot\left(\frac{h_{\mathrm{m}}^{3}}{12 \mu} \Phi \nabla_{\mathrm{t}} p\right)=\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{t}}+\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{t}}}{2} \cdot \nabla_{\mathrm{t}} h_{\mathrm{m}}+\sigma\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{t}}-\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{t}}}{2} \cdot\left(\nabla_{\mathrm{t}} \cdot \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
\tau=\mu\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{f}} \pm \Phi_{\mathrm{fs}}\right) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{t}}-\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{t}}}{h_{\mathrm{m}}} \pm \frac{h_{\mathrm{m}}}{2}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{fp}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathrm{t}} p_{\mathrm{m}}\right)
$$

where $\Phi$ and $\Phi_{\mathrm{s}}$ are the pressure flow factor and shear flow factor, respectively. Moreover, $\Phi_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathrm{fs}}$ are the shear stress factors for a Couette flow, and $\Phi_{\mathrm{fp}}$ is the shear stress factor for a Poiseuille flow. Th variables $h_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $p_{\mathrm{m}}$ are the average gap and the average pressure in the film obtained by the surface averaging procedure. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{\mathrm{m}}=\langle h\rangle \\
& p_{\mathrm{m}}=\langle p\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

The pressure flow factor and shear stress factors are defined in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi=\frac{\mathbf{K}}{h_{\mathrm{m}}^{3}} \\
& \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}=-\frac{\mathbf{C}}{\sigma} \\
& \Phi_{\mathrm{f}}=h_{\mathrm{m}}\left\langle\frac{1}{h}\right\rangle \mathbf{I} \\
& \Phi_{\mathrm{fs}}=3 h_{\mathrm{m}}\left\langle-h \nabla_{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{c}\right\rangle \\
& \Phi_{\mathrm{fp}}=\frac{1}{h_{\mathrm{m}}}\left[\langle h\rangle \mathbf{I}+\left\langle h \nabla_{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{b}\right\rangle\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

From these definitions, it can clearly be seen that when turbulence effects are neglected, we recover the original Reynolds equation.

Now, before we use the averaged Reynolds equation, the main task is to first determine the factors defined above for the flow. One obvious way of determining these factors is to first solve for the closure variables $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ on a representative surface unit cell with the actual roughness pattern. Once the solution is obtained, perform the required averages over the surface to get the values of the different factors in the averaged equation. For a very general roughness pattern, the solution of the closure variables can only be obtained numerically. If we make certain assumptions on the roughness pattern, it is possible to solve the closure equations analytically. Then it is just a matter of computing the statistical averages of certain quantities based on the known pattern. Often, empirical relations are used to evaluate the flow factors. These relations are usually functions of the statistical properties of the surface. For example, one of the relations proposed by Patir and Cheng to compute the flow factors is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi=1-C \exp (-r H) \\
& \Phi_{\mathrm{s}}=-A_{1} H^{\alpha_{1}} \exp \left(-\alpha_{2} H+\alpha_{3} H^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H$ is the normalized gap height, $h_{\mathrm{m}} / \sigma$, with $\sigma$ being the equivalent surface roughness of the contacting surfaces. The constants in the above equation depend on the orientation of the structure in the surface roughness relative to the flow direction. This orientation is defined by Pecklenik factor $\gamma$, which is the ratio of the correlation lengths along the flow and perpendicular to the flow

$$
\gamma=\frac{\lambda_{0.5, \|}}{\lambda_{0.5, \perp}}
$$

A value $\gamma>1$ corresponds to the roughness pattern so that the ridges and valleys are oriented along the flow direction, while a value less than one corresponds to ridges and valleys perpendicular to the flow.

For smooth surfaces, the empirical relations for the flow factors are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{\theta}=\frac{1}{1+0.001133 R_{e}^{0.9}} \\
& \Phi_{1}=\frac{1}{1+0.000358 R_{e}^{0.96}} \\
& \Phi_{\mathrm{f}}=1 \\
& \Phi_{\mathrm{fs} \theta}=0.0012 R_{e}^{0.94}
\end{aligned}
$$

Forces on the journal and bearing from the fluid film are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\mathrm{j}}=-\frac{h_{\mathrm{m}}\left(h_{\mathrm{m}}+2 L_{\mathrm{sb}}\right)}{2\left(h_{\mathrm{m}}+L_{\mathrm{sj}}+L_{\mathrm{sb}}\right)} \Phi_{\mathrm{fp}} \nabla_{\mathrm{t}} p_{\mathrm{m}}+ \\
& \frac{\mu}{h_{\mathrm{m}}+L_{\mathrm{sj}}+L_{\mathrm{sb}}}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{f}}+\Phi_{\mathrm{fs}}\right)\left(v_{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{t}}-v_{\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{t}}\right)+p_{\mathrm{m}} n_{\mathrm{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\mathrm{b}}=-\frac{h_{\mathrm{m}}\left(h_{\mathrm{m}}+2 L_{\mathrm{sj}}\right)}{2\left(h_{\mathrm{m}}+L_{\mathrm{sj}}+L_{\mathrm{sb}}\right)} \Phi_{\mathrm{fp}} \nabla_{\mathrm{t}} p_{\mathrm{m}}+ \\
& \frac{\mu}{h_{\mathrm{m}}+L_{\mathrm{sj}}+L_{\mathrm{sb}}}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{f}}-\Phi_{\mathrm{fs}}\right)\left(v_{\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{t}}-v_{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{t}}\right)+p_{\mathrm{m}} n_{\mathrm{b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

See the theory of the Reynolds Equation for details of the computation of journal and bearing forces.

## Asperity Contact Forces

In the previous section, we considered the effect of asperities on the film flow. If the gap between the surfaces reaches the order of the height of the asperities, it is no longer possible to avoid the contact between these. This scenario is called a mixed lubrication condition. In this case, the total reaction force of the bearing is a combination of the reaction due to the film pressure and the pressure due to the contact between asperities.


Figure 7-8: Asperity contact.
Based on statistical considerations for the asperity contact, the contact force as a function of mean film thickness and other statistical parameters for the asperity distribution is given as:

$$
F\left(h_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=2 \pi \eta^{2} A \iiint_{z_{1} z_{2} r} P(w, r) \phi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \phi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right) r d r d z_{1} d z_{2}
$$

where

- $P(w, r)$ : Contact pressure due to single asperity contact
- $\eta$ : Surface asperity density on the contacting surfaces
- $r$ : Offset between asperity peaks on both surfaces
- $z_{1}, z_{2}$ : Asperity peak heights above the respective reference surfaces
- $h_{\mathrm{m}}$ : Mean gap between the contacting surfaces
- $w=z_{1}+z_{2}-h_{\mathrm{m}}-2 \mathrm{f}(r / 2)$ : Interference of the asperity contact
- A: Apparent area of contact
- $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ : Distribution of asperity heights on respective surfaces

Since, the force between the pair of asperities will be a function of the combined height $z=z_{1}+z_{2}$ rather than the individual heights $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$, we can combine the individual distributions to give the sum of the distributions as $\phi_{0}(z)$. The expected total force can then be written as

$$
F\left(h_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=2 \pi \eta^{2} A \iint_{z r} P(w, r) \phi_{0}(z) r(d r) d z
$$

Let us introduce $w_{\mathrm{p}}=z-h_{\mathrm{m}}$ and define the integration over $r$ in the above expression as

$$
F_{0}\left(w_{\mathrm{p}}\right)=2 \pi \eta \int_{0}^{\infty} P\left(w_{\mathrm{p}}-2 f\left(\frac{r}{2}\right), r\right) r d r
$$

Then total force can now be expressed as

$$
F\left(h_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=\eta A \int_{h_{m}}^{\infty} F_{0}\left(z-h_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \phi_{0}(z) d z
$$

In the same way

$$
A_{0}\left(w_{\mathrm{p}}\right)=2 \pi \eta \int_{0}^{\infty} A\left(w_{\mathrm{p}}-2 f\left(\frac{r}{2}\right), r\right) r d r
$$

and

$$
A\left(h_{m}\right)=\eta A \int_{h_{m}}^{\infty} A_{0}\left(z-h_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \phi_{0}(z) d z
$$

If the asperities are assumed to be paraboloidal $\mathrm{f}(r)=r^{2} / 2 r_{0}$, and $2 \mathrm{f}(r / 2)=r^{2} / 4 r_{0}$. Here $r_{0}$ is the radius of curvature at the peak of the asperity. The Hertzian solution for a paraboloidal surface in contact is

$$
F=\frac{4}{3} E_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\frac{r_{0}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} w^{\frac{3}{2}}
$$

and

$$
A=\pi\left(\frac{r_{0}}{2}\right) w
$$

If we substitute these in the average force-displacement relation, we get

$$
F_{0}\left(w_{\mathrm{p}}\right)=\frac{8}{3} \pi \eta E_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\frac{r_{0}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[w_{\mathrm{p}}-\frac{r^{2}}{4 r_{0}}\right] r d r
$$

where the square brackets in the above equation are the Macaulay brackets, indicating a positive parts operator. After performing the integration

$$
F_{0}\left(w_{\mathrm{p}}\right)=\frac{16 \sqrt{2}}{15} \pi \eta E_{\mathrm{e}} r_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}}\left[w_{\mathrm{p}}\right]^{\frac{5}{2}}
$$

and the total force is given by

$$
F\left(h_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=\frac{16 \sqrt{2}}{15} \pi \eta^{2} E_{\mathrm{e}} r_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}} A \int_{h_{m}}^{\infty}\left[z-h_{\mathrm{m}}\right]^{\frac{5}{2}} \phi_{0}(z) d z
$$

Let us introduce $z=s \sigma$ and a standardized height distribution

$$
\phi_{0}(z) d z=\phi^{*}(s) d s
$$

Then

$$
F\left(h_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=\frac{16 \sqrt{2}}{15} \pi E_{\mathrm{e}} A\left(\eta r_{0} \sigma\right)^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{r_{0}}} F_{5 / 2}\left(\frac{h_{\mathrm{m}}}{\sigma}\right)
$$

where

$$
F_{n}(u)=\int_{u}^{\infty}[s-u]^{n} \phi^{*}(s) d s
$$

Similarly, we find the contact area

$$
A\left(h_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=\pi^{2}\left(\eta r_{0} \sigma\right)^{2} A F_{2}\left(\frac{h_{\mathrm{m}}}{\sigma}\right)
$$

and the expected number of contacts

$$
N\left(h_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=4 \pi\left(\eta r_{0} \sigma\right) \eta A F_{1}\left(\frac{h_{\mathrm{m}}}{\sigma}\right)
$$

The nominal pressure on the contact surface due to the contact of asperities can then be obtained by dividing the total contact force by the apparent area, which gives

$$
p\left(h_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=\frac{8 \pi}{5}\left(\eta r_{0} \sigma\right) K F_{5 / 2}\left(\frac{h_{\mathrm{m}}}{\sigma}\right)
$$

with

$$
K=\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{3}\left(\eta r_{0} \sigma\right) E_{\mathrm{e}} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{r_{0}}}
$$

In order to evaluate the contact pressure and contact area, we need to evaluate the functions $F_{n}$. This requires the knowledge of the distribution $\phi_{0}$. Experimental investigations have shown that a Gaussian distribution is a close approximation. For a Gaussian distribution, $F_{n}$ is defined as

$$
F_{n}(h)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{h}^{\infty}(u-h)^{n} \exp \left(-\frac{h^{2}}{2}\right) d u
$$

When $h$ is large and positive, it can be approximated as

$$
F_{n}(h) \approx \frac{n!}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} h^{-n} \exp \left(-\frac{h^{2}}{2}\right)\left\{1-\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2 h^{2}}+\ldots\right\}
$$

and if $h$ is large but negative as

$$
F_{n}(h) \approx(-h)^{n}
$$

The following recurrence relation can be used to obtain the higher values of $F_{n}$ :

$$
F_{n+1}(h)=n F_{n-1}(h)-h F_{n}(h)
$$

The following table summarizes the values of $F_{n}$ :
TABLE 7-2: VALUES OF FN

| $\mathbf{H}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{0}(\mathbf{H})$ | $\mathbf{F}_{1 / 2}(\mathbf{H})$ | $\boldsymbol{F}_{1}(\mathbf{H})$ | $\boldsymbol{F}_{3 / 2}(\mathbf{H})$ | $\boldsymbol{F}_{2}(\mathbf{H})$ | $\boldsymbol{F}_{5 / 2}(\mathbf{H})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0.50000 | 0.41109 | 0.39894 | 0.43002 | 0.50000 | 0.61664 |
| 0.5 | 0.30854 | 0.22534 | 0.19780 | 0.19520 | 0.20964 | 0.24040 |
| 1.0 | 0.15865 | 0.10415 | 0.08332 | 0.07567 | 0.07534 | 0.08056 |
| 1.5 | 0.06681 | 0.03988 | 0.02931 | 0.02464 | 0.02285 | 0.02286 |
| 2.0 | 0.02275 | 0.01248 | 0.00849 | 0.00665 | 0.00577 | 0.00542 |
| 2.5 | 0.00621 | 0.00316 | 0.00200 | 0.00147 | 0.00120 | 0.00106 |
| 3.0 | 0.00135 | 0.00064 | 0.00038 | 0.00026 | 0.00020 | 0.00017 |
| 3.5 | 0.00023 | 0.00010 | 0.00006 | 0.00004 | 0.00003 | 0.00002 |
| 4.0 | 0.00003 | 0.00001 | 0.00001 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |

## Initial Film Thickness in Journal Bearing

The initial film thickness is calculated by assuming that the reference surface is the initial journal surface itself. Therefore, the height of the bearing surface from the reference surface (journal) gives the initial film thickness. Initially, both the journal and bearing are concentric. The following sections describe the initial film thickness in different type of bearings.

## Plain

A sketch of the plain journal bearing is given in Figure 7-9 below:


Figure 7-9: Plain journal bearing.
In this case, the initial film thickness does not depend on the polar coordinate, $\Theta$, and is equal to the difference between the bearing and journal radius:

$$
h_{\mathrm{b} 1}=C
$$

## Elliptic

In an elliptic journal bearing, the bearing surface has an elliptical shape. A sketch of the elliptic journal bearing is shown in Figure 7-10:


Figure 7-10: Elliptic journal bearing.
In this case, the initial film thickness depends on the polar coordinate $\Theta$ and is given by:

$$
h_{\mathrm{b} 1}=C_{\min }+\left(C_{\max }-C_{\min }\right)(\cos \Theta)^{2}
$$

Here, $C_{\min }$ and $C_{\text {max }}$ are the minimum and maximum clearance values in the bearing, occurring along the minor and major axis of the ellipse. The variable $\Theta$ is the polar angle of a point on the journal surface measured from the local $y$ direction to local $z$ direction and is given by

$$
\Theta=\operatorname{atan}\left(\frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{3}}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}}\right)
$$

## Split Halves

In a split halves journal bearing, the bearing surface consists of two separate circular halves that are offset by a distance $2 d$ in the local $y$ direction. A sketch of such a bearing is shown in Figure 7-11:


Figure 7-11: Split balves journal bearing.
The initial film thickness for the split halves bearing is given by:

$$
h_{\mathrm{b} 1}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
C+d \cos \Theta ; & 0 \leq \Theta<\pi \\
C-d \cos \Theta ; & \pi \leq \Theta<2 \pi
\end{array}\right.
$$

$C$ is the difference between the radius of the bushing and the journal, and $d$ is the distance by which the center of the upper and lower halves are offset from the journal center.

