

Uncertainty Quantification of the Ishigami Function

This model is licensed under the COMSOL Software License Agreement 6.0. All trademarks are the property of their respective owners. See www.comsol.com/trademarks.

Introduction

This example demonstrates how to perform uncertainty quantification analysis of the Ishigami function. This random function of three variables is a well-known benchmark used to test global sensitivity analysis and uncertainty quantification algorithms. The mean, standard deviation, maximum, and mininum values as well as Sobol indices of the Ishigami function can be calculated analytically for the input distributions used here.

For this test problem, the Ishigami function is

$$f(X_1, X_2, X_3) = \sin(X_1) + a(\sin(X_2))^2 + bX_3^4 \sin(X_1)$$

where X_1, X_2 , and X_3 are independent uniformly distributed random variables in $[-\pi, +\pi]$ with a = 7 and b = 0.1.

The function can be visualized in 3D by using, for example, a slice plot as in Figure 1.



ishigami(x1,x2,x3)

Figure 1: Slice plot of the Ishigami function.

2 | UNCERTAINTY QUANTIFICATION OF THE ISHIGAMI FUNCTION

The analytically computed values are according to Table 1.

TABLE I: ANALYTICAL BENCHMARK VALUES.

QUANTITY	EXPRESSION	NUMERICAL VALUE (ROUNDED)
Mean value	a/2	3.5
Variance (V)	(a^2)/8+b*(pi^4)/5+b^2*(pi^8)/ 18+1/2	13.845
Maximum	8+(pi^4)/10	17.741
Minimum	-1-(pi^4)/10	-10.741
Standard deviation	sqrt(V)	3.7208
First-order Sobol index X_1	(0.5*(1+b*(pi^4)/5)^2)/V	0.31391
First-order Sobol index X_2	((a^2)/8)/V	0.44241
First-order Sobol index X_3	0	0
Total Sobol index X_1	((1/2)*(1+b*(pi^4)/5)^2+(8* b^2*pi^8)/225)/V	0.55759
Total Sobol index X_2	((a^2)/8/V	0.44241
Total Sobol index X_3	((8*b^2*pi^8)/225/V	0.24368

For reference, these values are entered as global parameters in the model.

Model Definition

The model runs through 3 uncertainty quantification studies: **Screening**, **Sensitivity analysis**, and **Uncertainty Propagation** using the Ishigami function as the quantity of interest. In order to perform the uncertainty quantification analysis, the three random variables need to be defined as global parameters using arbitrary values. The actual values

Setting Parameter	S rs			* 1
Label: Par	ameters 1			Ē
 Parame 	eters			
Name	Expression	Value	Description	
X1	1	1	Random variable 1	
X2	1	1	Random variable 2	
X3	1	1	Random variable 3	
а	7	7	Ishigami parameter 1	
b	0.1	0.1	Ishigami parameter 2	
М	a/2	3.5	Mean	
V	(a^2)/8+b*(pi^4)/5+b^2*(pi^8)/18+1/2	13.845	Variance	
STD	sqrt(V)	3.7208	Standard deviation	
V1	0.5*(1+b*(pi^4)/5)^2	4.3459	First-order variance 1	
V2	(a^2)/8	6.125	First-order variance 2	
V3	0	0	First-order variance 3	
S1	V1/V	0.31391	First-order Sobol index 1	
S2	V2/V	0.44241	First-order Sobol index 2	
S3	V3/V	0	First-order Sobol index 3	
VT1	(1/2)*(1+b*(pi^4)/5)^2+(8*b^2*pi^8)/225	7.7196	Total variance 1	
VT2	(a^2)/8	6.125	Total variance 2	
VT3	(8*b^2*pi^8)/225	3.3737	Total variance 3	
ST1	VT1/V	0.55759	Total Sobol index 1	
ST2	VT2/V	0.44241	Total Sobol index 2	
ST3	VT3/V	0.24368	Total Sobol index 3	
imax	8+(pi^4)/10	17.741	Function max	
imin	-1-(pi^4)/10	-10.741	Function min	

for these variables will, during the simulation, be randomized by the uncertainty quantification algorithms. All the global parameters in the model are shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2: The model parameters.