## Multilobe

In a multilobe journal bearing, the bearing surface consists of many small pads.
Therefore, in this case the film thickness is discontinuous in the polar coordinate $\Theta$. A sketch for the multilobe bearing is shown in Figure 7-12:


Figure 7-12: Multilobe journal bearing.
The initial film thickness for the multilobe bearing is given by

$$
h_{\mathrm{b} 1}=C+d \cos \left(\Theta-\alpha_{\mathrm{m}}\right)
$$

where $\alpha_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the mid-pad angle. For an $N$-pad bearing, it is given by

$$
\alpha_{\mathrm{m}}=\frac{\pi}{N}\left[1+2 \operatorname{int}\left(\frac{N \Theta}{2 \pi}\right)\right]
$$

and $d$ is the distance between the center of the pad and center of the journal in the initial configuration. The line joining the center of the pad and center of the journal bisect the pad sector.

## Tilted Pad

In a tilted pad journal bearing, the bearing surface is described by multiple pads, similar to the multilobe bearings. The difference is that the pads in this case are free to tilt. Tilting of the pad can occur either about an axis parallel to the bearing axis, called a line pivot configuration, or about both axial and circumferential directions of the bearing. The latter is called a point pivot configuration. Therefore, the film thickness
in this case is also a function of the pad tilt angle. A sketch of the tilted pad bearing is shown in Figure 7-13:


Figure 7-13: Tilted pad journal bearing.


Figure 7-14: Pad geometry.

As an effect of the loading, the bearing pads tilt by angles $\delta_{a}^{i}$ and $\delta_{c}^{i}$ about the axial and circumferential directions, respectively. The film thickness for the tilted pad bearing including the effect of the pad tilting is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\mathrm{b} 1}^{i} & =C_{\mathrm{p}}-\left(C_{\mathrm{p}}-C_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \cos \left(\Theta-\Theta_{\mathrm{m}}^{i}\right) \\
& -\delta_{\mathrm{a}}^{i}\left[\left(C_{\mathrm{p}}-C_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \sin \left(\Theta-\Theta_{\mathrm{m}}^{i}\right)+\left(R_{\mathrm{p}}+t_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \sin \left(\Theta-\Theta_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}\right)\right] \\
& -\delta_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}\left[r_{1}-\left(\beta_{\mathrm{a}}-0.5\right) L\right] \cos \left(\Theta-\Theta_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Theta^{i}{ }_{p}$ is the pivot point angle of the $i^{\text {th }}$ pad from the local $y$ direction. It is approximately given by

$$
\Theta_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}=(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\beta_{\mathrm{c}} \gamma
$$

Here, $\Theta_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{i}}$ is the angle of the bisector line of the $i^{\text {th }}$ pad from the local $y$ direction and is given by

$$
\Theta_{\mathrm{m}}^{i}=(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\frac{\gamma}{2}
$$

Other parameters used in the film thickness expression are:

- $C_{\mathrm{p}}=R_{\mathrm{p}}-R_{\mathrm{J}}-t_{\mathrm{p}}$
- $C_{\mathrm{b}}=R_{\mathrm{b}}-R_{\mathrm{J}}$
- $r_{1}=$ Axial coordinate of the bearing from center
- $\beta_{\mathrm{a}}=$ Axial offset factor of the pivot point from one end of the pad
- $\beta_{c}=$ Circumferential offset factor of the pivot point from the leading edge the pad
- $R_{\mathrm{p}}=$ Pad outer radius
- $t_{\mathrm{p}}=$ Pad thickness
- $L=$ Bearing length
- $\gamma=$ Pad sector angle
- $R_{\mathrm{b}}=$ Bearing radius
- $R_{\mathrm{J}}=$ Journal radius

For the line pivot case, terms containing $\delta_{c}^{i}$ are ignored in the thickness expression.
If the pad tilt angle is already known, it can directly be specified. In many cases, the tilting is not known a priori, but rather depends on the bearing load. Moment balance
for each pad due the pressure distribution of the film decides the tilt angle of the pad. The moment about the pivot point of the pad due to the film pressure is given by

$$
\int_{S^{i}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{i}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{pv}}^{i}\right) \times p \mathbf{e}_{r} d S=0
$$

After simplification, this results into the following moment balance equations for the tilt about the axial and circumferential directions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\mathrm{a}}^{i}: M_{\mathrm{a}}^{i}=\int_{S^{i}}-p\left[\left(C_{\mathrm{p}}-C\right) \sin \left(\Theta-\Theta_{\mathrm{m}}^{i}\right)+R_{\mathrm{p}} \sin \left(\Theta-\Theta_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}\right)\right] d S=0 \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}: M_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}=\int_{S^{i}} p\left[r_{1}-\left(\beta_{\mathrm{p}}-0.5\right) L\right] \cos \left(\Theta-\Theta_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}\right) d S=0
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above moment balance equation, pad inertia is ignored. If pad inertia is also included, the moment balance equation is modified to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\mathrm{aa}} \frac{d^{2} \delta_{\mathrm{a}}^{i}}{d t^{2}}+I_{\mathrm{ac}} \frac{d^{2} \delta_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}}{d t^{2}}=M_{\mathrm{a}}^{i} \\
& I_{\mathrm{ca}} \frac{d^{2} \delta_{\mathrm{a}}^{i}}{d t^{2}}+I_{\mathrm{cc}} \frac{d^{2} \delta_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}}{d t^{2}}=M_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\mathrm{aa}}= & m\left[\frac{3 R_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}+\left(R_{p}-t_{p}\right)^{2}}{2}-\frac{4 R_{\mathrm{p}}\left(3 R_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}-3 R_{\mathrm{p}} t_{\mathrm{p}}+t_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}\right)}{3\left(2 R_{\mathrm{p}}-t_{\mathrm{p}}\right)} S\right] \\
I_{\mathrm{cc}}= & m\left[\frac{L^{2}}{3}\left(1-3 \beta_{\mathrm{a}}+3 \beta_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}\right)+\frac{5 R_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}+\left(R_{\mathrm{p}}-t_{\mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}}{4}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\{\frac{R_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}-\left(R_{\mathrm{p}}-t_{\mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}}{8}-\frac{4 R_{\mathrm{p}}\left(3 R_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}-3 R_{\mathrm{p}} t_{\mathrm{p}}+t_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}\right)}{3\left(2 R_{\mathrm{p}}-t_{\mathrm{p}}\right)}\right\} S\right] \\
I_{\mathrm{ac}}= & \left(\rho\left(0.5-\beta_{\mathrm{a}}\right) L^{2} \frac{R_{\mathrm{p}}^{3}-\left(R_{\mathrm{p}}-t_{\mathrm{p}}\right)^{3}}{3}\right)\left\{\cos \left(1-\beta_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \gamma-\cos \left(\beta_{\mathrm{c}} \gamma\right)\right\}=I_{\mathrm{ca}}
\end{aligned}
$$

are the components of the moment of inertia tensor of the pad about the pivot point expressed along local directions.

In the above expression, $m$ is the mass of the pad given by

$$
m=\rho \gamma L\left(\frac{2 R_{p} t_{p}-t_{p}^{2}}{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
S=\frac{\sin \left(1-\beta_{c}\right) \gamma+\sin \left(\beta_{c} \gamma\right)}{\gamma}
$$

Expressions for the moment of inertia are derived by assuming that the shape of the pad is a sector of a hollow cylinder.

Sometimes the pads in the bearing are not completely free to tilt, but are spring loaded. In such a case, the equation of motion of the pad changes to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\mathrm{aa}} \frac{d^{2} \delta_{\mathrm{a}}^{i}}{d t^{2}}+I_{\mathrm{ac}} \frac{d^{2} \delta_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}}{d t^{2}}+k_{\mathrm{aa}} \delta_{\mathrm{a}}^{i}+k_{\mathrm{ac}} \delta_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}=M_{\mathrm{a}}^{i} \\
& I_{\mathrm{ca}} \frac{d^{2} \delta_{\mathrm{a}}^{i}}{d t^{2}}+I_{\mathrm{cc}} \frac{d^{2} \delta_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}}{d t^{2}}+k_{\mathrm{ca}} \delta_{\mathrm{a}}^{i}+k_{\mathrm{cc}} \delta_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}=M_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

## User Defined

You can also enter your own expression for the initial film thickness, if the bearing type does not match any of the predefined bearings.


Figure 7-15: Definition of variables for a user-defined journal bearing.

## Floating Ring Bearing

The floating ring bearing (FRB) is an extension of the plain journal bearing. An FRB typically consists of a journal, a bushing and a ring inserted between the journal and the bushing. As opposed to the plain journal bearing, in floating ring bearings there are two oil films formed in the bearing, the first between the journal and the ring (called inner film) and the second between the ring and the bushing (called outer film). The ring could be full-floating or semi-floating. In the full-floating case, the ring is free to rotate about the bearing axis due to the hydrodynamic shear forces on the ring. In semi-floating case, the axial rotation of the ring is suppressed, while it is free to perform in-plane motion between the journal and bushing. In the semi-floating case, the outer film does not have any significant spring action, but it provides damping to the ring motion.


Figure 7-16: Sketch of a floating ring bearing.
A complete description of the floating ring bearing requires the pressure distribution in both inner and outer films. It can be obtained by solving Reynolds equations for both films using the motion of journal, ring and bushing. However, the pressure
distribution in these films cannot be treated independently. The inner and outer films are generally connected through oil channels in the ring. The location of the oil channels relative to the inner and outer film keeps changing due to axial rotation of the ring. Specifying this connection for the pressure distribution in both the films in the stationary reference frame is difficult due to the changing locations of the channels. Therefore, for time-dependent calculations in the FRBs, the pressure distribution equations are formulated in a reference frame fixed to the ring. The advantage of such a description is that locations of the oil channels do not change in this reference frame. In the ring reference frame, the bushing rotates with an angular velocity $-\Omega_{\mathrm{r}}$, and the shaft rotates with an angular velocity $\Omega-\Omega_{\mathrm{r}}$. $\Omega_{\mathrm{r}}$ is the angular velocity of the ring and $\Omega$ is the angular velocity of the journal in the stationary reference frame.

Reynolds Equation for the floating ring bearing takes the following form in the ring reference frame:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho h)+\nabla_{t}\left(\rho h \mathbf{v}_{\text {avg }}\right)=0
$$

where $h$ is the film thickness and $\mathbf{v}_{\text {avg }}$ is the average velocity in the film. Expressions for film thickness and average velocity in both films are given below.

Inner film:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h & =h_{\mathrm{j}}+h_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{in}} \\
h_{\mathrm{j}} & =-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}} \\
h_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{in}} & =h_{\mathrm{r} 0}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \nabla_{t} h_{\mathrm{r} 0} \\
h_{\mathrm{r} 0} & =C_{\mathrm{in}} \\
\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{avg}} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{t}}+\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{t}}\right)-\frac{h^{2}}{12 \mu} \nabla_{t} p
\end{aligned}
$$

Outer film:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h & =h_{\mathrm{r}, \text { out }}+h_{\mathrm{b}} \\
h_{\mathrm{r}, \text { out }} & =-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}} \\
h_{\mathrm{b}} & =h_{\mathrm{b} 0}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}} \cdot \nabla_{t} h_{\mathrm{b} 0} \\
h_{\mathrm{b} 0} & =C_{\mathrm{out}} \\
\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{avg}} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{t}}+\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t}}\right)-\frac{h^{2}}{12 \mu} \nabla_{t} p
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rho$ and $\mu$ are the density and viscosity of the lubricant, respectively. $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{r}}$, and $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{b}}$ are the velocities of the journal, ring and bushing, respectively, measured in the ring reference frame and are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{jc}}+\left(\Omega-\Omega_{\mathrm{r}}\right) \times\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \\
& \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rc}} \\
& \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{bc}}-\Omega_{\mathrm{r}} \times\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, the displacement of the journal, ring, and bushing has to be referred to the ring frame of reference. In general, the relation between the displacement in the stationary and ring frames of reference is given by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos \left(\Omega_{\mathrm{r}} t\right. & \sin \left(\Omega_{\mathrm{r}} t\right) \\
0 & -\sin \left(\Omega_{\mathrm{r}} t\right) & \cos \left(\Omega_{\mathrm{r}} t\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3}
\end{array}\right]_{\mathrm{s}}
$$

where subscript $s$ denotes the quantity in the stationary frame.

## Equations of Motion for the Ring

Let $\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{r}, \text { in }}$ and $\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{r}, \text { out }}$ be the distributed forces on the ring from the inner and outer films, respectively. Then total force and moment on the ring are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{r}}=\int_{S}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{r}, \text { in }}+\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{r}, \text { out }}\right) d S \\
& \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{r}}=\int_{S}\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \times\left(\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{r}, \text { in }}+\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{r}, \text { out }}\right) d S
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathbf{u}_{c}$ and $\theta_{c}$ be the displacement and rotations at the center of the ring. Then, the equations of motion can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{\mathrm{r}} \frac{d^{2} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}}{d t^{2}}=\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{r}} \\
& I_{\mathrm{r}} \frac{d^{2} \theta_{\mathrm{c}}}{d t^{2}}=\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The flows in the inner and outer films are connected through the channels in the rings. The oil that enters the channel from the outer film has to emerge in the inner film, assuming no accumulation in the oil channel. This gives the required condition for connecting the flow across both channels. In addition, correction pressure term are needed to account for the centrifugal forces. This results into the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d Q}{d t}=Q_{\mathrm{i}, m}+Q_{\mathrm{o}, m}=0 \\
& P_{\mathrm{i}, m}=P_{\mathrm{o}, m}+P_{\text {cen }} \\
& P_{\mathrm{cen}}=-\rho \frac{\Omega_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}}{2}\left(R_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}-R_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, the subscript $m$ denotes the channel number. It is assumed that the mass transport through the channel is instantaneous. The feed pressure $P_{\mathrm{o}, m}$ can be determined iteratively to satisfy the flow balance. The flow rate at the inner film and outer film sides in the channel is determined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{\mathrm{i}, m}=\int_{L_{i, m}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{avg}, \mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{in}} d L \\
& Q_{\mathrm{o}, m}=\int_{L_{o, m m}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{avg}, o} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{out}} d L
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $L_{\mathrm{i}, m}$ and $L_{0, m}$ denote the outer edges of the ring hole in the inner and outer film respectively. $\mathbf{n}_{\text {in }}$ and $\mathbf{n}_{\text {out }}$ are the normals to the outer edges of the ring hole in the plane of the inner and outer films, respectively.

## Initial Film Thickness in Thrust Bearings

A Thrust bearing is used to support the axial load on the rotor. It consists of a collar surface attached to the rotor, bearing pads and bearing base. Bearing pads can be rigidly connected to the bearing base or can be allowed to undergo certain motion relative to it. A lubricant is supplied between the collar and pads to avoid dry friction conditions and to reduce the frictional losses. Generally, the pads are arranged in such a way that there is a converging gap between the pad and the collar surface. This helps in generating the pressure in the fluid film due to the relative motion between the pad and collar. The pressure essentially keeps these surfaces separated. If the pressure is not high enough, the surfaces will come in direct contact with each other. Due to the different requirements in different applications there are many types of thrust bearings in common use. The initial film thickness profiles for some of the commonly used thrust bearings are discussed below:

## Tilted Pad Bearing



Figure 7-17: Tilted pad thrust bearing geometry.

A tilting pad bearing is a type of thrust bearing in which the oil wedge is created by the natural tilting of the pads due to the lubricant pressure. The tilting of the pad can occur about a radial axis in the line pivot configuration or about both radial and circumferential axis in the point pivot configuration. Tilting of the pad also provides the freedom to adjust for the misalignment of the rotor within the bearings. A typical bearing and pad geometry is shown in Figure 7-17.

Oil grooves are provided between the pads to supply the lubricant. Therefore, sets of a pad and a groove are repeated in the circumferential direction making the bearing sector symmetric with the sector angle $2 \pi / N$, where $N$ is the number of the pads in the bearing. Let us consider a local $y$ direction passing through the leading edge of one of the pads and let $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c}}$ be the coordinate of the center of the bearing. Then for a point at position $\mathbf{r}$ on the bearing pad, its radial and azimuthal coordinates are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=\sqrt{\left\{\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}\right\}^{2}+\left\{\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{3}\right\}^{2}} \\
& \Theta=\operatorname{atan}\left\{\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{3}}{\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{3}$ are the local directions in the bearing. The first pad on the bearing is then located between

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{\mathrm{i}} \leq r \leq r_{\mathrm{o}} \\
& 0 \leq \Theta \leq \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

The $i^{\text {th }}$ pad will therefore be located between

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{\mathrm{i}} \leq r \leq r_{\mathrm{o}} \\
& (i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N} \leq \Theta \leq(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

The groove sector angle can be obtained as

$$
\gamma_{\mathrm{g}}=\frac{2 \pi}{N}-\gamma .
$$

The location of the pivot is specified by the circumferential offset, $\beta_{\mathrm{c}}$, and the radial offset, $\beta_{\mathrm{r}}$, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\gamma_{\mathrm{p}}}{\gamma} \\
& \beta_{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{r_{\mathrm{p}}-r_{\mathrm{i}}}{r_{\mathrm{o}}-r_{\mathrm{i}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The location of the pivot point for the $i^{\text {th }}$ pad from the bearing center is, in polar coordinates, given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}=r_{\mathrm{i}}+\beta_{\mathrm{r}}\left(r_{\mathrm{o}}-r_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \\
& \theta_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}=(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\beta_{\mathrm{c}} \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote the tilt angles of the $i^{\text {th }}$ pad about the radial and circumferential directions by $\delta^{i}{ }_{\mathrm{r}}$ and $\delta^{i}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}$, respectively. Assuming that these tilt angles are small, the tilt vector can be written as

$$
\delta=\delta_{\mathrm{r}}^{i} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}^{i}+\delta_{\mathrm{c}}^{i} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}^{i}=\cos \theta_{\mathrm{p}}^{i} \mathbf{e}_{2}+\sin \theta_{\mathrm{p}}^{i} \mathbf{e}_{3} \\
\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}=-\sin \theta_{\mathrm{p}}^{i} \mathbf{e}_{2}+\cos \theta_{\mathrm{p}}^{i} \mathbf{e}_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

are the radial and circumferential directions on the $i^{\text {th }}$ pad. Due to tilting of the pad, the displacement at a point $\mathbf{X}$ on the pad surface is

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}=\delta \times\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}\right)
$$

Here $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}$ is the position vector of the pivot point of the $i^{\text {th }}$ pad with respect to bearing center,

$$
\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}=r_{\mathrm{p}}^{i} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}^{i}
$$

The axial component of the displacement due to the tilting at a point on the pad will change the film thickness at that location, and is given by

$$
u_{1 \mathrm{p}}^{i}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{p}}^{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}=\delta_{\mathrm{r}}\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}\right\}-\delta_{\mathrm{c}}\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}^{i}\right\}
$$

If the film thickness at the pivot point is $h_{\mathrm{p}}$ then the film thickness due to tilting at a point $\mathbf{X}$ on the pad due to tilting will be

$$
h=h_{\mathrm{p}} \pm u_{1 \mathrm{p}}^{i}
$$

where plus sign is used when the pad is along the positive axial direction from the collar surface and negative sign when the pad is along the negative axial direction from the collar surface.

Therefore, the film thickness variation in the $i^{\text {th }}$ sector of symmetry is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
h=h_{\mathrm{p}} \pm u_{1 \mathrm{p}}^{i} & r_{\mathrm{i}} \leq r \leq r_{\mathrm{o}},(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N} \leq \Theta<(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\gamma \\
h=h_{\mathrm{g}} & r_{\mathrm{i}} \leq r \leq r_{\mathrm{o}},(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\gamma \leq \Theta<i \frac{2 \pi}{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

In this expression for the film thickness, the tilt angles of the pad are unknown. They can be determined by balancing the moment on the pad caused by the pressure distribution. The moment balance on the pad has to be treated differently depending on the study type. For a stationary analysis, inertial effects are ignored, and thus the tilt angles are obtained by setting the net moment on each pad about the pivot point to zero.

$$
\int_{S^{i}}\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}\right) \times p \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}} d S=0
$$

The reference surface normal is parallel to the axial direction but the direction of the normal could be either along or opposite to the axial direction. Using this fact, the moment equation reduces to

$$
\pm \int_{S^{i}} p\left[\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}\right\} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}^{i}-\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}^{i}\right\} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}\right] d S=0
$$

where a plus sign is used if the reference surface normal is along the axial direction and a negative sign is used otherwise. This approach results in two equations, one along the radial direction and other along the circumferential direction. These equations basically determine the tilts $\delta^{i}{ }_{\mathrm{r}}$ and $\delta^{i}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}$, respectively. In the line pivot case, only the radial direction equation is used.

In a time-dependent analysis, the inertia of the pads also plays a role in the tilting motion. Including the pad inertia, the moment balance equations are modified to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\mathrm{rr}} \frac{d^{2} \delta_{\mathrm{r}}^{i}}{d t^{2}}+I_{\mathrm{r} \theta} \frac{d^{2} \delta_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}}{d t^{2}}= \pm \int_{S^{i}} p\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}\right\} d S \\
& I_{\theta \mathrm{r}} \frac{d^{2} \delta_{\mathrm{r}}^{i}}{d t^{2}}+I_{\theta \theta} \frac{d^{2} \delta_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}}{d t^{2}}=\mp \int_{S^{i}} p\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}^{i}\right\} d S
\end{aligned}
$$

For the pad with sector angle $\gamma$, inner radius $r_{\mathrm{i}}$, outer radius $r_{0}$, and thickness $t_{\mathrm{p}}$, and the pivot locations specified as above, the mass moment of inertia components about the pivot point are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\mathrm{rr}}=m\left[\left(\frac{r_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+r_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}}{4}\right)\left(1-\frac{\sin \gamma}{\gamma} \cos 2\left(\gamma-2 \theta_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\right)+\frac{t_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}}{3}\right] \\
& I_{\theta \theta}=m\left(\frac{r_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+r_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}}{4}+\frac{t_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}}{3}+r_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}\right)+ \\
& \rho t_{\mathrm{p}}\left[\frac{r_{\mathrm{o}}^{4}-r_{\mathrm{i}}^{4}}{8} \sin \gamma \cos \left(\gamma-2 \theta_{\mathrm{p}}\right)-\frac{4}{3} r_{\mathrm{p}}\left(r_{\mathrm{o}}^{3}-r_{\mathrm{i}}^{3}\right) \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} \cos \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}-\theta_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\right] \\
& I_{\mathrm{r} \theta}=\rho t_{\mathrm{p}}\left[\frac{r_{\mathrm{o}}^{4}-r_{\mathrm{i}}^{4}}{8} \sin \gamma \sin \left(2 \theta_{\mathrm{p}}-\gamma\right)-\frac{2}{3} r_{\mathrm{p}}\left(r_{\mathrm{o}}^{3}-r_{\mathrm{i}}^{3}\right) \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} \sin \left(\theta_{\mathrm{p}}-\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## SPRING LOADED PAD

Sometimes instead of pads being freely pivoted, they are mounted on springs. Then the external moment due to pressure distribution in the film is balanced by the spring moment. In the stationary case, this moment balance is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{\mathrm{rr}} \delta_{\mathrm{r}}^{i}+k_{\mathrm{r} \theta} \delta_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}= \pm \int_{S^{i}} p\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}\right\} d S \\
& k_{\theta \mathrm{r}} \delta_{\mathrm{r}}^{i}+k_{\theta \theta} \delta_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}=\mp \int_{S^{i}} p\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}^{i}\right\} d S
\end{aligned}
$$

In a time-dependent analysis pad inertia is also included, giving

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\mathrm{rr}} \frac{d^{2} \delta_{\mathrm{r}}^{i}}{d t^{2}}+I_{\mathrm{r} \theta} \frac{d^{2} \delta_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}}{d t^{2}}+k_{\mathrm{rr}} \delta_{\mathrm{r}}^{i}+k_{\mathrm{r} \theta} \delta_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}= \pm \int_{S^{i}} p\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}\right\} d S \\
& I_{\theta \mathrm{r}} \frac{d^{2} \delta_{\mathrm{r}}^{i}}{d t^{2}}+I_{\theta \theta} \frac{d^{2} \delta_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}}{d t^{2}}+k_{\theta \mathrm{r}} \delta_{\mathrm{r}}^{i}+k_{\theta \theta} \delta_{\mathrm{c}}^{i}=\mp \int_{S^{i}} p\left\{\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}^{i}\right\} d S
\end{aligned}
$$

## Tapered Land Bearing

Tapered land bearings, unlike the tilted pad bearings, have the pad fixed to the bearing base. The pad is tapered in order to create the natural oil wedge. Because of the fixed thickness profile, these bearings are designed for specific conditions. A typical pad of a tapered land bearing is shown in the Figure 7-18.


Figure 7-18: Geometry of a tapered land bearing.
The hatched area in the figure is the tapered area and rest of the area is flat. The flat areas near the inner and outer diameter edges are called inner and outer dam. The width of the inner and outer dams are $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$, respectively. The arc angle for the tapered area is $\gamma_{\mathrm{t}} . r_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $r_{\mathrm{o}}$ are the inner and outer radius of the pad, respectively. Two types of grooves are usually used in the tapered bearings: one in which the groove
makes a constant arc angle about the center as shown in the Figure 7-18 and the second in which the groove is of constant width as shown in Figure 7-19. On the tapered area, a linear variation of the thickness is assumed.


Figure 7-19: Geometry of the constant groove width tapered land bearing.

## CONSTANT ARC GROOVE

The local $y$ direction in the constant arc groove bearing is considered to be passing through the leading edge of the pad. If the initial clearance at the outer diameter side of the trailing edge is $h_{\text {te }}$, and the tapered depth on inner and outer diameter side is $h_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $h_{0}$, respectively, then the film thickness in the tapered area can be approximated as

$$
h^{i}=h_{\mathrm{te}}+h_{o}\left(\frac{\Theta_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{te}}^{i}-\Theta}{\gamma_{\mathrm{t}}}\right)+\left(h_{\mathrm{i}}-h_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\left[\frac{r_{\mathrm{o}}-d_{2}-r}{\left(r_{\mathrm{o}}-d_{2}\right)-\left(r_{\mathrm{i}}+d_{1}\right)}\right]
$$

where $\Theta^{i}{ }_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{te}}$ is the azimuthal angle of the trailing edge side of the tapered area of the $i^{\text {th }}$ pad from the local $y$ direction, defined as

$$
\Theta_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{te}}^{i}=(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\gamma_{\mathrm{t}}
$$

In the tapered land bearing, part of the area near the inner diameter and outer diameter is in general used as dam. Also, the complete area in the circumferential direction is not tapered, rather some area near the trailing edge is left flat. Therefore, the film thickness variation on the $i^{\text {th }}$ sector of symmetry can be given as

$$
\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
h=h^{i} & r_{\mathrm{i}}+d_{1} \leq r \leq r_{\mathrm{o}}-d_{2} \text { and }(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N} \leq \Theta<(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\gamma_{\mathrm{t}} \\
h=h_{\mathrm{te}} & r_{\mathrm{i}}+d_{1} \leq r \leq r_{\mathrm{o}}-d_{2} \text { and }(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\gamma_{\mathrm{t}} \leq \Theta<(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\gamma_{\mathrm{p}} h \\
h=h_{\mathrm{g}} & r_{\mathrm{i}}+d_{1} \leq r \leq r_{\mathrm{o}}-d_{2} \text { and }(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\gamma_{\mathrm{p}} \leq \Theta<i \frac{2 \pi}{N} \\
h=h_{\mathrm{g}} & r_{\mathrm{i}} \leq r<r_{\mathrm{i}}+d_{1} \text { or } r_{\mathrm{o}}-d_{2}<r \leq r_{\mathrm{o}}
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

Here, $h_{\mathrm{g}}$ is the depth of the groove.

## CONSTANT WIDTH GROOVE

Determination of the film thickness profile in a constant width groove bearing requires the determination of the coordinates of various points on the pad surface. Figure 7-20 shows the geometry of the pad in a constant width groove bearing in more detail.


Figure 7-20: Geometry of the pad in a constant width groove bearing.
The local $y$ direction is in this case considered to pass from the center of the groove as opposed to the leading edge of the pad in other cases.

From the triangle formed by the radial directions $r_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $r$

$$
\frac{\sin \left(180^{\circ}-\frac{\gamma_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}\right)}{r}=\frac{\sin \alpha}{r_{\mathrm{p}}}
$$

which gives

$$
\alpha=\operatorname{asin}\left(\frac{r_{\mathrm{p}}}{r} \sin \frac{\gamma_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}\right)
$$

In the above expression, $r$ can vary from the inner radius $r_{\mathrm{i}}$ to outer radius $r_{0}$. Since the pad depths at trailing edge outer diameter, and the relative depth of the pad from this location to the leading edge outer and inner diameter are known, the film thickness variation in the tapered area can be calculated by assuming a linear variation in radial and circumferential directions:

$$
h=h_{0}+h_{r} r+h_{\theta} \Theta
$$

The thicknesses $h_{0}, h_{\mathrm{r}}$, and $h_{\theta}$ are yet unknown. They can be determined by the following information:

$$
h= \begin{cases}h_{\mathrm{te}} ; & r=r_{\mathrm{o}}-d_{2}, \Theta=(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\frac{\gamma_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}+\gamma_{\mathrm{t}} \\ h_{\mathrm{te}}+h_{\mathrm{o}} ; & r=r_{\mathrm{o}}-d_{2}, \Theta=(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\alpha_{\mathrm{o}} \\ h_{\mathrm{te}}+h_{\mathrm{i}} ; & r=r_{\mathrm{i}}+d_{1}, \Theta=(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{o}=\operatorname{asin}\left(\frac{r_{p}}{r_{\mathrm{o}}} \sin \frac{\gamma_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}\right) \\
& \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}=\operatorname{asin}\left(\frac{r_{\mathrm{p}}}{r_{\mathrm{i}}} \sin \frac{\gamma_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using this information, the height variation in the tapered area of the $i^{\text {th }}$ pad is calculated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{i}=h_{\mathrm{te}}-\left(h_{\mathrm{o}}-h_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left[\frac{r_{\mathrm{o}}-d_{2}-r}{\left(r_{\mathrm{o}}-d_{2}\right)-\left(r_{\mathrm{i}}+d_{1}\right)}\right]+ \\
& \frac{h_{\mathrm{o}}}{\left(\frac{\gamma_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}+\gamma_{\mathrm{t}}-\alpha_{\mathrm{o}}\right)}\left[(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\frac{\gamma_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}+\gamma_{\mathrm{t}}-\Theta-\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{o}}-\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \frac{r_{\mathrm{o}}-d_{2}-r}{\left(r_{\mathrm{o}}-d_{2}\right)-\left(r_{\mathrm{i}}+d_{1}\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the film thickness profile within a sector of symmetry for the constant width groove tapered pad bearing is given by

$$
\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
h=h_{\mathrm{g}} & r_{\mathrm{i}}+d_{1} \leq r \leq r_{\mathrm{o}}-d_{2} \text { and }(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N} \leq \Theta<(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\alpha \\
h=h^{i} & r_{\mathrm{i}}+d_{1} \leq r \leq r_{\mathrm{o}}-d_{2} \text { and }(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\alpha \leq \Theta<(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\frac{\gamma_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}+\gamma_{\mathrm{t}} \\
h=h_{\mathrm{te}} & r_{\mathrm{i}}+d_{1} \leq r \leq r_{\mathrm{o}}-d_{2} \text { and }(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\frac{\gamma_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}+\gamma_{\mathrm{t}} \leq \Theta<i \frac{2 \pi}{N}-\alpha \\
h=h_{\mathrm{g}} & r_{\mathrm{i}}+d_{1} \leq r \leq r_{\mathrm{o}}-d_{2} \text { and } i \frac{2 \pi}{N}-\alpha \leq \Theta<i \frac{2 \pi}{N} \\
h=h_{\mathrm{te}} & \left(r_{\mathrm{i}} \leq r<r_{\mathrm{i}}+d_{1} \text { or } r_{\mathrm{o}}-d_{2}<r \leq r_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \text { and }(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\alpha \leq \Theta<i \frac{2 \pi}{N}-\alpha \\
h=h_{\mathrm{g}} & \left(r_{\mathrm{i}} \leq r<r_{\mathrm{i}}+d_{1} \text { or } r_{\mathrm{o}}-d_{2}<r \leq r_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \text { and } \\
& \left((i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N} \leq \Theta<(i-1) \frac{2 \pi}{N}+\alpha \text { or } i \frac{2 \pi}{N}-\alpha \leq \Theta<i \frac{2 \pi}{N}\right)
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