The Ishigami function is defined as an analytic function with three input arguments as shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3: The Ishigami function entered as an Analytic function, ishigami.

Results and Discussion

The sensitivity analysis shows that the computed Sobol indices are consistent with the true analytical values, as shown in Figure 4 below.

Me	sages ×	Progress	Log	Sobol >		
-	8.85 AUTO 8	.5 850 0.85) 💧 🛅	i	9
	ishigami(X	(1,X2,X3), Firs	t order	ishigami(X1,X2,X3)	, Total
X1	0.31363			0.55131		
Х2	0.44844			0.44870		
Х3	8.2735E-5			0.23785		

Figure 4: The computed Sobol indices.

Similarly, the values for mean, standard deviation (STD), minimum, and maximum are consistent with the analytical values, as shown in Figure 5.

Messages × Progress Log QOI confidence interval ×										
😑 👯 페 👫 🐉 0.85 🎟 🌚 🔪 💼 📺 🧐 🋅 🕞 🖼 🖽 🔫										
Mean STD Minimum Maximum Lower 90% Upper 90% Lower 95% Upper 95% Lower 99% Upper 99%										
ishigami(X1,X2,X3)	3.5186	3.6494	-10.094	17.337	-2.0346	9.2073	-3.6171	10.986	-6.8413	13.770

Figure 5: The computed values for mean, standard deviation, minimum, maximum, and confidence intervals.

The accuracy of the results can be increased by lowering tolerances or increasing the number of sample input points.

The computed kernel density estimation is displayed in Figure 6.



Figure 6: The KDE plot for the Ishigami function.

These uncertainty quantification results can be compared not only with the analytical values but also with that of the direct Monte Carlo simulation performed in the model Direct Monte Carlo Simulation of the Ishigami Function.

Reference

1. T. Ishigami and T. Homma, "An importance quantification technique in uncertainty analysis for computer models," *Proc. First Int'l Symp. Uncertainty Modeling and Analysis*, IEEE, pp. 398-403, 1990.

Application Library path: Uncertainty_Quantification_Module/Tutorials/ ishigami_function_uncertainty_quantification

Modeling Instructions

From the File menu, choose New.

NEW

In the New window, click 🔦 Blank Model.

ADD STUDY

- I In the Home toolbar, click $\stackrel{\text{res}}{\longrightarrow}$ Add Study to open the Add Study window.
- 2 Go to the Add Study window.
- 3 Find the Studies subsection. In the Select Study tree, select

Preset Studies for Selected Physics Interfaces>Stationary.

- 4 Click Add Study in the window toolbar.
- 5 In the Home toolbar, click $\stackrel{\text{rob}}{\longrightarrow}$ Add Study to close the Add Study window.

GLOBAL DEFINITIONS

Parameters 1

- I In the Model Builder window, under Global Definitions click Parameters I.
- 2 In the Settings window for Parameters, locate the Parameters section.
- **3** In the table, enter the following settings:

Name	Expression	Value	Description
X1	1	I	Random variable 1
X2	1	I	Random variable 2
ХЗ	1	I	Random variable 3
а	7	7	Ishigami parameter 1
b	0.1	0.1	Ishigami parameter 2
М	a/2	3.5	Mean
V	(a^2)/8+b*(pi^4)/5+ b^2*(pi^8)/18+1/2	13.845	Variance
STD	sqrt(V)	3.7208	Standard deviation
V1	0.5*(1+b*(pi^4)/5)^2	4.3459	First-order variance 1
V2	(a^2)/8	6.125	First-order variance 2
V3	0	0	First-order variance 3
S1	V1/V	0.31391	First-order Sobol index 1