## The Hydrodynamic Bearing Interface

The Hydrodynamic Bearing（hdb）interface（D⿴囗⿰丨丨⿰⿸⿴巳一丶寸），found under the Structural Mechanics＞Rotordynamics branch（ ${ }^{\left(u_{0}\right)}$ ）when adding a physics interface，is intended for analysis of fluid film bearings in 3D，modeled using a surface geometry．It is assumed that the thickness of the film is very small．Different types of journal bearings，such as plain，elliptic，split halves，multilobe，and tilted pad bearings，can be modeled using the Hydrodynamic Journal Bearing feature in this interface．You can also model a bearing mounted on a foundation．

On one side of the boundary there is a journal surface and on the other a bearing surface，neither of which is represented in the geometry．The journal and bearing surfaces are orientated with respect to the reference surface normal，as shown in Figure 7－21．Fluid flows in the gap between the journal and the bearing surfaces．


Figure 7－21：Diagram illustrating the orientation of the journal and the bearing surfaces with respect to the reference surface in the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface．A vector from the reference surface to the corresponding point on the journal always points in the $-\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}$ direction，where $\mathbf{n}_{\text {ref }}$ is the reference surface normal．Similarly，a vector from the reference surface to the corresponding point on the bearing points in the $+\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}$ direction．The beight of the journal above the reference surface $\left(h_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ and the height of the bearing below the reference surface $\left(h_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ are also shown in the figure．

Using equations on the reference surface，the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface computes the pressure in a narrow gap between the journal and the bearing．When modeling the flow，it is assumed that the total gap height，$h=h_{\mathrm{j}}+h_{\mathrm{b}}$ ，is much smaller
than the typical lateral dimension $L$ of the reference surface. This physics interface is used to model laminar flow in thin gaps or channels in, for example, a lubricating oil between two rotating cylinders.

The Hydrodynamic Journal Bearing node is always added, with the Plain bearing as the default. This node adds the Reynolds equations for the pressure distribution on the film surface and has a Settings window to define the bearing properties. The equations in this feature also account for the velocity due to the axial rotation of the rotor.

When the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface is added, these default nodes are also added to the Model Builder - Bearing Orientation (the orientation of the bearing in the spatial frame), Border (a boundary condition where lubricant is assumed to flow out into a space filled with the same fluid), and Initial Values. Then, from the Physics toolbar, you can add features that implement other boundary conditions and bearing properties. You can also right-click Hydrodynamic Bearing to select physics features from the context menu.

## SETTINGS

The Label is the default physics interface name.
The Name is used primarily as a scope prefix for variables defined by the physics interface. Refer to such physics interface variables in expressions using the pattern <name>.<variable_name>. In order to distinguish between variables belonging to different physics interfaces, the name string must be unique. Only letters, numbers, and underscores ( $\_$) are permitted in the Name field. The first character must be a letter.

The default Name (for the first physics interface in the model) is hdb.

## PHYSICAL MODEL

Select the Fluid type - Liquid (Reynolds equation), Liquid with cavitation, or Gas (modified Reynolds equation).

For Liquid with cavitation enter the Cavitation transition width (SI unit: Pa ). The default is 1 MPa .

Under normal operating conditions, the gases dissolved in the lubricant cause cavitation in the diverging clearance between the journal and the bearing. This happens because the pressure in the lubricant drops below the saturation pressure. See the theory for Cavitation for more information.

## DYNAMIC COEFFICIENTS

Select the Calculate dynamic coefficients check box to enable computation of the equivalent stiffness and the damping coefficients for the bearing.

## INCONSISTENT STABILIZATION

To display this section, click on Show More Options button (" ${ }^{(\Phi)}$ ) and select Stabilization in the Show More Options dialog box. This section is only available when Liquid with cavitation is selected in the Physical Model section.

Select the Isotropic diffusion check box to include the stabilization of the Reynolds equation with cavitation and then enter a Tuning parameter value. A larger value of the tuning parameter increases the amount of isotropic diffusion in the system.

## REFERENCE PRESSURE

Enter a Reference pressure level $p_{\text {ref. }}$. The default value is 1 [atm]. This pressure represents the ambient pressure, which is not accounted for when computing fluid loads.

## DISCRETIZATION

Select the Pressure discretization - Linear, Quadratic, Cubic, or Quartic to change the order of the shape functions for the pressure.

## DEPENDENT VARIABLES

The dependent variable (field variable) is the Pressure $p_{\mathrm{f}}$. The name can be changed but the names of fields and dependent variables must be unique within a component.

## Domain, Boundary, and Pair Nodes for the Hydrodynamic Bearing Interface

The Hydrodynamic Bearing Interface has boundary, edge, and pair nodes (and subnodes), listed in alphabetical order, available from the Physics ribbon toolbar (Windows users), Physics context menu (Mac or Linux users), or by right-clicking the main physics interface node to access the context menu (all users).

## LINKS TO FEATURE NODE INFORMATION

These nodes (and subnodes) are described in this section (listed in alphabetical order):

## - Bearing Orientation

- Border
- Floating Ring Bearing
- Hydrodynamic Journal Bearing
- Hydrodynamic Thrust Bearing
- Initial Values
- Inlet
- Inner Film Properties
- Inner-Outer Film Connection
- Outer Film Properties
- Outlet
- Squeeze Film Damper
- Symmetry
- Wall

In the COMSOL Multiphysics Reference Manual see Table 2-4 for links to common sections and Table 2-5 to common feature nodes. You can also search for information: press Fl to open the Help window or Ctrl+Fl to open the Documentation window.

In general, to add a node, go to the Physics toolbar, no matter what operating system you are using. Subnodes are available by clicking the parent node and selecting it from the Attributes menu.

The links to the nodes described in external guides, such as the Structural Mechanics Module User's Guide, do not work in the PDF, only from the online help in COMSOL Multiphysics.

## Hydrodynamic Journal Bearing

In the Hydrodynamic Journal Bearing node you specify the geometric dimensions, journal and foundation motion and lubricant properties necessary to model the fluid-film journal bearing.

## REFERENCE SURFACE PROPERTIES

The journal surface is considered as the reference surface. Select Reference normal orientation - Same direction as geometry normal or Opposite direction to geometry normal to specify the normal of the journal that points toward the lubricant film.

## BEARING PROPERTIES

Select Bearing type - Plain, Elliptic, Split halves, Multilobe, Tilted pad, or User defined. Then, go to the relevant section below to continue defining the properties.

## Plain

Enter the Clearance, $C$, between the journal and bearing when the centers of the journal and bearing coincide.

## Elliptic

Enter the Minimum clearance, $C_{\min }$, and Maximum clearance, $C_{\text {max }}$, when the centers of the journal and bearing coincide.

## Split Halves

Enter a Clearance, $C$, and select the Preload factor - Specify or Compute from offset.

- Specify: enter a value of the preload factor $m_{p}$ between 0 and 1 .
- Compute from offset: enter the Pad center offset, $d$.


## Multilobe

Enter a Clearance, $C$, and select the Preload factor - Specify or Compute from offset.

- Specify: enter the value of the preload factor $m_{\mathrm{p}}$ between 0 and 1 .
- Compute from offset: enter the Pad center offset, $d$.

Finally, enter the Number of pads, $N$.

## Tilted Pad

Enter a Clearance, $C$, and select the Preload factor - Specify or Compute from pad clearance.

- Specify: enter the value of the preload factor $m_{\mathrm{p}}$ between 0 and 1 .
- Compute from pad clearance: enter the Pad clearance, $C_{\mathrm{p}}$.

Finally, enter the Number of pads, $N$; Pad outer radius, $R_{\mathrm{p}}$; Pad tilt angle, $\delta$; and Pivot offset factor, $f$.

## User Defined

Enter the Height of the bearing above reference plane as a function of the polar coordinates. The default expression contains variables <phys>. r_e 1 and <phys>. Th, which are the axial and azimuthal coordinates of the reference surface. <phys>.r_e1 is the coordinate of a point on the bearing surface along the bearing axis. <phys>. Th is the azimuth angle of a point on the bearing surface with respect to the local $y$ direction of the bearing.

Select one of the Moving or Flexible foundation options. In both the cases, respective subnodes Moving Foundation or Flexible Foundation are automatically added.

## JOURNAL PROPERTIES

Select Specify - Displacement, Eccentricity and direction, or Load. If Displacement is selected, select Journal displacement - User defined and enter the displacement values.

|  | The Journal displacement list normally only contains User defined. When |
| :--- | :--- |
| combined with another physics interface that can provide this type of |  |
| displacement, it is also possible to choose a predefined displacement from |  |
| this list. |  |

If Eccentricity and direction is selected, enter values for the Eccentricity, $e$, and the Attitude angle relative to local $y$ direction, $\phi_{\mathrm{y}}$.

If Load is selected, select Journal Load - User defined and enter the load values.

The Journal load list normally only contains User defined. When combined with another physics interface that can provide this type of force, it is also possible to choose a predefined load from this list.

Enter the value for Mass of the journal. Also enter the value for the Initial journal displacement. Both are generally needed for a time-dependent study. In a stationary study, Initial journal displacement is used as an initial guess for the journal displacement.

Select Velocity of the journal - Angular speed or Velocity field. In the Angular speed case, select User defined, and enter the angular speed.

The Angular speed list normally only contains User defined. When combined with another physics interface that can provide this type of data, for example Solid Rotor and Beam Rotor interfaces, it is also possible to choose a predefined angular speed from this list.

In the Velocity field case, select User defined, and enter the velocity field of the journal.

The Velocity field list normally only contains User defined. When combined with another physics interface that can provide this type of data, it is also possible to choose a predefined velocity field from this list.

## FILM BOUNDARY CONDITION

This section is only available when Fluid type is Liquid (Reynolds equation) in the Physical Model section.

Select the Film type - Sommerfeld or Gümbel. In the Sommerfeld case, a complete $2 \pi$ film is considered in the net force calculation in the bearing. In the Gümbel case, only half of the film where the pressure is positive ( $\pi$ film) is used for computing the net force in the bearing.

## CONTACT SURFACE PROPERTIES

This section is only available when Reynolds equation is selected in Equation type in the Physical Model section at the physics node.

Select Contact surfaces - Smooth (the default) or Rough. Then, go to the relevant section below to continue defining the surface properties.

## Smooth

Select Flow type - Laminar (the default) or Turbulent. In the Laminar case no further input is needed. In the Turbulent case, select Flow factors - Automatic (the default) or User defined. In the Automatic case, flow factors for smooth surfaces are used by default. In the User defined case, enter values for the Pressure flow factor, axial direction, $\Phi_{1}$; the Pressure flow factor, circumferential direction, $\Phi_{\theta}$; and the Shear stress factor, circumferential direction, $\Phi_{\mathrm{f} \theta}$. The default values correspond to the flow factors for smooth surfaces.

## Rough

Enter a value for the Surface roughness, $\sigma$. Select the Flow factors - Patir and Cheng (the default) or User defined. If Patir and Cheng is selected, enter values of the constants $k_{1}$, $\gamma_{1}, k_{\theta}$ and $\gamma_{\theta}$ for the pressure flow factors, and the constants $A_{1}, \alpha_{1,1}, \alpha_{2,1}, \alpha_{3,1}, A_{\theta}$, $\alpha_{1, \theta}, \alpha_{2, \theta}$ and $\alpha_{3, \theta}$ for the shear flow factors. Subscripts 1 and $\theta$ refer to the axial and circumferential directions, respectively. If User defined is selected, enter values for the flow factors matrices $\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{\mathrm{sl}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{fl}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{fsl}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{fpl}}$. Note that the components of the matrices are in local directions with the first index referring to the axial direction and the second index referring to the circumferential direction.

Select the Include asperity contact pressure check box to include the pressure contribution due to metal to metal contact between the asperities in the bearing reaction. This refers to a mixed lubrication condition where the total pressure in the bearing is a summation of the contribution from the fluid film pressure and the metal to metal contact pressure. Enter values for the Surface asperity density, $\eta$; the Radius of curvature at peak, $r_{0}$; and the Effective modulus, $E_{\mathrm{e}}$.

## FLUID PROPERTIES

The default Dynamic viscosity $\mu$ is taken From material. For User defined, enter a different value or expression.

With the default options, the Density $\rho$ is taken From material. For User defined enter a different value or expression.

If Gas (modified Reynolds equation) is being solved, the density is determined automatically by the ideal gas law.

If Liquid with cavitation is being solved, the density is assumed to take the form $\rho=\rho_{c} \exp \left(\beta p_{f}\right)$, where $p_{f}$ is the fluid pressure, $\rho_{c}$ is the density at the cavitation pressure, and $\beta$ is the compressibility. In this case, enter the values for the Density at cavitation pressure, $\rho_{c}$, and the Compressibility, $\beta$.

## FILM FLOW MODEL

Select a Film flow model - No-slip walls, Slip at walls, User defined-relative flow function, or User defined-general. The film flow model is used to compute the mean fluid velocity as a function of the pressure gradient, the journal velocity, and the bearing velocity. Within the gap, the fluid velocity profile is a linear combination of the Poiseuille and Couette velocity profiles.

No-slip walls
This flow model assumes no slip at the journal and bearing surfaces. Thus, the average fluid velocity is computed by assuming that the fluid velocity at the journal and bearing is equal to the journal and bearing velocity, respectively.

## Slip at walls

Use Slip at walls when slip occurs at the journal or bearing. In this case, the difference between the journal or bearing velocity and the fluid velocity is proportional to the tangential part of the normal stress tensor component. The slip length divided by the fluid viscosity is the constant of proportionality in this relationship. The mean fluid velocity is computed using this assumption, given the pressure gradient and the journal and bearing velocities.

Enter a Slip length, journal, $L_{\mathrm{sj}}$. Select the Use different slip length for bearing check box to enter a Slip length, bearing, $L_{\mathrm{sb}}$.