Name	Expression	Value	Description
S2	V2/V	0.44241	First-order Sobol index 2
S3	V3/V	0	First-order Sobol index 3
VT1	(1/2)*(1+b*(pi^4)/ 5)^2+(8*b^2*pi^8)/225	7.7196	Total variance 1
VT2	(a^2)/8	6.125	Total variance 2
VT3	(8*b^2*pi^8)/225	3.3737	Total variance 3
ST1	VT1/V	0.55759	Total Sobol index 1
ST2	VT2/V	0.44241	Total Sobol index 2
ST3	VT3/V	0.24368	Total Sobol index 3
imax	8+(pi^4)/10	17.741	Function max
imin	-1-(pi^4)/10	-10.741	Function min

Ishigami Function

- I In the Home toolbar, click f(X) Functions and choose Global>Analytic.
- 2 In the Settings window for Analytic, type ishigami in the Function name text field.
- 3 Locate the Definition section. In the Expression text field, type sin(x1)+a* (sin(x2))^2+b*x3^4*sin(x1).
- 4 In the **Arguments** text field, type x1, x2, x3.
- **5** In the **Label** text field, type Ishigami Function.
- 6 Locate the Plot Parameters section. In the table, enter the following settings:

Argument	Lower limit	Upper limit	Unit
xl	-pi	pi	
x2	-pi	pi	
x3	-pi	pi	

7 Click 🚮 Create Plot.

RESULTS

3D Plot Group 1



STUDY I

Uncertainty Quantification

- I In the Model Builder window, right-click Study I and choose Uncertainty Quantification> Uncertainty Quantification.
- **2** In the **Settings** window for **Uncertainty Quantification**, locate the **Quantities of Interest** section.
- 3 Click + Add.
- **4** In the table, enter the following settings:

Expression	Description	Individual solution to use
ishigami(X1,X2,X3)	Ishigami Function	From "Solution to use"

5 Locate the Input Parameters section. Find the Input parameters table subsection. Click + Add three times.

6 In the table, enter the following settings:

Parameter	Distribution	Lower bound	Upper bound
XI (Random variable I)	Uniform	-pi	pi
X2 (Random variable 2)	Uniform	-pi	pi
X3 (Random variable 3)	Uniform	-pi	pi

7 In the **Home** toolbar, click **= Compute**.

RESULTS

MOAT, ishigami(X1,X2,X3)



The Screening study shows that all parameters are influential and that the parameter X3 has a nonlinear influence on the Ishigami function, or that it is interacting with the other input parameters, or both.

STUDY I

Uncertainty Quantification

In the Model Builder window, under Study I right-click Uncertainty Quantification and choose Add New Uncertainty Quantification Study For>Sensitivity Analysis.

STUDY 2

Uncertainty Quantification

To achive a high level of accuracy, change from the default **Compute type**, which is **Improve and analyze**, to **Compute and analyze**. This option will not reuse any results from previous model evaluations but instead start from scratch.

- I In the Model Builder window, under Study 2 click Uncertainty Quantification.
- 2 In the Settings window for Uncertainty Quantification, locate the Uncertainty Quantification Settings section.
- **3** From the **Compute action** list, choose **Compute and analyze**.



4 In the **Home** toolbar, click **= Compute**.

The Sensitivity analysis study computes Sobol indices that are consistent with the analytical values.

5 Right-click Study 2>Uncertainty Quantification and choose Add New Uncertainty Quantification Study For>Uncertainty Propagation.

STUDY 3

Uncertainty Quantification

Now, change the **Surrogate model** to **Adaptive sparse polynomial chaos expansion**. For the Ishigami function, the polynomical chaos expansion surrogate model turns out to be much more efficient than the default **Adaptive Gaussian process** option.

- I In the Model Builder window, under Study 3 click Uncertainty Quantification.
- 2 In the Settings window for Uncertainty Quantification, locate the Uncertainty Quantification Settings section.
- **3** Find the **Surrogate model settings** subsection. From the **Surrogate model** list, choose **Adaptive sparse polynomial chaos expansion**.

Again, to achive a high level of accuracy, change to **Compute and analyze**. This option will not reuse any results from previous model evaluations but instead start from scratch.

- 4 From the Compute action list, choose Compute and analyze.
- **5** In the **Home** toolbar, click **= Compute**.