For Gas (modified Reynolds equation), it is possible to use the gas mean free path to specify the slip length. Change the Type of slip setting (which defaults to Slip length
with the settings described above) to Mean free path and same accommodation coefficients or to Mean free path and different accommodation coefficients.

- For Mean free path and same accommodation coefficients, enter a value for the Journal and bearing accommodation coefficient, $\alpha$.
- For Mean free path and different accommodation coefficients, enter values for the Journal accommodation coefficient, $\alpha_{j}$, and the Bearing accommodation coefficient, $\alpha_{b}$.

Select an option to define the Mean free path - Compute from material properties, User defined expression, or User defined with reference pressure.

- For User defined expression, enter an expression for the Mean free path, $\lambda$. The default expression is $((70[\mathrm{~nm}]) *(1[\mathrm{~atm}])) /(\mathrm{hdb} . \mathrm{ptot})$.
- For User defined with reference pressure, enter values for the Mean free path at reference pressure, $\lambda_{0}$, and for the Mean free path reference pressure, $p_{\lambda 0}$.


## Rarefied-total accommodation (Modified Reynolds Equation Only)

The Rarefied-total accommodation option provides a rarefied gas model that assumes total accommodation at the journal and the bearing. This model is accurate to within $5 \%$ over the range $0<\mathrm{Kn}<880$ (here Kn is the Knudsen number, which is the ratio of the film thickness to the mean free path). An empirical function, fitted to stationary solutions of the Boltzmann equation, is used to define the Poiseuille component of the flow.

Select an option to define the Mean free path - Compute from material properties, User-defined expression, or User defined with reference pressure.

- For User-defined expression, enter an expression for the Mean free path, $\lambda$. The default expression is $((70[\mathrm{~nm}]) *(1[\mathrm{~atm}])) /($ hdb.ptot $)$.
- For User defined with reference pressure, enter values for the Mean free path at reference pressure, $\lambda_{0}$, and for the Mean free path reference pressure, $p_{\lambda 0}$.

To select a Force model, choose:

- Normal (pressure) forces only to include only the normal pressure forces in the model.
- Couette (slide film) forces only to include only the shear forces generated from an empirical model of the rarefied flow developed for pure Couette flows.
- Shear and normal forces to include both the shear and pressure forces, combining the other two force models.


## Rarefied-general accommodation (Modified Reynolds Equation Only)

The Rarefied-general accommodation option provides a rarefied gas model that assumes the same accommodation coefficient, $\alpha$, at the journal and bearing. This model is accurate to within $1 \%$ over the ranges $0.7<\alpha<1$ and $0.01<\mathrm{Kn}<100$ (here, Kn is the Knudsen number, which is the ratio of the film thickness to the mean free path). An empirical function, fitted to stationary solutions of the Boltzmann equation, is used to define the Poiseuille component of the flow.

Select an option to define the Mean free path - Compute from material properties, User-defined expression, or User defined with reference pressure.

- For User-defined expression, enter an expression for the Mean free path, $\lambda$. The default expression is $70[\mathrm{~nm}] * 1$ [atm]/hdb. ptot.
- For User defined with reference pressure, enter values for the Mean free path at reference pressure, $\lambda_{0}$, and for the Mean free path reference pressure, $p_{\lambda 0}$.

To select a Force model, choose:

- Normal (pressure) forces only to include only the normal pressure forces in the model.
- Couette (slide film) forces only to include only the shear forces generated from an empirical model of the rarefied flow developed for pure Couette flows.
- Shear and normal forces to include both the shear and pressure forces, combining the other two force models.


## User defined-relative flow function

The User defined-relative flow function option enables user-defined models in which an effective fluid viscosity is employed. The fluid viscosity is divided by an additional factor $Q_{\mathrm{ch}}$, which can be defined as an arbitrary expression in the user interface. It is also possible to define the expressions for the fluid forces on the journal and on the bearing (these are included as feature inputs in other physics interfaces).

Enter values or expressions for:

- Relative flow rate function, $Q_{c}$
- Fluid load on journal, $\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{j}}$
- Fluid load on bearing, $f_{b}$


## User defined-general

The User defined-general option enables you to define arbitrary flow models. Both the Poiseuille and Couette terms in the mean velocity can be defined arbitrarily. It is also
possible to define the expressions for the fluid forces on the journal and on the bearing, (these are included as feature inputs in other physics interfaces).

Enter values or expressions for:

- Poiseuille mean fluid velocity coefficient, $v_{\text {ave, } P \text {. }}$.
- Couette mean fluid velocity component, $\mathbf{v}_{\text {ave, } C}$.
- Fluid load on journal, $\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{j}}$.
- Fluid load on bearing, $\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{b}}$.


## WHIRL SPEED

This section is only used for calculating the dynamic coefficients for a gas bearing. Thus, this section only appears when all of the following are true:

- Equation type is set to Gas (modified Reynolds equation) in the Physical Model section of the physics node.
- Calculate dynamic coefficients is selected in the Dynamic Coefficients section of the physics node.
- Velocity of the journal is set to Angular speed in the Journal Properties section of the feature.
- Advanced Physics Options is selected in the Show More Options menu.

Enter the value of whirl speed ratio. The default value 1 corresponds to a synchronous whirl. Most of the time dynamic coefficients are calculated for the synchronous whirl thus this value should only be changed if the dynamic coefficients are needed for a nonsynchronous whirl.

## Floating Ring Bearing

In the Floating Ring Bearing node you specify the journal and foundation motion, ring properties and lubricant properties necessary to model a floating ring bearing.

## BEARING PROPERTIES

Select Ring type - Full floating or Semi floating. In the Full floating case, the ring is allowed to axially rotate under the viscous drag force from the lubricant film in addition to the in plane translation and tilting rotation. In the Semi floating case, axial rotation of the ring is not allowed. The ring is, however, still free to move in-plane and to tilt about the lateral axes. Select Ring position and orientation - Automatic or User defined. In the Automatic case, enter the value of Mass, $m_{\text {ring }}$ and Moment of inertia,
$I_{\text {ring }}$ of the ring. The motion of the ring is then computed by momentum and angular momentum balance. In the User defined case, enter the values of the displacement $u_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{y}}$ and $u_{r, z}$, as well as tilting rotation $\theta_{r, y}$ and $\theta_{r, z}$. In Full floating case, also select Ring speed - Automatic or User defined. If Automatic is selected, enter the value of Polar moment of inertia, $I_{\text {p,ring }}$ (only if Ring position and orientation is User defined). In the User defined case, enter the value of Ring to journal speed ratio, $v_{r}$.

Select one of the Moving or Flexible foundation options. In these cases, one of the subnodes Moving Foundation or Flexible Foundation is automatically added.

## JOURNAL PROPERTIES

Select Specify - Displacement, Eccentricity and direction or Load. Then, go to the relevant section below to continue defining the properties.

## Displacement

Select Journal displacement - User defined and enter the displacement values, $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}}$.

The Journal displacement list normally only contains User defined. When combined with another physics interface that can provide this type of displacement, it is also possible to choose a predefined displacement from this list.

## Eccentricity and direction

Enter values for the Eccentricity, $e$, and the Attitude angle relative to local $\boldsymbol{y}$ direction, $\phi_{\mathrm{y}}$.
Load
Select Journal Load - User defined and enter the load values, $\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{j}}$.

The Journal load list normally only contains User defined. When combined with another physics interface that can provide this type of force, it is also possible to choose a predefined load from this list.

Enter the values for the Mass of the journal, $m_{\mathrm{J}}$. Also enter the value for the Initial journal displacement, $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{J} 0}$. Both are generally needed for a time-dependent study. In a stationary study, Initial journal displacement is taken as an initial guess for the journal displacement.

Select Velocity of the journal - Angular speed or Velocity field. In the Angular speed case, select User defined, and enter the angular speed.

|  | The Angular speed list normally only contains User defined. When <br> combined with another physics interface that can provide this type of <br> data, for example Solid Rotor and Beam Rotor interfaces, it is also possible <br> to choose a predefined angular speed from this list. |
| :--- | :--- |

In the Velocity field case, select User defined, and enter the velocity field of the journal.

The Velocity field list normally only contains User defined. When
 combined with another physics interface that can provide this type of data, it is also possible to choose a predefined velocity field from this list.

## FILM BOUNDARY CONDITION

This section is only available when Fluid type is Liquid (Reynolds equation) in the Physical Model section.

Select the Film type - Sommerfeld or Gümbel. In the Sommerfeld case, a complete $2 \pi$ film is considered in the net force calculation in the bearing. In the Gümbel case, only half of the film where the pressure is positive ( $\pi$ film) is used for computing the net force in the bearing.

## FLUID PROPERTIES

The default Dynamic viscosity, $\mu$, is taken From material. For User defined, enter a different value or expression.

With the default options, the Density $\rho$ is taken From material. For User defined enter a different value or expression.

If Gas (modified Reynolds equation) is being solved, the density is determined automatically by the ideal gas law.

If Liquid with cavitation is solved, the density depends on the pressure as $\rho=\rho_{c} \exp \left(\beta p_{f}\right)$, where $p_{f}$ is the fluid pressure, $\rho_{c}$ is the density at the cavitation pressure, and $\beta$ is the fluid compressibility. In this case, enter the values for the Density at cavitation pressure, $\rho_{c}$, and the Compressibility $\beta$.

## WHIRL SPEED

This section is only used for calculating the dynamic coefficients for a gas bearing. Thus, this section only available when all of the following are true:

- Fluid type is set to Gas (modified Reynolds equation) in the Physical Model section of the physics node.
- Calculate dynamic coefficients is selected in the Dynamic Coefficients section of the physics node.
- Velocity of the journal is set to Angular speed in the Journal Properties section of the feature.
- Advanced Physics Options is selected in the Show More Options menu.

Enter the value of whirl speed ratio. The default value 1 corresponds to a synchronous whirl. Most of the time dynamic coefficients are calculated for the synchronous whirl thus this value should only be changed if the dynamic coefficients are needed for a nonsynchronous whirl.

Two default subnodes Inner Film Properties and Outer Film Properties are automatically added below the Floating Ring Bearing feature to specify the clearance and flow models of the respective films.

## Inner Film Properties

The Inner Film Properties node can be used to specify the clearance and slip models for the inner film.

## REFERENCE SURFACE PROPERTIES

The journal surface in the inner film is considered as reference surface. Select Reference normal orientation - Same direction as geometry normal or Opposite direction to geometry normal to specify the normal of the reference surface toward the lubricant film.

## Clearance

Enter the value of the Clearance, $C$.

## FILM FLOW MODEL

Select Film flow model - No-slip walls or Slip at walls. In the Slip at walls case, enter the values of Slip length, journal, $L_{\mathrm{sj}}$ and Slip length, ring, $L_{\mathrm{sr}}$.

## Outer Film Properties

The Outer Film Properties node can be used to specify the clearance and slip models for the outer film.

## REFERENCE SURFACE PROPERTIES

The ring surface in the outer film is considered as reference surface. Select Reference normal orientation - Same direction as geometry normal or Opposite direction to geometry normal to specify the normal of the reference surface toward the lubricant film.

## CLEARANCE

Enter the value of the Clearance, $C$.

## FILM FLOW MODEL

Select Film flow model - No-slip walls or Slip at walls. In the Slip at walls case, enter the values of Slip length, ring, $L_{\mathrm{sr}}$ and Slip length, bearing, $L_{\mathrm{sb}}$.

## Inner-Outer Film Connection

The Inner-Outer Film Connection node is optional, and can be used to connect the flow in the inner and outer film through the oil channels present in the ring. This requires the channel hole location in the inner and outer film and their relative circumferential offset to establish the connection.

## CHANNEL, INNER FILM

Select the surfaces representing the channel hole in the inner film.

## CHANNEL, OUTER FILM

Select the surfaces representing the channel hole in the outer film.

## CHANNEL HOLE OFFSET

Enter the Channel hole offset angle, $\Theta_{\text {off }}$ to specify the relative circumferential offset between the channel hole location in inner and outer film.

## Hydrodynamic Thrust Bearing

In the Hydrodynamic Thrust Bearing node you specify the geometric dimensions, collar and foundation motion and lubricant properties necessary to model a fluid-film thrust bearing.

## REFERENCE SURFACE PROPERTIES

The collar surface is considered as reference surface. Select Reference normal orientation - Same direction as geometry normal or Opposite direction to geometry normal to specify the normal of the collar toward the lubricant film.

## BEARING PROPERTIES

Select Bearing type - Tilted pad, Tapered, or User defined. Then, go to the relevant section below to continue defining the properties.

## Tilted pad

Enter the Number of pads, $N$, Pad arc, $\gamma_{\mathrm{p}}$, Inner diameter, $d_{\mathrm{i}}$, and Outer diameter, $d_{\mathrm{o}}$ to define the pad geometry. Select the Include pad inertia check box to model the effect of pad inertia when determining the film thickness. Inertial effects are important only in a time-dependent study. If selected, enter the Pad thickness, $t_{\mathrm{p}}$ and Density, $\rho$ to determine the moment of inertia of the pad. Finally enter the Groove depth, $h_{\mathrm{g}}$ which is used to determine the film thickness in the groove.

## Tapered

Enter the Number of pads, $N$; Pad arc, $\gamma_{\mathrm{p}}$; Inner diameter, $d_{\mathrm{i}}$; Outer diameter, $d_{\mathrm{o}}$; and Groove depth, $h_{\mathrm{g}}$, to define the pad geometry. Finally select Groove type - Constant width or Constant arc.

## User defined

Enter the Initial clearance as a function of the polar coordinates. The default expression contains variables <phys>. rd and <phys>. Th, which are the radial and azimuthal coordinates of the reference surface. <phys>. rd is the radial coordinate of a point on the bearing surface with respect to bearing center. <phys>. Th is the azimuthal angle of a point on the bearing surface with respect to the local $y$ direction of the bearing.

Select one of the Moving or Flexible foundation options. A subnode Moving Foundation or Flexible Foundation is automatically added.

## COLLAR PROPERTIES

Select Collar displacement - User defined and enter the displacement values.

The Collar displacement list normally only contains User defined. When
 combined with another physics interface that can provide this type of displacement, it is also possible to choose a predefined displacement from this list.

Select Velocity of the collar - Angular speed or Velocity field. In the Angular speed case, select User defined, and enter the angular speed.

The Angular speed list normally only contains User defined. When
The Angular speed list normally only contains User defined. When
combined with another physics interface that can provide this type of
data, for example Solid Rotor and Beam Rotor interfaces, it is also possible
to choose a predefined angular speed from this list.

In the Velocity field case, select User defined, and enter the velocity field of the journal.

The Velocity field list normally only contains User defined. When
 combined with another physics interface that can provide this type of data, it is also possible to choose a predefined velocity field from this list.

## FILM BOUNDARY CONDITION

This section is only available when Fluid type is Liquid (Reynolds equation) in the Physical Model section.

Select the Film type - Sommerfeld or Gümbel. In the Sommerfeld case, a complete $2 \pi$ film is considered in the net force calculation in the bearing. In the Gümbel case, only half of the film where the pressure is positive ( $\pi$ film) is used for computing the net force in the bearing.

## CONTACT SURFACE PROPERTIES

This section is only available when Equation type is Liquid (Reynolds equation) in the Physical Model section at the physics node.

Select Contact surfaces - Smooth (the default) or Rough. Then, go to relevant section below to continue defining the surface properties.

## Smooth

Select Flow type - Laminar (the default) or Turbulent. In the Laminar case no further input is needed. In the Turbulent case, select Flow factors - Automatic (the default) or User defined. In the Automatic case, flow factors for smooth surfaces are used by default. In the User defined case, enter values for the Pressure flow factor, radial direction, $\Phi_{\mathrm{r}}$; the Pressure flow factor, circumferential direction, $\Phi_{\theta}$; and the Shear stress factor, circumferential direction, $\Phi_{\mathrm{f} 9}$. The default values correspond to the flow factors for smooth surfaces.

## Rough

Enter a value for the Surface roughness, $\sigma$. Select the Flow factors - Patir and Cheng (the default) or User defined. If Patir and Cheng is selected, enter values of the constants $k_{\mathrm{r}}$, $\gamma_{\mathrm{r}}, k_{\theta}$ and $\gamma_{\theta}$ for the pressure flow factors, and the constants $A_{\mathrm{r}}, \alpha_{1, \mathrm{r}}, \alpha_{2, \mathrm{r}}, \alpha_{3, \mathrm{r}}, A_{\theta}, \alpha_{1, \theta}$, $\alpha_{2, \theta}$ and $\alpha_{3, \theta}$ for the shear flow factors. Subscripts $\mathbf{r}$ and $\theta$ refer to the radial and circumferential directions, respectively. If User defined is selected, enter values of the flow factors matrices $\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{\mathrm{sl}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{fl}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{fs}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{fpl}}$. Note that the components of the matrices are in local directions with the first index referring to the radial direction and the second index referring to the circumferential direction.

Select the Include asperity contact pressure check box to include the pressure contribution due to metal to metal contact between the asperities in the bearing reaction. This refers to a mixed lubrication condition where the total pressure in the bearing is a summation of the contribution from the fluid film pressure and the metal to metal contact pressure. Enter values for the Surface asperity density, $\eta$; the Radius of curvature at peak, $r_{0}$; and the Effective modulus, $E_{\mathrm{e}}$.

## FLUID PROPERTIES

The default Dynamic viscosity $\mu$ is taken From material. For User defined, enter a different value or expression.

With the default options, the Density, $\rho$, is taken From material. For User defined enter a different value or expression.

If Gas (modified Reynolds equation) is being solved, the density is determined automatically by the ideal gas law.

If Liquid with cavitation is being solved, the density is assumed to take the form $\rho=\rho_{c} \exp \left(\beta p_{f}\right)$, where $p_{f}$ is the fluid pressure, $\rho_{c}$ is the density at the cavitation pressure, and $\beta$ is the compressibility. In this case, enter the values for the Density at cavitation pressure, $\rho_{c}$, and the Compressibility $\beta$.

## FILM FLOW MODEL

Select a Film flow model - No-slip walls, Slip at walls, User defined-relative flow function, or User defined-general. The film flow model is used to compute the mean fluid velocity as a function of the pressure gradient, the collar velocity, and the bearing velocity. Within the gap, the fluid velocity profile is a linear combination of the Poiseuille and Couette velocity profiles.

## No-slip walls

This flow model assumes no slip at both the collar and bearing surfaces. Thus, the average fluid velocity is computed by assuming that the fluid velocity at the collar and bearing is equal to the collar and bearing velocity, respectively.

## Slip at walls

Use Slip at walls when slip occurs at the collar or bearing. In this case, the difference between the collar or bearing velocity and the fluid velocity is proportional to the tangential part of the normal stress tensor component. The slip length divided by the fluid viscosity is the constant of proportionality in this relationship. The mean fluid velocity is computed using this assumption, given the pressure gradient and the collar and bearing velocities.

Enter a Slip length, collar, $L_{\mathrm{sc}}$. Select the Use different slip length for bearing check box to enter a Slip length, bearing, $L_{\text {sb }}$.

For Gas (modified Reynolds equation) it is possible to use the gas mean free path to specify the slip length. Change the Type of slip setting (which defaults to Slip length with the settings described above) to Mean free path and same accommodation coefficients or to Mean free path and different accommodation coefficients.

- For Mean free path and same accommodation coefficients, enter a value for the Collar and bearing accommodation coefficient, $\alpha$.
- For Mean free path and different accommodation coefficients, enter values for the Collar accommodation coefficient, $\alpha_{c}$, and the Bearing accommodation coefficient, $\alpha_{b}$.

Select an option to define the Mean free path - Compute from material properties, User defined expression, or User defined with reference pressure.

- For User defined expression, enter an expression for the Mean free path, $\lambda$. The default expression is $((70[\mathrm{~nm}]) *(1[\mathrm{~atm}])) /($ hdb.ptot).
- For User defined with reference pressure, enter values for the Mean free path at reference pressure, $\lambda_{0}$, and for the Mean free path reference pressure, $p_{\lambda 0}$.


## Rarefied-total accommodation for Gas (modified Reynolds equation)

The Rarefied-total accommodation option provides a rarefied gas model that assumes total accommodation at the collar and the bearing. This model is accurate to within 5\% over the range $0<\mathrm{Kn}<880$ (here Kn is the Knudsen number, which is the ratio of the film thickness to the mean free path). An empirical function, fitted to stationary solutions of the Boltzmann equation, is used to define the Poiseuille component of the flow.

Select an option to define the Mean free path - Compute from material properties, User-defined expression, or User defined with reference pressure.

- For User-defined expression, enter an expression for the Mean free path, $\lambda$. The default expression is $((70[\mathrm{~nm}]) *(1[\mathrm{~atm}])) /(h d b . p t o t)$.
- For User defined with reference pressure, enter values for the Mean free path at reference pressure, $\lambda_{0}$, and for the Mean free path reference pressure, $p_{\lambda 0}$.

To select a Force model, choose:

- Normal (pressure) forces only to include only the normal pressure forces in the model.
- Couette (slide film) forces only to include only the shear forces generated from an empirical model of the rarefied flow developed for pure Couette flows.
- Shear and normal forces to include both the shear and pressure forces, combining the other two force models.


## Rarefied-general accommodation for Gas (modified Reynolds equation)

The Rarefied-general accommodation option provides a rarefied gas model that assumes the same accommodation coefficient, $\alpha$, at the journal and bearing. This model is accurate to within $1 \%$ over the ranges $0.7<\alpha<1$ and $0.01<\mathrm{Kn}<100$ (here, Kn is the Knudsen number, which is the ratio of the film thickness to the mean free path). An empirical function, fitted to stationary solutions of the Boltzmann equation, is used to define the Poiseuille component of the flow.

Select an option to define the Mean free path - Compute from material properties, User-defined expression, or User defined with reference pressure.

- For User-defined expression, enter an expression for the Mean free path, $\lambda$. The default expression is $70[\mathrm{~nm}] * 1[\mathrm{~atm}] / \mathrm{hdb}$. ptot.
- For User defined with reference pressure, enter values for the Mean free path at reference pressure, $\lambda_{0}$, and for the Mean free path reference pressure, $p_{\lambda 0}$.

To select a Force model, choose:

- Normal (pressure) forces only to include only the normal pressure forces in the model.
- Couette (slide film) forces only to include only the shear forces generated from an empirical model of the rarefied flow developed for pure Couette flows.
- Shear and normal forces to include both the shear and pressure forces, combining the other two force models.


## User defined-relative flow function

The User defined-relative flow function option enables user-defined models in which an effective fluid viscosity is employed. The fluid viscosity is divided by an additional factor $Q_{\text {ch }}$, which can be defined as an arbitrary expression in the user interface. It is also possible to define the expressions for the fluid forces on the collar and on the bearing (these are included as feature inputs in other physics interfaces).

Enter values or expressions for:

- Relative flow rate function, $Q_{c}$
- Fluid load on collar, $f_{c}$
- Fluid load on bearing, $f_{b}$


## User defined-general

The User defined-general option enables you to define arbitrary flow models. Both the Poiseuille and Couette terms in the mean velocity can be defined arbitrarily. It is also possible to define the expressions for the fluid forces on the collar and on the bearing, (these are included as feature inputs in other physics interfaces).

Enter values or expressions for:

- Poiseuille mean fluid velocity coefficient, $v_{\text {ave, } P}$.
- Couette mean fluid velocity component, $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ave}, C}$.
- Fluid load on collar, $\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{c}}$.
- Fluid load on bearing, $f_{b}$.


## Squeeze Film Damper

In the Squeeze Film Damper node, you specify the geometric dimensions, as well as journal and lubricant properties necessary to model a squeeze film damper.

## DAMPER PROPERTIES

Enter the value of the Clearance, $C$.

## JOURNAL PROPERTIES

Select Specify - Displacement, or Eccentricity and direction. If Displacement is selected, select Journal displacement - User defined and enter the displacement values.

> The Journal displacement list normally only contains User defined. When combined with another physics interface that can provide this type of displacement, it is also possible to choose a predefined displacement from this list.

If Eccentricity and direction is selected, enter values for the Eccentricity, $e$, and the Attitude angle relative to local $y$ direction, $\phi_{\mathrm{y}}$.

Select Velocity of the journal - Whirl speed or Velocity field. In the Whirl speed case, select User defined, and enter the whirl speed value. This speed is the angular speed for the circular orbital motion of the journal.

The Whirl speed list normally only contains User defined. When combined with another physics interface that can provide this type of data, it is also possible to choose a predefined angular speed from this list.

When the journal motion is not circular, velocity of the journal can be defined as a velocity field. In the Velocity field case, select User defined, and enter the velocity field of the journal.

The Velocity field list normally only contains User defined. When combined with another physics interface that can provide this type of data, it is also possible to choose a predefined velocity field from this list.

## FILM BOUNDARY CONDITION

This section is only available when Fluid type is Liquid (Reynolds equation) in the Physical Model section.

Select the Film type - Sommerfeld or Gümbel. In the Sommerfeld case, a complete $2 \pi$ film is considered in the net force calculation in the bearing. In the Gümbel case, only
half of the film where the pressure is positive ( $\pi$ film) is used for computing the net force in the bearing.

## CENTERING SPRING

Squeeze film dampers themselves are not capable of supporting any static load. Centering springs are provided in the dampers to support the static load. Enter the values of Spring constant, $k_{\mathrm{cS}}$, and Damping constant, $c_{\mathrm{cS}}$, of the centering spring.

## FLUID PROPERTIES

The default Dynamic viscosity, $\mu$, is taken From material. For User defined, enter a different value or expression.

With the default options, the Density, $\rho$, is taken From material. For User defined enter a different value or expression.

If Gas (modified Reynolds equation) is being solved, the density is determined automatically by the ideal gas law.

If Liquid with cavitation is being solved, the density is assumed to take the form $\rho=\rho_{c} \exp \left(\beta p_{f}\right)$, where $p_{f}$ is the fluid pressure, $\rho_{c}$ is the density at the cavitation pressure, and $\beta$ is the compressibility. In this case, enter the values for the Density at cavitation pressure, $\rho_{c}$, and the Compressibility, $\beta$.

## FILM FLOW MODEL

Select Film flow model - No-slip walls or Slip at walls. In the Slip at walls case, enter the values of Slip length, journal, $L_{\mathrm{sj}}$, and Slip length, bearing, $L_{\mathrm{sb}}$.

## Bearing Orientation

In the Bearing Orientation node, you specify the orientation of the bearing with respect to spatial directions.

## BEARING ORIENTATION

Select an Axis - x-Axis, y-Axis, z-Axis, or User defined - to specify the axis of the bearing. Except for the User defined case, the axis passes through the origin. In the User defined case, also select Center - Automatic or User defined.

- Automatic: The centroid of the bearing surface is the base point the bearing axis.
- User defined: Enter the coordinates of the Base point on bearing axis.

Enter the Bearing orientation vector defining local y direction. The direction given will be adjusted so that it is orthogonal to the rotor axis. The default value is the global $y$-axis.

You can further modify the $y$-axis orientation by entering an angle for the Rotation of orientation vector around bearing axis. The direction inferred from the previous setting will be rotated counterclockwise around the rotor axis.
!
This is required input data.

## Border

Use the Border node to set a pressure condition at the border and the border flow type.
The Border flow condition is used to account for the pressure drop caused by the flow converging into the gap, outside the thin layer. The acoustic elongation option does this by adding additional thickness to the thin layer beyond the edge of the geometry (assuming a pressure gradient in this layer equal to that at the boundary). The out-of-plane motion calculates the pressure gradient due to circulation of the fluid caused by motion of the journal or bearing surfaces using a predefined model. Alternatively, the pressure gradient at the boundary can be specified using an arbitrary expression, which allows for more sophisticated, user-defined models.

## BORDER SETTINGS

Select a Border condition - Zero pressure, Pressure, or Border flow.
When Liquid with cavitation is selected in the physics interface, Starvation is also available.

For Pressure, enter a Pressure $p_{\mathrm{f} 0}$ to define $p_{\mathrm{f}}=p_{\mathrm{f} 0}$ on the edge. If the reference pressure $p_{\text {ref }}$, defined at the physics interface level, is $0, p_{\mathrm{f} 0}$ is the absolute pressure. Otherwise, $p_{\mathrm{f} 0}$ is the relative pressure.

For Border flow, select a Border flow type - Acoustic boundary condition-absolute elongation, Acoustic boundary condition-relative elongation, Out-of-plane motion, or User defined.

- For Acoustic Boundary condition-absolute elongation, enter a Border elongation $\Delta L$.
- For Acoustic boundary condition-relative elongation, enter a Relative border elongation $\Delta L_{r}$.
- For Out-of-plane motion, enter the Model coefficients $\eta, \zeta$, and $\chi$ with defaults 0,0 , and 1 , respectively. Also enter the Slip length, L. The default slip length uses an if condition to set the slip length equal to that defined in the Hydrodynamic Journal Bearing node if a suitable Film flow model is selected. If the Slip length is not defined in the Hydrodynamic Journal Bearing node, it defaults to $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Note that the coefficients can be specified according to the results given in the paper by Gallis and Torczynski (Ref. 8). The following values are recommended:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi=\frac{1+8.834\left(\frac{\Lambda}{h}\right)}{1+5.118\left(\frac{\Lambda}{h}\right)} \\
\eta=\frac{0.634+1.572\left(\frac{\Lambda}{h}\right)}{1+0.537\left(\frac{\Lambda}{h}\right)} \\
\zeta=\frac{0.445+11.20\left(\frac{\Lambda}{h}\right)}{1+5.510\left(\frac{\Lambda}{h}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $h$ is the gap height. The above equations are valid in the range $0 \leq \Lambda / h \leq 1$.

- For User defined enter a Normal pressure gradient. For Starvation enter a value for the Fluid fraction $\theta_{0}$.


## Initial Values

The Initial Values node adds an initial value for the pressure that can serve as an initial condition for a transient simulation or as an initial guess for a nonlinear solver. If more than one set of initial values is required, add additional Initial Values nodes from the Physics toolbar.

## INITIAL VALUES

Enter a value or an expression for the initial value of the Pressure $p_{\mathrm{f}}$.

## Inlet

Use the Inlet node to define an edge or a part of the boundary where fluid enters the gap.

## INLET SETTINGS

For the Inlet node with edge selection, select an Inlet condition - Zero pressure, Pressure, or Normal inflow velocity.

When the Liquid with cavitation is selected in the physics interface, Starvation is also available.

- For Zero pressure, $p_{\mathrm{f}}=0$ applies on the edge.
- For Pressure, enter a Pressure $p_{\mathrm{f} 0}$ to impose $p_{\mathrm{f}}=p_{\mathrm{f} 0}$ on the edge. If the reference pressure $p_{\text {ref }}$, defined at the physics interface level, is $0, p_{\mathrm{f} 0}$ is the absolute pressure. Otherwise, $p_{\mathrm{f} 0}$ is the relative pressure.
- For Normal inflow velocity, enter a Normal inflow velocity $U_{0}$.
- For Starvation, enter a value for the Fluid fraction $\theta_{0}$.

For the Inlet node with boundary selection, specify the Pressure at the inlet.

## Outlet

The Outlet node defines an edge or a part of boundary where fluid leaves the gap.

## OUTLET SETTINGS

For the Outlet node with edge selection, select an Outlet condition - Zero pressure, Pressure, or Normal outflow velocity.

- When the Liquid with cavitation is selected in the physics interface, Starvation is also available. For Zero pressure $p_{\mathrm{f}}=0$ applies on the edge.
- For Pressure, enter a Pressure $p_{\mathrm{f} 0}$ to impose $p_{\mathrm{f}}=p_{\mathrm{f} 0}$ on the edge. If the reference pressure $p_{\text {ref }}$, defined at the physics interface level, is $0, p_{\mathrm{f} 0}$ is the absolute pressure. Otherwise, $p_{\mathrm{f} 0}$ is the relative pressure.
- For Normal outflow velocity, enter a Normal outflow velocity $U_{0}$.
- For Starvation, enter a value for the Fluid fraction $\theta_{0}$.

For the Outlet node with boundary selection, Outlet condition is set to Pressure by default. Enter a Pressure $p_{\mathrm{f} 0}$ to impose $p_{\mathrm{f}}=p_{\mathrm{f} 0}$ on the boundary.

When the Liquid with cavitation is selected in the physics interface, Starvation is also available. For Starvation, enter a value for the Fluid fraction $\theta_{0}$.

Wall
The Wall node prevents fluid flow in the direction perpendicular to the boundary.

## Symmetry

The Symmetry node sets the perpendicular component of the average velocity in the gap to zero.
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## Multiphysics Interfaces and Couplings

The Rotordynamics Module contains predefined multiphysics interfaces to facilitate easy setup of couplings between journals and bearings. The physics interfaces are found under the Rotordynamics branch (凯通) when adding a physics interface - Solid Rotor with Hydrodynamic Bearing and Beam Rotor with Hydrodynamic Bearing.

In this chapter:

- The Solid Rotor with Hydrodynamic Bearing Interface
- The Beam Rotor with Hydrodynamic Bearing Interface
- Solid-Bearing Coupling
- Theory for the Solid Rotor Bearing Coupling
- Theory for the Beam Rotor Bearing Coupling
- Theory for Solid-Bearing Coupling


## The Solid Rotor with Hydrodynamic Bearing Interface

The Solid Rotor with Hydrodynamic Bearing interface ( interface with a Hydrodynamic Bearing interface. The coupling occurs on the boundary level, where the displacement and velocity from the Solid Rotor interface governs the fluid-film thickness in the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface, and the pressure distribution on the journal surface from the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface acts as an external load on the Solid Rotor interface.

When a predefined Solid Rotor with Hydrodynamic Bearing interface is added from the Structural Mechanics>Rotordynamics branch (岲通) of the Model Wizard or Add Physics windows, Solid Rotor and Hydrodynamic Bearing interfaces are added to the Model Builder.

In addition, the Multiphysics node is added, which automatically includes the multiphysics coupling feature Solid Rotor Bearing Coupling.

On the Constituent Physics Interfaces
The Solid Rotor interface is intended for general rotordynamics analysis of rotors modeled using 3D geometries. The Solid Rotor interface is based on solving Navier's equations. The interface also includes the effect of the frame acceleration due to rotation and computes results such as displacements, stresses, and strains.

The Hydrodynamic Bearing interface provides features for modeling the flow in thin fluid films such as Journal Bearings. A Hydrodynamic Journal Bearing model is active by default on all boundaries. You should select only those boundaries that correspond to the bearing. The pressure equation used in the fluid film is the Reynolds equation.

## SETTINGS FOR PHYSICS INTERFACES AND COUPLING FEATURES

When physics interfaces are added using the predefined couplings, specific settings are included with the physics interfaces and the coupling features.

However, if physics interfaces are added one at a time, followed by the coupling features, these modified settings are not automatically included.

For example, if single Solid Rotor and Hydrodynamic Bearing interfaces are added, an empty Multiphysics node appears in the model tree. You can choose from the available coupling features, but the settings in the constituent interfaces are not modified.

Coupling features are available from the context menu (right-click the Multiphysics node) or from the Physics toolbar, Multiphysics menu.

## PHYSICS INTERFACES AND COUPLING FEATURES

## Coupling Features

The Solid Rotor Bearing Coupling node is described in this section.

## Solid Rotor Bearing Coupling

The Solid Rotor Bearing Coupling (©) transfers the velocity and displacement information from the Solid Rotor interface to the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface and at the same time applies the pressure on the fluid film from the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface as an external load in Solid Rotor interface.

## SETTINGS

The Label is the default multiphysics coupling feature name.
The Name is used primarily as a scope prefix for variables defined by the coupling node. Refer to such variables in expressions using the pattern <name>. <variable_name>. In order to distinguish between variables belonging to different coupling nodes or physics interfaces, the name string must be unique. Only letters, numbers, and underscores (_) are permitted in the Name field. The first character must be a letter.

The default Name (for the first multiphysics coupling feature in the model) is srbc.

## BOUNDARY SELECTION

When nodes are added from the context menu, common boundaries between the Solid Rotor and Hydrodynamic Bearing interfaces are selected by default. You can optionally remove any unwanted boundaries from the selection.

## COUPLED INTERFACES

This section defines the physics involved in the multiphysics coupling. The Rotor and Bearing lists include all applicable physics interfaces.

The default values depend on how the coupling node is created.

- If it is added from the Physics ribbon (Windows users), Physics contextual toolbar (Mac and Linux users), or context menu (all users), then the first physics interface of each type in the component is selected as the default.
- If it is added automatically when a multiphysics interface is selected in the Model Wizard or Add Physics window, then the two participating physics interfaces are selected.

You can also select None from either list to uncouple the Solid Rotor Bearing Coupling node from a physics interface. If one of the participating physics interfaces is removed from the Model Builder, the corresponding entry is set to None as there is nothing to couple to.

If a physics interface is deleted and then added to the model again, then in order to reestablish the coupling, you need to choose the physics interface again from the Rotor or Bearing lists. This is applicable to all multiphysics coupling nodes that would normally default to the once-present interface. See Multiphysics Modeling Workflow in the COMSOL Multiphysics Reference Manual.

## The Beam Rotor with Hydrodynamic Bearing Interface

The Beam Rotor with Hydrodynamic Bearing ( interface with a Hydrodynamic Bearing interface. The coupling occurs on the boundary level on the Hydrodynamic Bearing selection. The displacement and velocity from the Beam Rotor interface are mapped to the bearing surface, thus governing the fluid-film thickness in the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface. The pressure distribution on the journal surface from the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface acts as an external load in the Beam Rotor interface.

When a predefined Beam Rotor with Hydrodynamic Bearing interface is added from the Structural Mechanics>Rotordynamics branch (䠡) of the Model Wizard or Add Physics windows, Beam Rotor and Hydrodynamic Bearing interfaces are added to the Model Builder.

In addition, the Multiphysics node is added, which automatically includes the multiphysics coupling feature Beam Rotor Bearing Coupling.

## On the Constituent Physics Interfaces

The Beam Rotor interface is intended for general rotordynamics analysis of rotors modeled using line geometries. The Beam Rotor interface is based on the Timoshenko beam theory. The interface also includes the effect of the frame acceleration due to rotation and computes results such as displacements, stresses, and strains.

The Hydrodynamic Bearing interface provides features for modeling the flow in thin fluid-films such as journal bearings. A Hydrodynamic Journal Bearing model is active by default on all boundaries. You should select only those boundaries that correspond to the bearing. The pressure equation defined on the fluid film is the Reynolds equation.

## SEtings for Physics interfaces and coupling features

When physics interfaces are added using the predefined couplings, specific settings are included with the physics interfaces and coupling features.

However, if physics interfaces are added one at a time, followed by the coupling features, these modified settings are not automatically included.

For example, if single Beam Rotor and Hydrodynamic Bearing interfaces are added, an empty Multiphysics node appears in the model tree. You can choose from the available coupling features but the settings in the constituent interfaces are not modified.

Coupling features are available from the context menu (right-click the Multiphysics node) or from the Physics toolbar, Multiphysics menu.

## PHYSICS INTERFACES AND COUPLING FEATURES

## Coupling Features

The Beam Rotor Bearing Coupling feature node is described in this section.

## Beam Rotor Bearing Coupling

The Beam Rotor Bearing Coupling (©) transfers the velocity and displacement information of the journal from the Beam Rotor interface to the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface and at the same time applies the pressure on the fluid film from the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface as an external load in the Beam Rotor interface.

## SETTINGS

The Label is the default multiphysics coupling feature name.
The Name is used primarily as a scope prefix for variables defined by the coupling node. Refer to such variables in expressions using the pattern <name>. <variable_name>. In order to distinguish between variables belonging to different coupling nodes or physics interfaces, the name string must be unique. Only letters, numbers, and underscores (_) are permitted in the Name field. The first character must be a letter.

The default Name (for the first multiphysics coupling feature in the model) is brbc.

## BOUNDARY SELECTION

When nodes are added from the context menu, all the boundaries selected in the Hydrodynamic Bearing interfaces are selected by default. You can optionally remove the unwanted boundaries from the selection.

## COUPLED INTERFACES

This section defines the physics involved in the multiphysics coupling. The Rotor and Bearing lists include all applicable physics interfaces.

The default values depend on how the coupling node is created.

- If the node is added from the Physics ribbon (Windows users), Physics contextual toolbar (Mac and Linux users), or context menu (all users), then the first physics interface of each type in the component is selected as the default.
- If it the node added automatically when a multiphysics interface is selected in the Model Wizard or Add Physics window, then the two participating physics interfaces are selected.

You can also select None from either list to uncouple the Beam Rotor Bearing Coupling node from a physics interface. If one of the participating physics interfaces is removed from the Model Builder, the corresponding entry is set to None as there is nothing to couple to.

If a physics interface is deleted and then added to the model again, then in order to reestablish the coupling, you need to choose the physics interface again from the Rotor or Bearing lists. This is applicable to all multiphysics coupling nodes that would normally default to the oncepresent interface. See Multiphysics Modeling Workflow in the COMSOL Multiphysics Reference Manual.

## Solid-Bearing Coupling

The Solid-Bearing Coupling (@) transfers the velocity and displacement information from the Solid Mechanics or Multibody Dynamics interfaces to the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface and at the same time applies the pressure on the fluid film from the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface as an external load in a Solid Mechanics or Multibody Dynamics interface.

## SETTINGS

The Label is the default multiphysics coupling feature name.
The Name is used primarily as a scope prefix for variables defined by the coupling node. Refer to such variables in expressions using the pattern <name>. <variable_name>. In order to distinguish between variables belonging to different coupling nodes or physics interfaces, the name string must be unique. Only letters, numbers, and underscores (_) are permitted in the Name field. The first character must be a letter.

The default Name (for the first multiphysics coupling feature in the model) is sbco.

## BOUNDARY SELECTION

When nodes are added from the context menu, common boundaries between the Solid Mechanics or Multibody Dynamics interface and the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface are selected by default. You can optionally remove any unwanted boundaries from the selection.

## FOUNDATION

Select the Include foundation check box to include the effect of the foundation motion. If selected, a selection input Foundation Selection appears. Boundaries not common to Bearing and Solid can be selected in the Foundation Selection.

## COUPLED INTERFACES

This section defines the physics involved in the multiphysics coupling. The Solid and Bearing lists include all applicable physics interfaces.

The first physics interface of each type in the component is selected as the default. You can also select None from either list to uncouple the Solid-Bearing Coupling node from a physics interface. If one of the participating physics interfaces is removed from the Model Builder, the corresponding entry is set to None as there is nothing to couple to.

If a physics interface is deleted and then added to the model again, then in order to reestablish the coupling, you need to choose the physics interface again from the Solid or Bearing lists. This is applicable to all multiphysics coupling nodes that would normally default to the once-present interface. See Multiphysics Modeling Workflow in the COMSOL Multiphysics Reference Manual.
Q. See also Theory for the Solid Rotor Bearing Coupling.

## Theory for the Solid Rotor Bearing Coupling

The fluid pressure in the bearing depends on the thickness of the lubricant, which strongly depends on the motion of the journal in the bearing. The thickness of the fluid film can be obtained by calculating the gap between the journal and bearing surfaces. In the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface, the initial journal surface is considered as the reference surface for the analysis. Therefore, the height of the journal surface from the reference surface deformation can be determined by considering a material point $\mathbf{X}_{r, j}$ on the journal surface in the direction $\mathbf{e}_{r, r}\left(x_{1}, \Theta\right)$ from the center of the bearing in the corotating frame:

$$
\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}=\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{C}}+R_{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}}\left(x_{1}, \Theta\right)
$$

In general, $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is a function of the axial coordinate $x_{1}$ and $R_{J}$ can be a function of both $\Theta$ and $x_{1}$. After deformation, the current position of this point in the corotating frame is

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}=\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}\right)
$$

Note that the current position is no longer aligned with the radial direction $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}}\left(x_{1}, \Theta\right)$. The position of the point on the journal surface that is aligned with the radial direction $\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}}\left(x_{1}, \Theta\right)$ can be approximated by

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}^{\prime}=\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}-\left(\nabla_{t} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)
$$

The coordinates of this point in the spatial frame are:

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{C}}+R\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}^{\prime}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)
$$

Similarly, the coordinates of the material point $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{r}, j}$ without the deformation, in the spatial frame are:

$$
\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{C}}+R\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)
$$

Then, the height of the journal surface from the reference surface in the radial direction $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}}\left(x_{1}, \Theta\right)$ in the spatial frame, corresponding to the radial direction $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}}\left(x_{1}, \Theta\right)$ in a corotating frame, is

$$
h_{\mathrm{j}}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}^{\prime}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}}=\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}-\left(\nabla_{t} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}}
$$

If the reference surface is a cylindrical surface, then $R_{\mathrm{j}}$ is independent of both $\Theta$ and $x_{1}$, and $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}, \mathrm{r}}$ is only a function of $\Theta$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{t} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}=\frac{1}{R_{\mathrm{j}}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}}{\partial \Theta} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}, \Theta}+\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}}{\partial x_{1}} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}, 1}= \\
& \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}, \Theta} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}, \Theta}+\frac{1}{R_{\mathrm{j}}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial \Theta} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}, \Theta}+\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial x_{1}} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}, 1}=\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}, \Theta} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}, \Theta}+\nabla_{t} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the height of the journal surface from the reference surface in the radial direction neglecting the higher-order terms in displacement is

$$
h_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}}=R \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}
$$

Now, to determine the height of the bearing surface from the reference surface, consider a radially aligned material point $\mathbf{X}_{b}$ on the bearing corresponding to the point $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{j}}$ in the spatial frame. Then

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{j}}+C\left(x_{1}, \Theta\right) \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}
$$

$C$ is the initial clearance between the journal and bearing. After the deformation, the current position of this point in the spatial frame is

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{b}}+\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)
$$

Note that the current position is no longer aligned with the radial direction $\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}$. The position of the point on the bearing surface that is aligned with the radial direction $\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}$ can be approximated as

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\prime}=\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{B}}-\left(\nabla_{t} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)
$$

Then, the height of the bearing surface from the reference surface in the radial direction $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}}$ in the spatial frame is:

$$
h_{\mathrm{b}}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\prime}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}=\left(C \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathbf{u}-\nabla_{t} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{b}} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}
$$

For a cylindrical reference surface, $R_{\mathrm{j}}$ is independent of both $\Theta$ and $x_{1}$, and $\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}$ is only a function of $\Theta$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{t} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{1}{R_{\mathrm{j}}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{b}}}{\partial \Theta} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\Theta}+\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{b}}}{\partial x_{1}} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{1}= \\
& \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}} \otimes \nabla_{t} C+\frac{C}{R_{\mathrm{j}}} \mathbf{e}_{\Theta} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\Theta}+\nabla_{t} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the height of the bearing surface from the reference surface in the radial direction, neglecting the higher-order terms in displacement, is:

$$
h_{\mathrm{b}}=C+\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{t} C
$$

The fluid-film thickness between the journal and the bearing is thus given by:

$$
h=h_{\mathrm{b}}-h_{\mathrm{j}}=C+\left\{\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)-R \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}\right)\right\} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \cdot \nabla_{t} C
$$

If a point $\mathbf{X}$ on the reference surface corresponds to the point $\mathbf{X}_{j}$ on the journal surface and $\mathbf{X}_{b}$ on the bearing surface, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbf{X}) \\
& \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}\right)=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{C}}+R^{T}\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The spatial component of the velocity of the journal at the point $\mathbf{X}$ on the reference surface is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{j}}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{j}}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial R}{\partial t}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}\right)-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)+R \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}}{\partial t}= \\
& \Omega \times\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)+R \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}}{\partial t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, the spatial component of the velocity of the bearing at the point $\mathbf{X}$ on the reference surface is given by

$$
\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}}{\partial t}
$$

Therefore, from the Solid Rotor interface, the variables $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}$ and $\Omega$ are supplied to the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface to compute the film thickness and average velocity of the fluid, which is then used for determining the distribution of the fluid pressure in the bearing. Distributed forces per unit area $\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{jA}}$ on the journal and $\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{bA}}$ on the bearing as a function of the point $\mathbf{X}$ on the reference surface can be calculated using the
pressure distribution in the bearing. This is implemented in the weak form by writing the contribution to the virtual work due to the pressure loads. The contribution to the virtual work on the journal due to the force applied by the fluid film is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta W_{\mathrm{j}}=\int_{A}\left\{\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{jA}}(\mathbf{X}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\} d A=\int_{A}\left\{\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{jA}}(\mathbf{X}) \cdot R \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}\right)\right\} d A= \\
& \int_{A}\left\{R^{T} \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{jA}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{C}}+R\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)\right) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{j}}\right)\right\} d A
\end{aligned}
$$

and the contribution to the virtual work on the bearing is

$$
\delta W_{\mathrm{b}}=\int_{A}\left\{\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{bA}}(\mathbf{X}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbf{X})\right\} d A
$$

Note the use of body-fixed coordinates in the rotating frame in the virtual work expression on the journal.

## Theory for the Beam Rotor Bearing Coupling

The fluid pressure in the bearing depends on the thickness of the lubricant, which strongly depends on the motion of the journal in the bearing. The thickness of the fluid film can be obtained by calculating the gap between the journal and bearing surfaces. In the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface, the initial journal surface is considered as the reference surface for the analysis. In the Beam Rotor interface, all the degrees of freedom are defined to have components in the spatial frame. Therefore, the current position of the point on the journal surface corresponding to a point $\mathbf{X}$ on the reference surface is given as:

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{bm}}+\theta_{\mathrm{bm}} \times\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bm}}\right)=\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}}
$$

where $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{bm}}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{bm}}$, and $\theta_{\mathrm{bm}}$ are the position, displacement, and rotation of a point on the beam rotor nearest to the point $\mathbf{X}$ on the reference surface. The height of the journal surface from the reference surface is the normal component of the relative position of the point on the journal surface relative to the point $\mathbf{X}$ on the reference surface, and is given by

$$
h_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}
$$

Similarly, the current position on a point on the bearing surface corresponding to a point $\mathbf{X}$ on the reference surface is

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{b}}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathbf{X}+h_{\mathrm{b} 1} \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}
$$

Here, $h_{\mathrm{b} 1}$ is the initial height of the bearing with respect to the reference surface and is called initial clearance. However, this point on the bearing surface is not aligned with the original reference normal $\mathbf{n}_{\text {ref }}$. The position of the point that is aligned with the original reference normal can be obtained approximately by the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{\prime}=\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{b}}-\left(\nabla_{t} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{b}} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)= \\
& \mathbf{X}+h_{\mathrm{b} 1} \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}-\left(\nabla_{t} \mathbf{X}+\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}} \otimes \nabla_{t} h_{\mathrm{b} 1}+h_{\mathrm{b} 1} \nabla_{t} \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the height of the bearing surface with respect to reference surface along the reference normal $\mathbf{n}_{\text {ref }}$ is given by

$$
h_{\mathrm{b}}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\prime}-\mathbf{X}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}=h_{\mathrm{b} 1}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}-\left(\nabla_{t} h_{\mathrm{b} 1} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)
$$

Here, the fact that the tangential gradients of $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{n}_{\text {ref }}$ are in the plane of the reference surface and hence are perpendicular to $\mathbf{n}_{\text {ref }}$ has been used.

Therefore, the film thickness in the reference normal direction is given by the difference of the height of the bearing surface and the height of the journal surface from the reference surface:

$$
h=h_{\mathrm{b}}-h_{\mathrm{j}}=h_{\mathrm{b} 1}+\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{ref}}-\left(\nabla_{t} h_{\mathrm{b} 1} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)
$$

The spatial component of the velocity of the journal at the point $\mathbf{X}$ on the reference surface has a component due to journal rotation and is given by

$$
\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{j}}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{j}}}{\partial t}+\Omega \times\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}}}{\partial t}+\Omega \times\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)
$$

Similarly, the spatial component of the velocity of the bearing at the point $\mathbf{X}$ on the reference surface is given by

$$
\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}}{\partial t}
$$

Therefore, from the Beam Rotor interface, the variables $\mathbf{u}_{j}$ and $\Omega$ are supplied to the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface to compute the film thickness and average velocity of the fluid, which are then used for determining the distribution of the fluid pressure in the bearing. The distributed force per unit area $\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{jA}}$ on the journal and $\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{bA}}$ on the bearing as a function of the point $\mathbf{X}$ on the reference surface can be calculated using the pressure distribution in the bearing. This is implemented in the weak form by writing the contribution to the virtual work from these loads. The contribution to the virtual work on the journal due to the force applied by the fluid film is

$$
\delta W_{\mathrm{j}}=\int_{A} \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{j} \mathrm{~A}}(\mathbf{X}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{j}} d A
$$

and the contribution to the virtual work on the bearing is

$$
\delta W_{\mathrm{B}}=\int_{A} \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{bA}}(\mathbf{X}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbf{X}) d A
$$

## Theory for Solid-Bearing Coupling

Coupling of any structural component with the Hydrodynamic Bearing interface requires a correct evaluation of the change in film thickness due to the relative motion of the solid component and bearing surfaces. This relative motion can be split into two components: sliding and squeezing. Sliding motion does not change the film thickness, provided that there is a natural geometrically wedge in which the lubricant is trapped. Squeezing motion, however, directly affects the film thickness. Therefore, the motion of the structural components has to be split into these two components to evaluate the change in the film thickness.

Consider the structure and bearing arrangement shown in Figure 8-1


Figure 8-1: Structure moving in bearing. The initial position of the structure is dasbed.
Initially, both structure and bearing are concentric to each other with the common center located at $\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}$. During operation they move relative to each other. Let the centers of the structure and bearing now be located at $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$, respectively. The film
thickness is obtained by calculating the height of the bearing surfaces from the structure surface. To evaluate the film thickness, consider a radial line from the current center of the structure $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\mathrm{S}}$ at an angle $\Theta$ from the local $y$-axis. This line intersects the bearing and the structural surfaces at points $B$ and $S$, respectively. The radial line from the new center of the bearing $\mathbf{x}_{c}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ to B makes an angle $\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}$ from the local $y$-axis. Let the normalized radial vectors from structure and bearing surfaces be $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}}(\Theta)$ and $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, respectively. The position vector of the point $B$ is given by

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{b}}=\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{b}}+R^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}\right) \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}\right)
$$

Similarly, the position vector of the point $S$ is given by

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{s}}=\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{s}}+R^{\mathrm{s}}(\Theta) \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}(\Theta)
$$

Here $\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{s}}$ are the position vectors of the points $B$ and $S$, respectively. $R^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ and $R^{\mathrm{S}}(\Theta)$ are the radial positions of the bearing and structure surfaces from their respective centers. Since the points $B$ and $S$ are located along the radial vector $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}}(\Theta)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(\Theta)=\left(\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{s}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}(\Theta) \\
& 0=\left(\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{s}}\right) \cdot \mathrm{e}_{\theta}(\Theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the local bearing coordinate system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}(\Theta)=(\cos \Theta) \mathbf{e}_{2}+(\sin \Theta) \mathbf{e}_{3} \\
& \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}\right)=\left(\cos \Theta^{\mathrm{b}}\right) \mathbf{e}_{2}+\left(\sin \Theta^{\mathrm{b}}\right) \mathbf{e}_{3}  \tag{8-1}\\
& \mathbf{e}_{\theta}(\Theta)=\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}(\Theta)=-(\sin \Theta) \mathbf{e}_{2}+(\cos \Theta) \mathbf{e}_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ is the bearing axis direction, and $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{3}$ are the two transverse directions.
Substituting the expressions for $\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{b}}, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}}(\Theta), \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, and $\mathbf{e}_{\theta}(\Theta)$ in Equation 8-1 and simplifying gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(\Theta)=\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}(\Theta)+R^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}\right) \cos \left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}-\Theta\right)-R^{\mathrm{s}}(\Theta) \\
& 0=\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\theta}(\Theta)+R^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}\right) \sin \left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}-\Theta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the centers of both the bearing and the structural surfaces were initially at the reference surface center, we have $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}=\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\mathrm{s}}=\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\text {s }}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}-\Theta\right)=-\frac{\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\theta}(\Theta)}{R^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}\right)} \tag{8-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
h(\Theta)=\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}(\Theta)+R^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}\right)\left[1-\left(\frac{\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\theta}(\Theta)}{R^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}\right)}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}-R^{\mathrm{s}}(\Theta)
$$

Given that

$$
\frac{\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\theta}(\Theta)}{R^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}\right)} \ll 1
$$

the film thickness can be approximated as

$$
h(\Theta) \approx\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}(\Theta)+R^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}\right)-R^{\mathrm{s}}(\Theta)
$$

This requires an evaluation of the radial position of the bearing with angular coordinate $\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}$. If the difference between $\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\Theta$ is assumed to be small, Equation 8-2 gives:

$$
\Theta^{\mathrm{b}} \approx \Theta-\frac{\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\theta}(\Theta)}{R^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}\right)}=\Theta+\Delta \Theta
$$

A Taylor series expansion gives:

$$
R^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}\right) \approx R^{\mathrm{b}}(\Theta)+\left.\Delta \Theta \frac{\partial R^{\mathrm{b}}}{\partial \Theta}\right|_{\Theta}=R^{\mathrm{b}}(\Theta)-\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\theta}(\Theta) \frac{1}{R^{\mathrm{b}}} \frac{\partial R^{\mathrm{b}}}{\partial \Theta}
$$

This can be generalized to include the change in $R^{\mathrm{b}}$ in the axial direction too in the following way:

$$
R^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\Theta^{\mathrm{b}}, x_{\mathrm{ax}}^{\mathrm{b}}\right) \approx R^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\Theta, x_{\mathrm{ax}}\right)-\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right) \cdot \nabla_{t} R^{\mathrm{b}}
$$

Substituting this in the film thickness expression:

$$
h(\Theta) \approx\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}(\Theta)+R^{\mathrm{b}}-R^{\mathrm{s}}-\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right) \cdot \nabla_{t} R^{\mathrm{b}}
$$

If the surface of the structure is flat or cylindrical, the tangential gradient of the radial position on the structure surface will be zero. Using $C=R^{\mathrm{b}}-R^{\mathrm{s}}$ the film thickness is

$$
h(\Theta) \approx C+\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right) \cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}(\Theta)-\nabla_{t} C\right)
$$

## Effect of Deformation

The thickness expression obtained in the previous section only considered the motion at the center of the structure and bearing surfaces. In addition to this, both structure and bearing can tilt, bend and radially expand. Such motion will also affect the film thickness. Therefore, the film thickness in general is a function of the axial and azimuthal coordinates. The effect of the radial expansion can be accounted for by updating the initial clearance profile including the effect of radial deformation of both the structure and the bearing. However, such radial expansions are going to be small, except for the case of thermal expansion. Here, thickness changes due to radial expansion or contraction is neglected. Also, a rotation of the flat or cylindrical structural surface about the bearing axis will not change the film thickness and should be excluded while calculating the film thickness. These considerations require the motion of the both the structure and the bearing to be split into three components:

- Motion of the centerline of the structure
- Axial rotation
- Radial deformation

The film thickness calculation will only include the effect of the relative motion of the centerline of the structure and the bearing. The motion of the centerline is determined by splitting the total displacement into the following components:

- Displacement at the center: $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}$
- Axial rotation: $\theta$
- Tilting about local $y$ and $z$ axes: $\alpha$ and $\beta$
- Bending deformation about local $y$ and $z$ axes: $\kappa_{y}$ and $\kappa_{z}$

The total displacement can then be approximated in terms of these quantities as

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}+(R-I)\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}
$$

The total rotation matrix is the combination of axial rotation followed by tilting rotation $R=R_{\alpha \beta} R_{\theta}$. Axial rotation can be finite but tilting rotations are small. The components of these matrices given in the local bearing direction are:

$$
R_{\alpha \beta}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -\beta & \alpha \\
\beta & 1 & 0 \\
-\alpha & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
R_{\theta}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right]
$$

Bending deformation is assumed to have a quadratic variation in local coordinates:

$$
\mathbf{u}_{b}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\kappa_{z}\left(r_{2}\right)^{2}-\kappa_{y}\left(r_{3}\right)^{2} \\
-\kappa_{z}\left(r_{1}\right)^{2} \\
\kappa_{y}\left(r_{1}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with

$$
r_{i}=\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i}
$$

Parameters in the approximated expressions are obtained by minimizing the least-squares error between the approximated and actual displacement over the bearing surface.

Once the quantities $\mathbf{u}_{c}, \theta, \alpha, \beta, \kappa_{y}$, and $\kappa_{z}$ are known, the part of the displacement which changes the film thickness can be written by removing the axial rotation:

$$
\mathbf{u}^{\prime}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}+\left(R_{\alpha \beta}-I\right)\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{b}}
$$

Therefore, the film thickness expression should use the approximated displacement instead of the displacement only at the center:

$$
h(\Theta) \approx C+\left(\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{b}}}-\mathbf{u}^{\prime \mathbf{s}}\right) \cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}}(\Theta)-\nabla_{t} C\right)
$$

The total velocity field of the structure and foundation is used as an input for the journal or collar velocity in the bearing.
The net force and moment due to the pressure distribution in the film then acts as a load on both the structure and the bearing surfaces.
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[^0]:    Q
    For details about the theory of gear pairs, see Gear Pair Theory.

[^1]:    In the Structural Mechanics Theory chapter of the Structural Mechanics Module User's Guide:

    - Material Models
    - Linear Elastic Material

    Q

    - Orthotropic and Anisotropic Materials

    In the Solid Mechanics chapter of the Structural Mechanics Module User's Guide:

    - Linear Elastic Material

    Density
    The default Density $\rho$ uses values From material. For User defined enter another value or expression.
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